From: Overman, Pamela
To: McKaughan, Colleen; Glosson, Niloufar; Lee, Anita
Subject: RE: Navajo Generating Station – Supplement to Proposed BART Federal Implementation Plan
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 1:58:08 PM

Can you add Nicole Honanie on the Hopi email also?
NHonanie@hopi.nsn.us

From: McKaughan, Colleen
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 1:53 PM
To: Glosson, Niloufar; Overman, Pamela; Lee, Anita
Subject: RE: Navajo Generating Station – Supplement to Proposed BART Federal Implementation Plan

So would I send the draft emails to Abi or directly to Jared?

From: Glosson, Niloufar
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 1:52 PM
To: McKaughan, Colleen; Overman, Pamela; Lee, Anita
Subject: FW: Navajo Generating Station – Supplement to Proposed BART Federal Implementation Plan
Importance: High

Looks good. Thanks. I just spelled out RHR and the CAA.
Also just got a hold of Abi and told her about my email to Teddy. She said they are out at lunch but she is calling Jared directly to ask. Will let you know.

Thanks,

- - Niloufar

Niloufar Nazmi Glosson
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
D: (415)972-3684| **C**: 415-328-1143| **E:** Glosson.niloufar@epa.gov

---

From: McKaughan, Colleen
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 1:42 PM
To: Glosson, Niloufar; Lee, Anita; Overman, Pamela
Subject: Navajo Generating Station – Supplement to Proposed BART Federal Implementation Plan
Importance: High

What do you think. We can also do one for Navajo.

Addresses: LShingoitewa@hopi.nsn.us; robertlyttle@yahoo.com;

Dear Chairman Shingoitewa,

I'm sorry we did not get a chance to talk today but I understand that you were in a Tribal Council meeting for most of the day. If you would like to schedule a call at a more convenient time, I would be glad to do so.

I did want to let you know that today I signed a supplemental notice proposing the BART

alternative, submitted on July 26th by the Technical Work Group (TWG), as a "better than BART" alternative. EPA's independent analysis of the TWG Alternative indicates that it meets the requirements of the ~~CAA and the RHR~~ Clean Air Act and the Regional Haze Rule. EPA is proposing to determine that the TWG alternative is "better than BART" because maintaining emissions below the 2009-2044 NO_X Cap achieves greater reasonable progress than BART towards the national visibility goal. EPA is continuing to take comment on our February 5, 2013 proposal as well as on this new TWG alternative through January 6, 2014. We understand that you do not support the agreement, but as we explained in our September 13, 2013, discussion, EPA's job is to evaluate the TWG Alternative for BART against the framework proposed on February 5, 2013. We look forward to getting comments from Hopi on the original proposal as well as this supplemental proposal.

I am attaching a fact sheet and a prepublication version of the notice. Shortly you will be able to view this and other pertinent information at: http://www.epa.gov/region9/air/navajo/

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at _____.

Jared Blumenfeld
Regional Administrator