From:	Nichole Embertson
To:	Mathieu, Nuri (ECY); Jill Gable/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Chris Clark; Maggi, Martha (ECY); Cummings, Ron (ECY); Carey, Barb (ECY); George Boggs; Curt Black/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc:	Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY); Krista Mendelman/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Linda Anderson-Carnahan/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Karma Anderson/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject:	RE: ARM meeting yesterday
Date:	06/10/2011 12:46 PM

Thank you Nuri for your words.

I appreciate your feedback and collaborative attitude and look forward to working with you in the future. I am glad some clarity and peace of mind was achieved in our meeting on Wednesday and I appreciate everyone's willingness to work through the process and make forward moving progress. It has taken a year to get here, but I am glad we have moved into a more cooperative relationship and I look forward to maintaining that throughout the rest of project.

If any additional questions, ideas, or comments come up, please let me know. Unless specifically requested and deemed appropriate, we will be moving out of these exclusive meetings and into the prescribed cohort meeting process outlined in the project process. I appreciate all of your time and effort in making this a well-developed project and am hopeful of the results and project outcome.

Respectfully,
Nichole

Nichole M. Embertson, Ph.D.
Nutrient Management and Air Quality Specialist
Sustainable Livestock Production Program
Whatcom Conservation District
6975 Hannegan Road
Lynden, WA 98264
O: (360) 354-2035 x 126
F: (360) 354-4678
E: nembertson@whatcomcd.org
W: www.whatcomcd.org

The contents of all e-mail transmissions to and from this office may be considered public information and subject to the provisions of the State of Washington Public Records Act.

From: Mathieu, Nuri (ECY) [mailto:NMAT461@ecy.wa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2011 4:19 PM
To: Gable.Jill@epamail.epa.gov; Nichole Embertson; Chris Clark; Maggi, Martha (ECY); Cummings, Ron (ECY); Carey, Barb (ECY)
Cc: Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY)
Subject: ARM meeting yesterday

Hi all,

First off, I'll echo everyone's thanks to Jill for pulling yesterday's meeting together and leading us through this process in general. I'd also agree with the statement that we made some good progress

in the meeting.

Just to clarify one important point (for me) in our discussion of the ARM system yesterday. At the meeting the CD stated something to the effect of: 'fields where the static (pre-determined) soil risk rating is medium-high or high (for runoff) will **NOT** receive any manure application during the winter under any circumstances with the ARM system.'

This makes a big difference in my mind, in terms of surface water risks, so I was relieved to hear that. I went back through the CD's responses to Ecology's comments and could not find that previously communicated anywhere. However, If I did miss that in previous communications, I do apologize.

And thank you to Nichole and Chris for taking the time to go through our comments so thoroughly.

Nuri Mathieu -- Water Quality Scientist
Environmental Assessment Program
WA Department of Ecology
360.407.7359