From: Lee, Anita
To: McKaughan, Colleen
Subject: RE: Brief NGS Presentation at the Tucson/Pima County Metropolitan Energy Commission meeting
Date: Monday, November 25, 2013 8:14:56 AM

Hi Colleen,

Yes, coal use shouldn't change under BART or Alternative 1 (at least as proposed. Of course CAP/SRP still contend that BART and Alt 1 would force them NGS to close). Only under TWG Alternative would a reduction in coal usage occur.

Anita Lee, PhD
Environmental Scientist
US EPA, Air Division, Planning Office (Air-2)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3958

From: McKaughan, Colleen
Sent: Friday, November 22, 2013 7:27 AM
To: Lee, Anita
Subject: FW: Brief NGS Presentation at the Tucson/Pima County Metropolitan Energy Commission meeting

Am I correct in thinking that coal use wouldn't change under BART and Alternative 1? It only changes under the TWG alternative due to the shutdown of 1 unit, but I wanted to make sure I'm not missing something.

I've asked Ann whether I should accept this invitation. If I did, I would use your presentation.

From: Susanne Cotty [mailto:scotty@pagnet.org]
Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2013 1:28 PM
To: McKaughan, Colleen
Cc: 'Robert Bulechek'; czucker@pagnet.org
Subject: Brief NGS Presentation at the Tucson/Pima County Metropolitan Energy Commission meeting

Colleen,
The Tucson/Pima County Metropolitan Energy Commission (MEC), of which I am a member and Secretary, is considering submitting a letter to the Tucson Mayor and Council and the County Board of Supervisors in support of one of the options regarding NOx emission reductions at the Navajo Generating Station. Would you be available to give a brief presentation to the Commissioners regarding the 3 options for NGS NOx reductions? The meetings are held at the Ward III Council Office, 1510 E. Grant Road, Tucson, starting at 7:45 a.m.; the next meeting will be Dec. 19th. Committee members mentioned that a presentation from various stakeholders (CAP, EPA and others) would be helpful in shaping MEC's position on the issue.

A few questions arose at today's meeting during our initial discussion of the 3 proposals:

- Will the amount of coal burned for electricity generation (i.e. CO2 production) differ under the 3 options? (I know Unit 1 would close in 2019 under the TWG proposal- thus lowering coal use but what about the other 2 options?)
- Provided there are differences in coal use among options 1 and 2, what would be the differences between the 3 options for short-term (e.g. 10 years) and long term (20-30 years) in coal use/CO2 emissions?
- What is the 5-year deadline for reaching NOx emission limits in the 1st proposal based on ? (conditions/equipment at NGS, the Clean Air Act or other factors?)

Also, are those displays shown at the open house on Nov. 15th available for viewing? They were very helpful in illustrating the differences between the various proposals.

Hope to see you at our next MEC meeting.

Best wishes,

Susanne T. Cotty

Senior Air Quality Planner

Pima Association of Governments
Sustainable Environment Program
177 N. Church Ave., Suite 405 ***(Moving in 2014)***
Tucson, AZ 85701

(520) 792-1093

'Like PimaCleanAir' on Facebook