To: Vann, Bradley[Vann.Bradley@epa.gov]
Cc: Washburn, Ben[washburn.ben@epa.gov]
From: Terrie Boguski
Sent: Tue 4/21/2015 10:58:20 PM
Subject: Re: updated WLL Area 1 map?

Thanks, Brad. I appreciate this information. I'll pass it on to the technical committee. When you say you plan on attending the Tech Committee meeting in person, do you mean the meeting on Monday April 27 at 5:30 pm or the meeting/call that you are proposing for April 29 or 30? As far as I know, the Tech committee doesn't meet in person for the calls with you and other EPA folks. If you are available, I think they would like in-person meetings. It might take some rearranging of their plans for next week.

Thanks.
Terrie

On Apr 21, 2015, at 4:57 PM, "Vann, Bradley" <Vann.Bradley@epa.gov> wrote:

Terrie,

In short, yes. Long answer, EPA is in the process of reviewing the amended work plan for the GCPT/Sonic (Phase 1D work plan addendum). Our comments on the draft report specifically required the PRs to amended figures as part of the next report (not work plan), or following performance of the additional RIM characterization this year. While I will not have an updated figure until that work is complete (just because we may find more RIM in this fieldwork effort), the pending work plan addendum will have locations for the planned borings along with existing ones that identify the post-RI RIM detections found so far. Please note that I did receive the RPs revised draft of that work plan addendum late yesterday and am expecting the RP's responses to EPA/MDNR comments later this week too. Assuming that document and comment responses are acceptable, then EPA will definitely make the work plan addendum available to the everyone by posting it on our website. Also, please remember the Phase 1D work is specific with regards to the isolation barrier and only addresses RIM characterization between OU1, Area 1 and the former North Quarry. The additional RIM characterization work proposed by EPA for both Areas 1 and 2 is a separate action related to the remedy but also pending. EPA issued the RPs legal counsel direction in a letter that went out yesterday. But yes, figure changes in both areas will be addressed in the remedy-related reports too, as we expect them to be comprehensive once all RIM characterization is done and the report submitted this fall for our review. I will also make sure everyone gets a copy of those figures once they are finalized. I know Matt LeVanchy specifically needed a set as well.

Also please pass along that I haven't forgotten March's CAG comments, and hope to have our responses complete this week or at least before the next technical call. I believe most have been answered, just working a few remaining ones, checking some details and then need to run it back through the team for everyone's approval. For the next technical committee call, I recommend having it on Thursday, April 30 if that works OK for everyone's schedule (Wednesday could be an alternate date if not). Please let me know and I'll set up the call right away. Also, I do plan to attend that meeting in St. Louis with the CAG technical committee, the rest of my technical team will however likely call in. There are a number of important items for us all to discuss, especially with all the moving pieces in flux and the pending comment responses. I believe it is important for us to have these discussion face-to-face whenever possible and it will help me get to know everyone a little better too.

Thanks,

Bradley Vann - Remedial Project Manager

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Superfund Division

Missouri/Kansas Remedial Branch

11201 Renner Blvd.

Lenexa, KS 66219

Phone: 913-551-7611

Fax: 913-551-9611

Cell: 816-714-0331

From: Terrie Boguski [mailto:tboguski@skeo.com]
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2015 12:02 PM
To: Vann, Bradley; Washburn, Ben
Subject: updated WLL Area 1 map?

Hi Brad,

The CAG Technical Committee would like an updated map of WLL OU-1 Area 1 that includes the RIM found outside the known boundaries that are shown on past maps. Is EPA planning to provide an updated map. They want a map that shows the Area 1 boundary expanded, not just a map showing the current Area 1 plus the sampling locations of the RIM found recently. Will you be publishing an updated map in the near future? The CAG is asking for TASC to help them redraw the map. To avoid confusion, I think any updated map showing the Area 1/Area 2 boundaries should come from EPA, not the CAG or TASC.

Thanks.

Terrie

Terrie Boguski

Senior Technical Analyst

Skeo Solutions

913-780-3328 (office)

913-568-7550 (cell)

www.skeo.com

tboguski@skeo.com

tboguski@sbcglobal.net