TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To: Mary Aycock, U.S. EPA

From: Mark Gardiner, Aptim Diversified Services

Subject: TARP PFC Audit #1

DCN: 500268-GE-000001

Date: October 10, 2018, Revised November 1, 2018

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This technical memorandum summarizes audit and observation activities conducted at the Tucson Area Remediation Project (TARP) site on October 9, 2018. Mark Gardiner with Aptim Diversified Services (Aptim) conducted the audit on behalf of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). TARP is part of the Tucson International Airport Area (TIAA) Superfund Site. The purpose of the audit was to observe Tucson Water personnel preparing for and collecting perfluorinated compound (PFC) samples at several locations at the TARP facility.

2.0 AUDIT ACTIVITIES

2.1 Laboratory Inspection

The audit began at the Tucson Water Hayden Udall laboratory located at the Central Arizona Project (CAP) Water Treatment Plant located on S. Tucson Estates Parkway, west of Tucson. Photographs of the entrance to the facility and several of the laboratory areas are attached to this memorandum (Attachment A). Activities observed included the preparation of the sampling kit and calibration of the instruments used to measure field parameters (pH, EV, temperature) during sampling. Water from the CAP Water Treatment Plant is currently being blended with treated water from TARP at the rate of 2,000 gallons per minute; the water is added downstream of TARP prior to the water entering the Santa Cruz Lane Reservoir.

2.2 PFC Sampling

Three sample points were sampled at the TARP facility located on W. Irvington Road in Tucson. Photographs of the sampling points are attached to this memorandum. Each sample consists of filling two, 250 milliliter poly bottles with water from the sample port. The sample bottles are prepared by Tucson Water's laboratory subcontractor, Eurofins. The

samples were collected in accordance with Eurofins' UCMR3 537 Perfluorinated Compounds Sampling Instructions (Attachment B). The bottles contain 1.4 grams total of the preservatives Tris Hydrochloride and Tris (Hydroxymethly) Aminomethane. The sample port valve is opened for several minutes to allow water to purge from the line. A sample from each port is then collected for field parameter measurements. Once field parameters have been collected, the PFC sample bottles are filled. The chain of custody (COC) and sample label is then completed with time and date information. Tucson Water stated in a TARP Operations meeting on October 4, 2018 that the current analytical turnaround time for PFC at Eurofins is 2 to 3 weeks.

The sample points are identified as follows:

- TA-030A: Raw water entering the AOP facility from the well fields.
- TA-050T: Treated water that has left the AOP treatment plant and passed through the GAC contactors.
- TA-021T: Treated water that has then passed through the packed column aeration facility.

These sample points are located upstream of the Santa Cruz Lane Reservoir and prior to the treated water being blended with CAP water.

2.3 Analytical Results

Analytical results for the 3 sample points listed above and 5 additional sample points located downstream after the treated water is blended with CAP water are summarized as Table 1, and the laboratory analytical report is provided as Attachment C. The analytical data for the October 9, 2018 samples were provided to Tucson Water on October 24, 2018 and transmitted to EPA on Thursday, October 25, 2018. The influent concentration result was 11.2 nanograms per liter or parts per trillion (ppt) total PFC. The effluent concentration leaving the TARP facility and prior to blending was 14.3 ppt total PFC. The concentration after blending and leaving the Santa Cruz Lane Reservoir was 9.1 ppt total PFC. Concentrations further downstream at sample points in the distribution system ranged from 7.6 ppt to 9.0 ppt total PFC.

EPA Method 537 describes the collection of a field reagent blank (FRB) with each set of samples, to ensure that PFC compounds are not introduced into the sample during sample collection and handling. This is described in Section 8.3 of EPA537 (Attachment D). An FRB was collected with this set of samples at sample location 198R, and the results were

non-detect for all analytes. Tucson Water stated that over 50 FRBs have been collected this year, with no detections in any of the samples.

Table 1: PFC Sample Analytical Results from October 9, 2018 Sampling Event

Location Description	Sample Location	Result
AOP Influent (Raw Water)	TA-030A	11.2
AOP Effluent	TA-050T	13.7
Packed Column Aeration Effluent	TP-021T	14.3
Santa Cruz Lane Reservoir	198R	9.1
Distribution Sample Point	SP-830	7.6
Distribution Sample Point	SP-810	8.7
Distribution Sample Point	SP-790	8.6
Distribution Sample Point	SP-210	9.0

Note: Results in parts per trillion total combined PFOS and PFOA

3.0 OBSERVATIONS

No issues were observed with the execution of the PFC sampling. The Tucson Water sampler wore appropriate gloves (nitrile) and maintained appropriate procedure for sampling and avoiding cross-contamination of the samples. This is critical in sampling for PFC since they are prevalent in many products and in the environment. It was observed that the sample containers had numbers hand-written on the lids in black ink; Tucson Water indicates that the pens used for the samples are provided by Eurofins in the sample kit and are what is recommended for use on the labels. The samples were maintained under a COC and placed in a sample cooler to be shipped to Eurofins in California for analysis.

4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- Visit and observe sampling at the remaining sample points downstream of the TARP facility during the next audit. This will be scheduled for three weeks from the October 9, 2018 audit, on October 30, 2018. These sample points had already been sampled prior to the audit at the TARP facility. These points include 198R, the new sample point leaving the Santa Cruz Lane Reservoir, SP-210, SP-790, SP-810, and SP-830. SP-830 was the previous sample point that was being used to sample water that was thought to be from TARP as it entered the distribution system, but was not.

- Obtain a copy of the protocol or standard operating procedure that Tucson Water is using to implement the PFC sampling. The procedure can then be used in the field during the next audit to verify all protocols are being followed.

- Recommend that the Tucson Water sampler have a copy of the sample procedure on hand during PFC sampling activities.

- Verify with Eurofins that the pens being used to write numbers on the sample container lids and labels is PFC free.

- Request a sample location map from Tucson Water for the downstream points starting at the Santa Cruz Lane Reservoir.

ATTACHMENT A: Site Photographs

TARP Facility Sample Point TA-030A

TARP Facility Sample Point TA-050T

TARP Facility Sample Point TP-021T

Example sample

Tucson Water CAP Water Treatment Plant – the Hayden Udall laboratory is located here.

Tucson Water CAP Facility – the Hayden Udall laboratory is located here.

Tucson Water Hayden Udall Laboratory at CAP Water Treatment Plant

Tucson Water Hayden Udall Laboratory at CAP Water Treatment Plant

ATTACHMENT B: Eurofins UCMR3 537

Perfluorinated Compounds Sampling Instructions

eurofins | Eaton Analytical
formerly MWH Laboratories

UCMR3 537 (Perfluorinated Compounds) Sampling Instructions

1. **a) FREEZE GELPAKS UPON RECEIPT OF SAMPLE KIT AND ADD FROZEN GELPAKS TO THE COOLER ON THE DAY OF SAMPLING.**

   b) WHEN SAMPLING, BRING OTHER AVAILABLE BAGGED WET ICE IN SEALED BAGS OR FROZEN GELPAKS TO CHILL SAMPLES DURING SAMPLE COLLECTION.

2. The sampler will receive the following sample kit from our lab:

Bottle Label	# of Bottles	Size	Bottle	Preservative
@UCMR3 537	3	275mL	Polypropylene (PP) bottles with PP screw-caps (**no round label on bottle**)	1.4g of Trizma
@UCMR3 537 TB	1	275mL	PP bottles with PP screw-caps (**white round label on bottle**)	1.4g of Trizma
@UCMR3 537 FB	1	275mL	PP bottles with PP screw-caps (**blue round label on bottle**)	None

* The sampler will receive the Trip Blank (TB) filled with water and preservative. It is colored in the picture to indicate the bottle is filled (not empty) for picture purposes only. **The sampler will also receive an empty bottle labeled @UCMR3 537 FB (Field Blank) and 3 sets of preserved bottles.

3. Put on nitrile gloves. While at the site, before collecting samples, open the @UCMR3 537 TB labeled bottle containing the preserved reagent water.

4. Pour the preserved reagent water into the empty bottle labeled (@UCMR3 537 FB)

   Cap both the filled @UCMR3 537 FB and the now empty TB bottle. Ship the filled FB and the now empty @UCMR3 537 TB bottle back to the lab along with the samples.

5. If sampling from faucet, remove the aerator and screen.

6. Open the tap and let the water of the sample source run at fast flow for approximately 5 minutes.

7. Use indelible ink (pen included in kit) to clearly identify the sample bottles with the information listed below.
   - -Sample ID
   - -Source of sample, if not already on label
   - -Analysis required, if not already on label
   - -Date and Time of collection
   - -Preservative used, if not already on label

Revised: 11/14/12

8. Slow water flow to thickness of a pencil (to minimize splashing) and fill bottle.

9. Fill sample bottle up to **bottom of neck**, taking care not to flush out preservatives and making sure the mouth of the bottle does not come in contact with anything other than sample water.

10. Cap and invert the bottles at least 5 times to mix the sample with the preservative.

11. Collect sample for the other 2 sample bottles by repeating steps 8 to 10.

SHIPPING SAMPLES AND STORAGE

1. If shipping samples on the same day of sampling, chill samples until at or below 10°C by exchanging the ice used during sampling with available sealed bag of fresh frozen ice or frozen gelpaks.
2. **Pack chilled samples** in a cooler with FROZEN gelpaks.
3. Complete the Chain of Custody during sample collection. Place completed Kit Order and completed Chain of Custody in a ziplock bag in the cooler on top of packing material. The following information is required on the completed Chain of Custody.
   - **Collector's name**
   - **Unique field sample ID (from UCMR database)**
   - **PWSID #**
   - **Facility ID # (from UCMR database)**
   - **Date and time of collection**
   - **Comments about the sample, if applicable**
   - **Sample type (EP, MR, or FB)**
   - **Sample Event Number (SE1, SE2, SE3, SE4)**
4. **Ship via overnight service such as FEDEX, UPS, or DHL, etc.** Sample must not exceed 10˚C during transit.
5. Samples MUST arrive at lab within 48 hours of sampling at or less than 10˚C, greater than 0˚C (not frozen).
6. **If samples are received more than 48 hours after sampling they must be at or less than 6˚C, greater than 0˚C (not frozen).**
7. If samples are received on the same day as collection, temperature may be greater than 10˚C with evidence of cooling such as frozen gelpaks or wet ice.
8. Maximum **HOLDING TIME FOR SAMPLES IS 14 DAYS** from time of collection. Sample extracts can be held for a maximum of 28 days.
9. Alternatively, cool the samples down by placing them **overnight** in a cooler with frozen refrigerant packs or water ice, or in a refrigerator (store chilled for at least 12 hours before packing for shipment). Maintain the samples cold until repacked in the cooler for shipment to the lab

ADDITIONAL NOTES

1. Do not composite (i.e., combine, mix or blend) UCMR3 samples.
2. Avoid handling potential contamination such as food packaging and certain foods and beverages before sample collection. Wash hands before sampling and wear powderless nitrile gloves (included in kit) while filling and sealing the sample bottles.
3. Collect samples early enough in the day to allow adequate time to cool and to send those samples for overnight delivery to the laboratory, if not refrigerated and stored overnight before shipping.
4. Try to collect only on a Monday, Tuesday or Wednesday and ship no later than Thursday of each week, and try to **NOT** collect samples on Friday, Saturday, or Sunday unless special arrangements have been made for the receipt of samples at the laboratory within 48-hours of collection.
5. If in doubt, please review our YouTube sampling video at http://www.youtube.com/user/EurofinsEaton.

ATTACHMENT C: Analytical Laboratory Report

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

750 Royal Oaks Drive, Suite 100
Monrovia, California 91016-3629
Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

ilac-MRA

ACLASS ACCREDITED TESTING AT-1807

Laboratory Report

for

Tucson City of
Water Quality Laboratory
4401 S. Tucson Estates Parkway
Tucson, AZ 85735
Attention: Mike Dew
Fax: 520-791-5260

NELAP RECOGNIZED TNI ACCREDITATION BODY

Utah ELCP CA00006

Date of Issue
10/24/2018

Eurofins Eaton Analytical, LLC

TDF: Thomas.D.French

Project Manager

Report:767478
Project:EDC-PPCP
ADHS License #:AZ0778
Group:EPA 537
PO#:PO#: 40258

* Accredited in accordance with TNI 2009 and ISO/IEC 17025:2005.
* Laboratory certifies that the test results meet all **TNI 2009 and ISO/IEC 17025:2005** requirements unless noted under the individual analysis.
* Following the cover page are State Certification List, ISO 17025 Accredited Method List, Acknowledgement of Samples Received, Comments, Hits Report, Data Report, QC Summary, QC Report and Regulatory Forms, as applicable.
* Test results relate only to the sample(s) tested.
* This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

STATE CERTIFICATION LIST

State	Certification Number	State	Certification Number
Alabama	41060	**Mississippi**	Certified
Arizona	AZ0778	**Montana**	Cert 0035
Arkansas	Certified	**Nebraska**	Certified
California-Monrovia-ELAP	2813	**Nevada**	CA000062018
California-Colton- ELAP	2812	**New Hampshire ***	2959
Colorado	Certified	**New Jersey ***	CA 008
Connecticut	PH-0107	**New Mexico**	Certified
Delaware	CA 006	**New York ***	11320
Florida *	E871024	**North Carolina**	06701
Georgia	947	**North Dakota**	R-009
Guam	18-005R	**Oregon ***	CA200003-005
Hawaii	Certified	**Pennsylvania ***	68-565
Idaho	Certified	**Puerto Rico**	Certified
Illinois *	200033	**Rhode Island**	LAO00326
Indiana	C-CA-01	**South Carolina**	87016
Iowa - Asbestos	413	**South Dakota**	Certified
Kansas *	E-10268	**Tennessee**	TN02839
Kentucky	90107	**Texas ***	T104704230-17-13
Louisiana *	LA180000	**Utah (Primary AB) ***	CA00006
Maine	CA0006	**Vermont**	VT0114
Maryland	224	**Virginia ***	460260
Commonwealth of Northern Marianas Is.	MP0004	**Washington**	C838
Massachusetts	M-CA006	**EPA Region 5**	Certified
Michigan	9906	**Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts**	10264

* NELAP/TNI Recognized Accreditation Bodies

Eurofins Eaton Analytical, LLC
750 Royal Oaks Drive, Suite 100
Monrovia, CA 91016-3629
T | 626-386-1100
F | 866-988-3757
www.EurofinsUS.com/Eaton

ISO 17025 Accredited Method List

The tests listed below are accredited and meet the requirements of ISO 17025 as verified by the ANSI-ASQ National Accreditation Board/ANAB.
Refer to Certificate and scope of accreditation (AT 1807) found at: http://www.eatonanalytical.com

SPECIFIC TESTS	METHOD OR TECHNIQUE USED	Environ-mental (Drinking Water)	Environ-mental (Waste Water)	Water as a Component of Food and Bev/Bev/ Bottled Water
1,2,3-TCP (5 PPT & 0.5 PPT)	CA SRL 524M-TCP	x		x
1,4-Dioxane	EPA 522	x		x
2,3,7,8-TCDD	Modified EPA 1613B	x		x
Acrylamide	In House Method (2440)	x		x
Algal Toxins/Microcystin	In House Method (3570)			
Alkalinity	SM 2320B	x	x	x
Ammonia	EPA 350.1		x	x
Ammonia	SM 4500-NH3 H		x	x
Anions and DBPs by IC	EPA 300.0	x	x	x
Anions and DBPs by IC	EPA 300.1	x		x
Asbestos	EPA 100.2	x	x	
BOD / CBOD	SM 5210B		x	x
Bromate	In House Method (2447)	x		x
Carbamates	EPA 531.2	x		x
Carbonate as CO3	SM 2330B	x	x	x
Carbonyls	EPA 556	x		x
COD	EPA 410.4 / SM 5220D		x	
Chloramines	SM 4500-CL G	x	x	x
Chlorinated Acids	EPA 515.4	x		x
Chlorinated Acids	EPA 555	x		x
Chlorine Dioxide	SM 4500-CLO2 D Palin Test	x		x
Chlorine -Total/Free/ Combined Residua	SM 4500-Cl G	x	x	x
Conductivity	EPA 120.1		x	
Conductivity	SM 2510B	x	x	x
Corrosivity (Langelier Index)	SM 2330B	x		x
Cyanide, Amenable	SM 4500-CN G	x	x	
Cyanide, Free	SM 4500CN F	x	x	x
Cyanide, Total	EPA 335.4	x	x	x
Cyanogen Chloride (screen)	In House Method (2470)	x		x
Diquat and Paraquat	EPA 549.2	x		x
DBP/HAA	SM 6251B	x		x
Dissolved Oxygen	SM 4500-O G		x	x
DOC	SM 5310C	x		x
E. Coli	(MTF/EC+MUG)	x		x
E. Coli	CFR 141.21(f)(6)(i)	x		x
E. Coli	SM 9223		x	
E. Coli (Enumeration)	SM 9221B.1/ SM 9221F	x		x
E. Coli (Enumeration)	SM 9223B	x		x
EDB/DCBP	EPA 504.1	x		
EDB/DBCP and DBP	EPA 551.1	x		x
EDTA and NTA	In House Method (2454)	x		x
Endothall	EPA 548.1	x		x
Endothall	In-house Method (2445)	x		x
Enterococci	SM 9230B	x	x	
Fecal Coliform	SM 9221 E (MTF/EC)	x		
Fecal Coliform	SM 9221C, E (MTF/EC)		x	
Fecal Coliform (Enumeration)	SM 9221E (MTF/EC)	x		x
Fecal Coliform with Chlorine Present	SM 9221E		x	
Fecal Streptococci	SM 9230B	x	x	
Fluoride	SM 4500-F C	x	x	x
Glyphosate	EPA 547	x		x
Glyphosate + AMPA	In House Method (3618)	x		x
Gross Alpha/Beta	EPA 900.0	x	x	x
Gross Alpha Coprecipitation	SM 7110 C	x	x	x
Hardness	SM 2340B	x	x	x
Heterotrophic Bacteria	In House Method (2439)	x		x
Heterotrophic Bacteria	SM 9215 B	x		x
Hexavalent Chromium	EPA 218.6	x	x	x

SPECIFIC TESTS	METHOD OR TECHNIQUE USED	Environ-mental (Drinking Water)	Environ-mental (Waste Water)	Water as a Component of Food and Bev/Bev/ Bottled Water
Hexavalent Chromium	EPA 218.7	x		x
Hexavalent Chromium	SM 3500-Cr B		x	
Hormones	EPA 539	x		x
Hydroxide as OH Calc.	SM 2330B	x		x
Kjeldahl Nitrogen	EPA 351.2		x	
Legionella	Legiolert	x		x
Mercury	EPA 245.1	x	x	x
Metals	EPA 200.7 / 200.8	x	x	x
Microcystin LR	ELISA (2360)	x		x
Microcystin, Total	EPA 546	x		x
NDMA	EPA 521 In house method (2425)	x		x
Nitrate/Nitrite Nitrogen	EPA 353.2	x	x	x
OCL, Pesticides/PCB	EPA 505	x		x
Ortho Phosphate	EPA 365.1	x	x	x
Ortho Phosphorous	SM 4500P E	x		x
Oxyhalides Disinfection Byproducts	EPA 317.0	x		x
Perchlorate	EPA 331.0	x		x
Perchlorate (low and high)	EPA 314.0	x		x
Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids	EPA 537	x		x
Perfluorinated Polutant	In house Method (2434)	x		x
pH	EPA 150.1	x		
pH	SM 4500-H+B	x	x	x
Phenylurea Pesticides/ Herbicides	In House Method, based on EPA 532 (2448)	x		x
Pseudomonas	IDEXX Pseudalert (2461)	x		x
Radium-226	GA Institute of Tech	x		x
Radium-228	GA Institute of Tech	x		x
Radon-222	SM 7500RN	x		x
Residue, Filterable	SM 2540C	x	x	x
Residue, Non-filterable	SM 2540D		x	
Residue, Total	SM 2540B		x	x
Residue, Volatile	EPA 160.4		x	
Semi-VOC	EPA 525.2	x		x
Silica	SM 4500-Si D	x	x	
Silica	SM 4500-SiO2 C	x	x	
Sulfide	SM 4500-$S^{=}$ D		x	
Sulfite	SM 4500-SO^3B	x	x	x
Surfactants	SM 5540C	x	x	x
Taste and Odor Analytes	SM 6040E	x		x
Total Coliform (P/A)	SM 9221 A, B	x		x
Total Coliform (Enumeration)	SM 9221 A, B, C	x		x
Total Coliform / E. coli	Colisure SM 9223	x		x
Total Coliform	SM 9221B		x	
Total Coliform with Chlorine Present	SM 9221B		x	
Total Coliform / E.coli (P/A and Enumeration)	SM 9223	x		x
TOC	SM 5310C	x	x	x
TOX	SM 5320B		x	
Total Phenols	EPA 420.1		x	
Total Phenols	EPA 420.4	x	x	x
Total Phosphorous	SM 4500 P E		x	
Triazine Pesticides & Degradates	In House (3617)	x		x
Turbidity	EPA 180.1	x	x	x
Turbidity	SM 2130B	x	x	
Uranium by ICP/MS	EPA 200.8	x		x
UV 254	SM 5910B	x		
VOC	EPA 524.2	x		x
VOC	In House Method (2411)	x		x
Yeast and Mold	SM 9610	x		x

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Acknowledgement of Samples Received

Addr: **Tucson City of**
Water Quality Laboratory
4401 S. Tucson Estates Parkway
Tucson, AZ 85735

Attn: Mike Dew
Phone: 520-837-2455

Client ID: TUCSONWATER
Folder #: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Sample Group: EPA 537

Project Manager: Thomas.D.French
Phone: (480) 778-1558
PO #: 40258

The following samples were received from you on **October 11, 2018** at **1817**. They have been scheduled for the tests listed below each sample. If this information is incorrect, please contact your service representative. Thank you for using Eurofins Eaton Analytical, LLC.

Sample #	Sample ID	Sample Date
201810110841	L181360-01 198R	10/09/2018 1037
	@537	
201810110842	L181360-02 198R Field Blank	10/09/2018 1037
	@537 FB	
201810110843	L181360-03 SP-830	10/09/2018 1059
	@537	
201810110844	L181360-04 SP-810	10/09/2018 1111
	@537	
201810110845	L181360-05 SP-790	10/09/2018 1125
	@537	
201810110846	L181360-06 SP-210	10/09/2018 1146
	@537	
201810110847	L181360-07 TA-030A	10/09/2018 1232
	@537	
201810110848	L181360-08 TA-050T	10/09/2018 1240
	@537	
201810110849	L181360-09 TP-021T	10/09/2018 1252
	@537	

Test Description

@537 -- Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids

@537 FB -- Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

750 Royal Oaks Drive, Suite 100
Monrovia, CA 91016-3629

Phone: 626 386 1100
Fax: 626 386 1101

800 566 LABS (800 566 5227)

Website: www.EatonAnalytical.com

EUROFINS EATON ANALYTICAL USE ONLY: 767478

LOGIN COMMENTS: _____

SAMPLES CHECKED AGAINST COC BY: CB

SAMPLES LOGGED IN BY: CA

SAMPLES REC'D DAY OF COLLECTION? [ ] (check for yes)

SAMPLE TEMP RECEIVED AT:

[ ] Colton / No. California / Arizona _____ °C (Compliance: 4 ± 2 °C)

[X] Monrovia 1.8 °C (Compliance: 4 ± 2 °C)

CONDITION OF BLUE ICE: Frozen _____ Partially Frozen _____ Thawed _____ Wet Ice X No Ice _____

METHOD OF SHIPMENT: Pick-Up / Walk-In / FedEx / UPS / DHL / Area Fast / Top Line / Other: _____

TO BE COMPLETED BY SAMPLER:

COMPANY/AGENCY NAME: TUCSON WATER DEPARTMENT

PROJECT CODE: EDC-PPCP

COMPLIANCE SAMPLES [ ] (check for yes) - Requires state forms [ ]

NON-COMPLIANCE SAMPLES [X] (check for yes)

REGULATION INVOLVED: _____ (eg. SDWA, Phase V, NPDES, FDA,...)

Type of samples (circle one): ROUTINE SPECIAL CONFIRMATION

EEA CLIENT CODE: TUCSONWATER

COC ID:

SAMPLE GROUP: EPA 537

SEE ATTACHED BOTTLE ORDER FOR ANALYSES [ ] (check for yes), *OR*

list ANALYSES REQUIRED (enter number of bottles sent for each test for each sample)

TAT requested: rush by adv notice only — STD __ 1 wk X 3 day __ 2 day __ 1 day __

SAMPLE DATE	SAMPLE TIME	SAMPLE ID	CLIENT LAB ID	MATRIX *	FIELD DATA	FIELD DATA	@537	@537-FB	SAMPLER COMMENTS
10/09/18	1037	198R	L181360-01	CFW			2		
10/09/18	1037	198R FIELD BLANK	L181360-02					1	
10/09/18	1059	SP-830	L181360-03	CFW			2		
10/09/18	1111	SP-810	L181360-04	CFW			2		
10/09/18	1125	SP-790	L181360-05	CFW			2		
10/09/18	1146	SP-210	L181360-06	CFW			2		
10/09/18	1232	TA-030A	L181360-07	RGW			2		
10/09/18	1240	TA-050T	L181360-08	FW			2		
10/09/18	1252	TP-021T	L181360-09	CFW			2		

* **MATRIX TYPES:** RSW = Raw Surface Water; RGW = Raw Ground Water; CFW = Chlor(am)inated Finished Water; FW = Other Finished Water; SEAW = Sea Water; WW = Waste Water; BW = Bottled Water; SW = Storm Water; SO = Soil; SL = Sludge; O = Other - Please Identify

	SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY/TITLE	DATE	TIME
SAMPLED BY:		John Pipentacos	Tucson Water / Water Quality Analyst	10/09/18	1037
RELINQUISHED BY:		John Pipentacos	Tucson Water / Water Quality Analyst	10/09/18	1340
RECEIVED BY:		John Pipentacos	Tucson Water / Water Quality Analyst	10/09/18	1350
RELINQUISHED BY:		John Pipentacos	Tucson Water / Water Quality Analyst	10/09/18	1500
RECEIVED BY:	UPS	~~UPS~~	UPS: 1ZYR802601~~90018677~~	~~10/09/18~~	1500

UPS: 1ZYR802601 90023113 10-10-18 1500 JP 10/09/18 4.8°C

Chuck Brooks CHUCK BROOKS EEA 10.11.18 1817

PAGE 1 OF 1

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

INTERNAL CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

EEA Folder Number: 762478

SAMPLE TEMP RECEIVED:
Note: If samples are out of temperature range, let the ASMs know. ASMs will determine whether to proceed with analysis or not.
SAMPLES REC'D DAY OF COLLECTION? Yes / No

IR Gun ID = 617A (Observation= 2.0 °C) (Corr.Factor -0.2 °C) (Final = 1.8 °C)

TYPE OF ICE: Real ✓ Synthetic ____ No Ice ____ CONDITION OF ICE: Frozen ____ Partially Frozen ✓ Thawed ____ N/A ____

METHOD OF SHIPMENT: Pick-Up / Walk-In / FedEx / UPS / DHL / Area Fast / Top Line / Other: ____
1ZY8802601900231 3

Compliance Acceptance Criteria:

1) Chemistry: >0, ≤ 6°C, not frozen (NELAP) (If received after 24 hrs of sample collection)

2) Microbiology, Distribution: < 10°C, not frozen (can be ≥10°C if received on ice the same day as sample collection, within 8 hours)

3) Microbiology, Surface Water: < 10°C (If received after 2 hours of sample collection)

If out of temperature range for both Chemistry and Microbiology samples and temperature does not confirm, then measure the temperature of each quadrant and record each temperature of the quadrants

1 = (Observation= ____ °C) (Corr.Factor ____ °C) (Final = ____ °C)	2 = (Observation= ____ °C) (Corr.Factor ____ °C) (Final = ____ °C)
3 = (Observation= ____ °C) (Corr.Factor ____ °C) (Final = ____ °C)	4 = (Observation= ____ °C) (Corr.Factor ____ °C) (Final = ____ °C)

4 Dioxin (1613 or 2,3,7,8 TCDD): must be between 0-4 °C, not frozen (If received after 24 hrs of sample collection)

5) pH Check. Manufacturer: ____ Lot Number: ____ pH strip type: 0 - 14 or ____ Expiration Date ____ Results: ____

6) Chlorine check. Manufacturer: Sansafe. Lot No.: ____ Expiration Date: ____ Results ____

7) VOA Headspace: No Samples with Headspace: ☐ Samples with Headspace (see below): ☐

Headspace Documentation (use additional VOC Internal COFC for additional bottles)

Exempt from headspace concerns: Methods 515.4, HAA(6251,552), 505, SPME, @CH, 532LCMS, 556, 536, Anatoxin, LCMS methods using 40 ml vials, International clients:

Samp ID	Bottle #	None/<6 mm	>6mm

Samp ID	Bottle #	None/<6 mm	>6mm

Samp ID	Bottle #	None/<6 mm	>6mm

Samp ID	Bottle #	None/<6 mm	>6mm

Note Sample IDs which have dissimilar headspace (i.e. potential sampling errors): ____

	SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY/TITLE	DATE	TIME
RECEIVED BY:	Chuck Brooks	CHUCK BROOKS	Eurofins Eaton Analytical	10.11.18	18:17

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory Hits

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of
Mike Dew
Water Quality Laboratory
4401 S. Tucson Estates Parkway
Tucson, AZ 85735

Samples Received on:
10/11/2018 1817

Analyzed	Analyte	Sample ID	Result	Federal MCL	Units	MRL
	201810110841	**L181360-01 198R**				
10/22/2018 20:50	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.0040		ug/L	0.0018
10/22/2018 20:50	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.025		ug/L	0.0018
10/22/2018 20:50	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.0065		ug/L	0.002
10/22/2018 20:50	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.0060		ug/L	0.0019
10/22/2018 20:50	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.0031		ug/L	0.002
	201810110843	**L181360-03 SP-830**				
10/23/2018 06:35	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.0035		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 06:35	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.020		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 06:35	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.0050		ug/L	0.002
10/23/2018 06:35	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.0051		ug/L	0.0019
10/23/2018 06:35	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.0025		ug/L	0.002
	201810110844	**L181360-04 SP-810**				
10/23/2018 06:57	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.0040		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 06:57	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.025		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 06:57	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.0057		ug/L	0.002
10/23/2018 06:57	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.0058		ug/L	0.0019
10/23/2018 06:57	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.0029		ug/L	0.002
	201810110845	**L181360-05 SP-790**				
10/22/2018 21:54	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.0043		ug/L	0.0018
10/22/2018 21:54	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.024		ug/L	0.0018
10/22/2018 21:54	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.0060		ug/L	0.002
10/22/2018 21:54	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.0058		ug/L	0.0019
10/22/2018 21:54	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.0028		ug/L	0.002
	201810110846	**L181360-06 SP-210**				
10/23/2018 07:19	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.0040		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 07:19	Perfluoroheptanoic acid		0.0020		ug/L	0.002
10/23/2018 07:19	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.024		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 07:19	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.0063		ug/L	0.002
10/23/2018 07:19	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.0060		ug/L	0.0019
10/23/2018 07:19	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.0030		ug/L	0.002
	201810110847	**L181360-07 TA-030A**				
10/23/2018 00:04	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.0066		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 00:04	Perfluoroheptanoic acid		0.0030		ug/L	0.002

SUMMARY OF POSITIVE DATA ONLY

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory Hits

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of
Mike Dew
Water Quality Laboratory
4401 S. Tucson Estates Parkway
Tucson, AZ 85735

Samples Received on:
10/11/2018 1817

Analyzed	Analyte	Sample ID	Result	Federal MCL	Units	MRL
10/23/2018 00:04	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.040		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 00:04	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.0092		ug/L	0.002
10/23/2018 00:04	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.0068		ug/L	0.0019
10/23/2018 00:04	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.0044		ug/L	0.002
	201810110848	**L181360-08 TA-050T**				
10/23/2018 00:25	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.0067		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 00:25	Perfluoroheptanoic acid		0.0033		ug/L	0.002
10/23/2018 00:25	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.040		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 00:25	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.011		ug/L	0.002
10/23/2018 00:25	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.0091		ug/L	0.0019
10/23/2018 00:25	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.0046		ug/L	0.002
	201810110849	**L181360-09 TP-021T**				
10/23/2018 00:47	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.0066		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 00:47	Perfluoroheptanoic acid		0.0030		ug/L	0.002
10/23/2018 00:47	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.041		ug/L	0.0018
10/23/2018 00:47	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.011		ug/L	0.002
10/23/2018 00:47	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.0097		ug/L	0.0019
10/23/2018 00:47	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.0046		ug/L	0.002

SUMMARY OF POSITIVE DATA ONLY

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory Comments

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of
Mike Dew
Water Quality Laboratory
4401 S. Tucson Estates Parkway
Tucson, AZ 85735

Flags Legend:

LE - MRL Check recovery was above laboratory acceptance limits.

The Comments Report may be blank if there are no comments for this report.

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory Data

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of
Mike Dew
Water Quality Laboratory
4401 S. Tucson Estates Parkway
Tucson, AZ 85735

Samples Received on:
10/11/2018 1817

Prepped	Analyzed	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch	Method	Analyte	Result	Units	MRL	Dilution
L181360-01 198R (201810110841)							**Sampled on 10/09/2018 1037**		
		EPA 537 - Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids							
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	0.0040	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorodecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorododecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	0.025	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanoic acid	0.0065	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	0.0060	ug/L	0.0019	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanoic acid	0.0031	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFDA	107	%		1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFHxA	105	%		1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOA	111	%		1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOS	105	%		1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d3-NMeFOSAA	104	%		1
10/18/18	10/22/18 20:50	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d5-NEtFOSAA	100	%		1
L181360-02 198R Field Blank (201810110842)							**Sampled on 10/09/2018 1037**		
		EPA 537 - Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids							
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	ND	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluorodecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluorododecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	ND	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1

Rounding on totals after summation.
(c) - indicates calculated results

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory Data

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of
Mike Dew
Water Quality Laboratory
4401 S. Tucson Estates Parkway
Tucson, AZ 85735

Samples Received on:
10/11/2018 1817

Prepped	Analyzed	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch	Method	Analyte	Result	Units	MRL	Dilution
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	ND (LE)	ug/L	0.0019	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	13C-PFDA	95	%		1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	13C-PFHxA	99	%		1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOA	129	%		1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOS	126	%		1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	d3-NMeFOSAA	115	%		1
10/14/18	10/16/18 17:50	1124859	1125595	(EPA 537)	d5-NEtFOSAA	101	%		1

L181360-03 SP-830 (201810110843) **Sampled on 10/09/2018 1059**

EPA 537 - Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids

10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	0.0035	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorodecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorododecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	0.020	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanoic acid	0.0050	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	0.0051	ug/L	0.0019	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanoic acid	0.0025	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFDA	94	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFHxA	102	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOA	113	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOS	105	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d3-NMeFOSAA	106	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:35	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d5-NEtFOSAA	94	%		1

L181360-04 SP-810 (201810110844) **Sampled on 10/09/2018 1111**

EPA 537 - Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids

Rounding on totals after summation.
(c) - indicates calculated results

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory Data

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of
Mike Dew
Water Quality Laboratory
4401 S. Tucson Estates Parkway
Tucson, AZ 85735

Samples Received on:
10/11/2018 1817

Prepped	Analyzed	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch	Method	Analyte	Result	Units	MRL	Dilution
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	0.0040	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorodecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorododecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	0.025	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanoic acid	0.0057	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	0.0058	ug/L	0.0019	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanoic acid	0.0029	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFDA	92	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFHxA	98	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOA	115	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOS	101	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d3-NMeFOSAA	106	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 06:57	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d5-NEtFOSAA	95	%		1

L181360-05 SP-790 (201810110845) **Sampled on 10/09/2018 1125**

EPA 537 - Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids

10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	0.0043	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorodecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorododecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	0.024	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanoic acid	0.0060	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	0.0058	ug/L	0.0019	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanoic acid	0.0028	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1

Rounding on totals after summation.
(c) - indicates calculated results

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory Data

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of
Mike Dew
Water Quality Laboratory
4401 S. Tucson Estates Parkway
Tucson, AZ 85735

Samples Received on:
10/11/2018 1817

Prepped	Analyzed	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch	Method	Analyte	Result	Units	MRL	Dilution
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFDA	99	%		1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFHxA	106	%		1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOA	113	%		1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOS	107	%		1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d3-NMeFOSAA	104	%		1
10/18/18	10/22/18 21:54	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d5-NEtFOSAA	91	%		1

L181360-06 SP-210 (201810110846) **Sampled on 10/09/2018 1146**

EPA 537 - Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids

10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	0.0040	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorodecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorododecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	0.0020	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	0.024	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanoic acid	0.0063	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	0.0060	ug/L	0.0019	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanoic acid	0.0030	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFDA	102	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFHxA	105	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOA	114	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOS	104	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d3-NMeFOSAA	103	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 07:19	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d5-NEtFOSAA	100	%		1

L181360-07 TA-030A (201810110847) **Sampled on 10/09/2018 1232**

EPA 537 - Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids

10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1

Rounding on totals after summation.
(c) - indicates calculated results

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory Data

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of
Mike Dew
Water Quality Laboratory
4401 S. Tucson Estates Parkway
Tucson, AZ 85735

Samples Received on:
10/11/2018 1817

Prepped	Analyzed	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch	Method	Analyte	Result	Units	MRL	Dilution
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	0.0066	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorodecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorododecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	0.0030	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	0.040	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanoic acid	0.0092	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	0.0068	ug/L	0.0019	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanoic acid	0.0044	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFDA	101	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFHxA	109	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOA	109	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOS	100	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d3-NMeFOSAA	104	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:04	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d5-NEtFOSAA	100	%		1

L181360-08 TA-050T (201810110848) **Sampled on 10/09/2018 1240**

EPA 537 - Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids

10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	0.0067	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorodecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorododecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	0.0033	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	0.040	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanoic acid	0.011	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	0.0091	ug/L	0.0019	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanoic acid	0.0046	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1

Rounding on totals after summation.
(c) - indicates calculated results

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory Data

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of
Mike Dew
Water Quality Laboratory
4401 S. Tucson Estates Parkway
Tucson, AZ 85735

Samples Received on:
10/11/2018 1817

Prepped	Analyzed	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch	Method	Analyte	Result	Units	MRL	Dilution
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFDA	105	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFHxA	114	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOA	108	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOS	107	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d3-NMeFOSAA	103	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:25	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d5-NEtFOSAA	91	%		1

L181360-09 TP-021T (201810110849) **Sampled on 10/09/2018 1252**

EPA 537 - Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids

Prepped	Analyzed	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch	Method	Analyte	Result	Units	MRL	Dilution
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	0.0066	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorodecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorododecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	0.0030	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	0.041	ug/L	0.0018	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorohexanoic acid	0.011	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	0.0097	ug/L	0.0019	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorooctanoic acid	0.0046	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	ND	ug/L	0.002	1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFDA	113	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFHxA	110	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOA	107	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	13C-PFOS	104	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d3-NMeFOSAA	117	%		1
10/18/18	10/23/18 00:47	1125778	1126024	(EPA 537)	d5-NEtFOSAA	105	%		1

Rounding on totals after summation.
(c) - indicates calculated results

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory QC Summary

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of

Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids

Prep Batch: 1124859 Analytical Batch: 1125595 **Analysis Date: 10/16/2018**

201810110842	L181360-02 198R Field Blank	Analyzed by: KAM

Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids

Prep Batch: 1125778 Analytical Batch: 1126024 **Analysis Date: 10/22/2018**

201810110841	L181360-01 198R	Analyzed by: KAM
201810110843	L181360-03 SP-830	Analyzed by: KAM
201810110844	L181360-04 SP-810	Analyzed by: KAM
201810110845	L181360-05 SP-790	Analyzed by: KAM
201810110846	L181360-06 SP-210	Analyzed by: KAM
201810110847	L181360-07 TA-030A	Analyzed by: KAM
201810110848	L181360-08 TA-050T	Analyzed by: KAM
201810110849	L181360-09 TP-021T	Analyzed by: KAM

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory QC

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of

QC Type	Analyte	Native	Spiked	Recovered	Units	Yield (%)	Limits (%)	RPDLimit (%)	RPD%
Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids by EPA 537									
Prep Batch: 1124859 Analytical Batch: 1125595						**Analysis Date: 10/16/2018**			
LCS1	13C-PFDA (S)			105	%	105	(70-130)		
LCS2	13C-PFDA (S)			97.7	%	98	(70-130)		
MBLK	13C-PFDA (S)			105	%	105	(70-130)		
MRL_CHK	13C-PFDA (S)			106	%	106	(70-130)		
MS_201810050589	13C-PFDA (S)			107	%	107	(70-130)		
MSD_201810050589	13C-PFDA (S)			102	%	102	(70-130)		
LCS1	13C-PFHxA (S)			105	%	105	(70-130)		
LCS2	13C-PFHxA (S)			104	%	104	(70-130)		
MBLK	13C-PFHxA (S)			103	%	103	(70-130)		
MRL_CHK	13C-PFHxA (S)			105	%	105	(70-130)		
MS_201810050589	13C-PFHxA (S)			90.3	%	90	(70-130)		
MSD_201810050589	13C-PFHxA (S)			106	%	106	(70-130)		
LCS1	13C-PFOA (I)			116	%	117	(50-150)		
LCS2	13C-PFOA (I)			117	%	117	(50-150)		
MBLK	13C-PFOA (I)			119	%	119	(50-150)		
MRL_CHK	13C-PFOA (I)			118	%	118	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	13C-PFOA (I)			117	%	117	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	13C-PFOA (I)			120	%	120	(50-150)		
LCS1	13C-PFOS (I)			116	%	117	(50-150)		
LCS2	13C-PFOS (I)			117	%	117	(50-150)		
MBLK	13C-PFOS (I)			117	%	117	(50-150)		
MRL_CHK	13C-PFOS (I)			116	%	116	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	13C-PFOS (I)			116	%	116	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	13C-PFOS (I)			118	%	118	(50-150)		
LCS1	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			114	%	114	(50-150)		
LCS2	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			93.1	%	93	(50-150)		
MBLK	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			117	%	117	(50-150)		
MRL_CHK	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			108	%	108	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			110	%	110	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			116	%	116	(50-150)		
LCS1	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			102	%	102	(70-130)		
LCS2	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			98.6	%	99	(70-130)		
MBLK	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			107	%	107	(70-130)		
MRL_CHK	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			108	%	108	(70-130)		
MS_201810050589	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			107	%	107	(70-130)		

Spike recovery is already corrected for native results.
Spikes which exceed Limits and Method Blanks with positive results are highlighted by Underlining.
Criteria for MS and Dup are advisory only, batch control is based on LCS. Criteria for duplicates are advisory only, unless otherwise specified in the method.
RPD not calculated for LCS2 when different a concentration than LCS1 is used.
RPD not calculated for Duplicates when the result is not five times the MRL (Minimum Reporting Level).
(S) - Indicates surrogate compound.
(I) - Indicates internal standard compound.

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory QC

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of

QC Type	Analyte	Native	Spiked	Recovered	Units	Yield (%)	Limits (%)	RPDLimit (%)	RPD%
MSD_201810050589	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			101	%	101	(70-130)		
LCS1	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.025	0.0197	ug/L	79	(70-130)		
LCS2	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.025	0.0188	ug/L	75	(70-130)	30	4.7
MBLK	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.002	0.00158	ug/L	79	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.002	0.00169	ug/L	85	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.002	0.00158	ug/L	79	(50-150)	50	6.2
LCS1	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.025	0.0204	ug/L	82	(70-130)		
LCS2	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.025	0.0204	ug/L	82	(70-130)	30	0.0
MBLK	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.002	0.00159	ug/L	80	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.002	0.00164	ug/L	82	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.002	0.00173	ug/L	87	(50-150)	50	5.4
LCS1	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.022	0.0169	ug/L	77	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.022	0.0172	ug/L	78	(70-130)	30	2.3
MBLK	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid			<0.00059	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.0018	0.00123	ug/L	70	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	0.0069	0.0018	0.00567	ug/L	**-69.3**	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	0.0069	0.0018	0.00816	ug/L	71	(50-150)	50	36
LCS1	Perfluorodecanoic acid		0.025	0.0214	ug/L	86	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorodecanoic acid		0.025	0.0196	ug/L	78	(70-130)	30	8.8
MBLK	Perfluorodecanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorodecanoic acid		0.002	0.00174	ug/L	87	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluorodecanoic acid		0.002	0.00178	ug/L	89	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluorodecanoic acid		0.002	0.00172	ug/L	86	(50-150)	50	3.6
LCS1	Perfluorododecanoic acid		0.025	0.0197	ug/L	79	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorododecanoic acid		0.025	0.0189	ug/L	76	(70-130)	30	4.2
MBLK	Perfluorododecanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorododecanoic acid		0.002	0.00164	ug/L	82	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluorododecanoic acid		0.002	0.00160	ug/L	80	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluorododecanoic acid		0.002	0.00158	ug/L	79	(50-150)	50	1.3
LCS1	Perfluoroheptanoic acid		0.025	0.0212	ug/L	85	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluoroheptanoic acid		0.025	0.0212	ug/L	85	(70-130)	30	0.0
MBLK	Perfluoroheptanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluoroheptanoic acid		0.002	0.00175	ug/L	88	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	0.0034	0.002	0.00511	ug/L	86	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	0.0034	0.002	0.00508	ug/L	85	(50-150)	50	0.53
LCS1	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.023	0.0186	ug/L	82	(70-130)		

Spike recovery is already corrected for native results.
Spikes which exceed Limits and Method Blanks with positive results are highlighted by Underlining.
Criteria for MS and Dup are advisory only, batch control is based on LCS. Criteria for duplicates are advisory only, unless otherwise specified in the method.
RPD not calculated for LCS2 when different a concentration than LCS1 is used.
RPD not calculated for Duplicates when the result is not five times the MRL (Minimum Reporting Level).
(S) - Indicates surrogate compound.
(I) - Indicates internal standard compound.

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory QC

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of

QC Type	Analyte	Native	Spiked	Recovered	Units	Yield (%)	Limits (%)	RPDLimit (%)	RPD%
LCS2	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.023	0.0195	ug/L	86	(70-130)	30	4.7
MBLK	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid			<0.000608	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.0018	0.00141	ug/L	77	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	0.024	0.0018	0.0247	ug/L	**33**	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	0.024	0.0018	0.0249	ug/L	**45**	(50-150)	50	0.92
LCS1	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.025	0.0214	ug/L	86	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.025	0.0211	ug/L	85	(70-130)	30	1.4
MBLK	Perfluorohexanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.002	0.00174	ug/L	87	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.002	0.00634	ug/L	**317**	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.002	0.00795	ug/L	**398**	(50-150)	50	23
LCS1	Perfluorononanoic acid		0.025	0.0216	ug/L	86	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorononanoic acid		0.025	0.0206	ug/L	82	(70-130)	30	4.7
MBLK	Perfluorononanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorononanoic acid		0.002	0.00175	ug/L	87	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00234	ug/L	87	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00210	ug/L	75	(50-150)	50	11
LCS1	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.023	0.0200	ug/L	87	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.023	0.0196	ug/L	85	(70-130)	30	2.0
MBLK	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid			<0.000617	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.0019	0.00312	ug/L	**169**	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	0.042	0.0019	0.0451	ug/L	**155**	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	0.042	0.0019	0.0447	ug/L	133	(50-150)	50	0.83
LCS1	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.025	0.0223	ug/L	89	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.025	0.0221	ug/L	89	(70-130)	30	0.90
MBLK	Perfluorooctanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.002	0.00214	ug/L	107	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluorooctanoic acid	0.0056	0.002	0.00753	ug/L	98	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluorooctanoic acid	0.0056	0.002	0.00757	ug/L	100	(50-150)	50	0.58
LCS1	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid		0.025	0.0201	ug/L	80	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid		0.025	0.0196	ug/L	79	(70-130)	30	2.5
MBLK	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid		0.002	0.00162	ug/L	81	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid		0.002	0.00162	ug/L	81	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid		0.002	0.00164	ug/L	82	(50-150)	50	1.5
LCS1	Perfluorotridecanoic acid		0.025	0.0198	ug/L	79	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorotridecanoic acid		0.025	0.0189	ug/L	76	(70-130)	30	4.7
MBLK	Perfluorotridecanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				

Spike recovery is already corrected for native results.
Spikes which exceed Limits and Method Blanks with positive results are highlighted by Underlining.
Criteria for MS and Dup are advisory only, batch control is based on LCS. Criteria for duplicates are advisory only, unless otherwise specified in the method.
RPD not calculated for LCS2 when different a concentration than LCS1 is used.
RPD not calculated for Duplicates when the result is not five times the MRL (Minimum Reporting Level).
(S) - Indicates surrogate compound.
(I) - Indicates internal standard compound.

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory QC

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of

QC Type	Analyte	Native	Spiked	Recovered	Units	Yield (%)	Limits (%)	RPDLimit (%)	RPD%
MRL_CHK	Perfluorotridecanoic acid		0.002	0.00162	ug/L	81	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluorotridecanoic acid		0.002	0.00163	ug/L	81	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluorotridecanoic acid		0.002	0.00162	ug/L	81	(50-150)	50	0.43
LCS1	Perfluoroundecanoic acid		0.025	0.0202	ug/L	81	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluoroundecanoic acid		0.025	0.0194	ug/L	78	(70-130)	30	4.0
MBLK	Perfluoroundecanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluoroundecanoic acid		0.002	0.00168	ug/L	84	(50-150)		
MS_201810050589	Perfluoroundecanoic acid		0.002	0.00170	ug/L	85	(50-150)		
MSD_201810050589	Perfluoroundecanoic acid		0.002	0.00158	ug/L	79	(50-150)	50	7.3

Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids by EPA 537

Prep Batch: 1125778 Analytical Batch: 1126024 **Analysis Date: 10/22/2018**

LCS1	13C-PFDA (S)			124	%	124	(70-130)		
LCS2	13C-PFDA (S)			105	%	105	(70-130)		
MBLK	13C-PFDA (S)			111	%	111	(70-130)		
MRL_CHK	13C-PFDA (S)			117	%	117	(70-130)		
MS_201810110583	13C-PFDA (S)			116	%	116	(70-130)		
MSD_201810110583	13C-PFDA (S)			109	%	109	(70-130)		
LCS1	13C-PFHxA (S)			116	%	116	(70-130)		
LCS2	13C-PFHxA (S)			102	%	102	(70-130)		
MBLK	13C-PFHxA (S)			114	%	115	(70-130)		
MRL_CHK	13C-PFHxA (S)			109	%	109	(70-130)		
MS_201810110583	13C-PFHxA (S)			93.0	%	93	(70-130)		
MSD_201810110583	13C-PFHxA (S)			110	%	110	(70-130)		
LCS1	13C-PFOA (I)			106	%	106	(50-150)		
LCS2	13C-PFOA (I)			107	%	107	(50-150)		
MBLK	13C-PFOA (I)			113	%	113	(50-150)		
MRL_CHK	13C-PFOA (I)			114	%	114	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	13C-PFOA (I)			107	%	107	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	13C-PFOA (I)			114	%	114	(50-150)		
LCS1	13C-PFOS (I)			105	%	105	(50-150)		
LCS2	13C-PFOS (I)			102	%	102	(50-150)		
MBLK	13C-PFOS (I)			111	%	111	(50-150)		
MRL_CHK	13C-PFOS (I)			111	%	111	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	13C-PFOS (I)			108	%	108	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	13C-PFOS (I)			107	%	107	(50-150)		
LCS1	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			108	%	108	(50-150)		
LCS2	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			96.5	%	96	(50-150)		

Spike recovery is already corrected for native results.
Spikes which exceed Limits and Method Blanks with positive results are highlighted by Underlining.
Criteria for MS and Dup are advisory only, batch control is based on LCS. Criteria for duplicates are advisory only, unless otherwise specified in the method.
RPD not calculated for LCS2 when different a concentration than LCS1 is used.
RPD not calculated for Duplicates when the result is not five times the MRL (Minimum Reporting Level).
(S) - Indicates surrogate compound.
(I) - Indicates internal standard compound.

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory QC

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of

QC Type	Analyte	Native	Spiked	Recovered	Units	Yield (%)	Limits (%)	RPDLimit (%)	RPD%
MBLK	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			112	%	112	(50-150)		
MRL_CHK	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			119	%	119	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			106	%	106	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	d3-NMeFOSAA (I)			107	%	107	(50-150)		
LCS1	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			102	%	102	(70-130)		
LCS2	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			93.1	%	93	(70-130)		
MBLK	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			99.0	%	99	(70-130)		
MRL_CHK	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			102	%	102	(70-130)		
MS_201810110583	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			104	%	104	(70-130)		
MSD_201810110583	d5-NEtFOSAA (S)			104	%	104	(70-130)		
LCS1	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.025	0.0232	ug/L	93	(70-130)		
LCS2	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.025	0.0216	ug/L	87	(70-130)	30	7.1
MBLK	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.002	0.00202	ug/L	101	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	0.002	0.00200	ug/L	99	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	N-ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	0.002	0.00208	ug/L	103	(50-150)	50	4.2
LCS1	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.025	0.0234	ug/L	94	(70-130)		
LCS2	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.025	0.0244	ug/L	98	(70-130)	30	4.2
MBLK	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid		0.002	0.00204	ug/L	102	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	0.002	0.00201	ug/L	98	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	N-methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	ND	0.002	0.00196	ug/L	96	(50-150)	50	2.7
LCS1	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.022	0.0197	ug/L	89	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.022	0.0183	ug/L	83	(70-130)	30	7.4
MBLK	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid			<0.00059	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid		0.0018	0.00187	ug/L	106	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	ND	0.0018	0.00120	ug/L	62	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	ND	0.0018	0.00194	ug/L	104	(50-150)	50	47
LCS1	Perfluorodecanoic acid		0.025	0.0258	ug/L	103	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorodecanoic acid		0.025	0.0238	ug/L	95	(70-130)	30	8.1
MBLK	Perfluorodecanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorodecanoic acid		0.002	0.00208	ug/L	104	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	Perfluorodecanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00206	ug/L	100	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluorodecanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00190	ug/L	92	(50-150)	50	8.0
LCS1	Perfluorododecanoic acid		0.025	0.0228	ug/L	91	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorododecanoic acid		0.025	0.0205	ug/L	82	(70-130)	30	11
MBLK	Perfluorododecanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorododecanoic acid		0.002	0.00167	ug/L	84	(50-150)		

Spike recovery is already corrected for native results.
Spikes which exceed Limits and Method Blanks with positive results are highlighted by Underlining.
Criteria for MS and Dup are advisory only, batch control is based on LCS. Criteria for duplicates are advisory only, unless otherwise specified in the method.
RPD not calculated for LCS2 when different a concentration than LCS1 is used.
RPD not calculated for Duplicates when the result is not five times the MRL (Minimum Reporting Level).
(S) - Indicates surrogate compound.
(I) - Indicates internal standard compound.

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory QC

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of

QC Type	Analyte	Native	Spiked	Recovered	Units	Yield (%)	Limits (%)	RPDLimit (%)	RPD%
MS_201810110583	Perfluorododecanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00202	ug/L	98	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluorododecanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00172	ug/L	82	(50-150)	50	16
LCS1	Perfluoroheptanoic acid		0.025	0.0285	ug/L	114	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluoroheptanoic acid		0.025	0.0279	ug/L	112	(70-130)	30	2.1
MBLK	Perfluoroheptanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluoroheptanoic acid		0.002	0.00233	ug/L	117	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00220	ug/L	104	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00233	ug/L	110	(50-150)	50	5.6
LCS1	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.023	0.0234	ug/L	103	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.023	0.0246	ug/L	108	(70-130)	30	5.4
MBLK	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid			<0.000608	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid		0.0018	0.00205	ug/L	112	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	ND	0.0018	0.00200	ug/L	106	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	ND	0.0018	0.00204	ug/L	108	(50-150)	50	1.7
LCS1	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.025	0.0249	ug/L	100	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.025	0.0234	ug/L	94	(70-130)	30	6.2
MBLK	Perfluorohexanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorohexanoic acid		0.002	0.00249	ug/L	124	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	Perfluorohexanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00177	ug/L	80	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluorohexanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00218	ug/L	100	(50-150)	50	21
LCS1	Perfluorononanoic acid		0.025	0.0262	ug/L	105	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorononanoic acid		0.025	0.0256	ug/L	102	(70-130)	30	2.3
MBLK	Perfluorononanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorononanoic acid		0.002	0.00224	ug/L	112	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00230	ug/L	110	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluorononanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00213	ug/L	102	(50-150)	50	7.5
LCS1	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.023	0.0240	ug/L	104	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.023	0.0237	ug/L	102	(70-130)	30	1.3
MBLK	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid			<0.000617	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid		0.0019	0.00211	ug/L	114	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	ND	0.0019	0.00217	ug/L	112	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	ND	0.0019	0.00199	ug/L	103	(50-150)	50	8.8
LCS1	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.025	0.0276	ug/L	110	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.025	0.0256	ug/L	102	(70-130)	30	7.5
MBLK	Perfluorooctanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorooctanoic acid		0.002	0.00236	ug/L	118	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	Perfluorooctanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00241	ug/L	112	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluorooctanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00228	ug/L	105	(50-150)	50	5.7

Spike recovery is already corrected for native results.
Spikes which exceed Limits and Method Blanks with positive results are highlighted by Underlining.
Criteria for MS and Dup are advisory only, batch control is based on LCS. Criteria for duplicates are advisory only, unless otherwise specified in the method.
RPD not calculated for LCS2 when different a concentration than LCS1 is used.
RPD not calculated for Duplicates when the result is not five times the MRL (Minimum Reporting Level).
(S) - Indicates surrogate compound.
(I) - Indicates internal standard compound.

eurofins | Eaton Analytical

Tel: (626) 386-1100
Fax: (866) 988-3757
1 800 566 LABS (1 800 566 5227)

Laboratory QC

Report: 767478
Project: EDC-PPCP
Group: EPA 537

Tucson City of

QC Type	Analyte	Native	Spiked	Recovered	Units	Yield (%)	Limits (%)	RPDLimit (%)	RPD%
LCS1	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid		0.025	0.0242	ug/L	97	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid		0.025	0.0233	ug/L	93	(70-130)	30	3.8
MBLK	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid		0.002	0.00184	ug/L	92	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00198	ug/L	96	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00183	ug/L	89	(50-150)	50	7.7
LCS1	Perfluorotridecanoic acid		0.025	0.0224	ug/L	90	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluorotridecanoic acid		0.025	0.0202	ug/L	81	(70-130)	30	10
MBLK	Perfluorotridecanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluorotridecanoic acid		0.002	0.00184	ug/L	92	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00188	ug/L	92	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00178	ug/L	87	(50-150)	50	5.7
LCS1	Perfluoroundecanoic acid		0.025	0.0244	ug/L	98	(70-130)		
LCS2	Perfluoroundecanoic acid		0.025	0.0218	ug/L	87	(70-130)	30	11
MBLK	Perfluoroundecanoic acid			<0.000667	ug/L				
MRL_CHK	Perfluoroundecanoic acid		0.002	0.00214	ug/L	107	(50-150)		
MS_201810110583	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00197	ug/L	97	(50-150)		
MSD_201810110583	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	ND	0.002	0.00170	ug/L	83	(50-150)	50	15

Spike recovery is already corrected for native results.
Spikes which exceed Limits and Method Blanks with positive results are highlighted by Underlining.
Criteria for MS and Dup are advisory only, batch control is based on LCS. Criteria for duplicates are advisory only, unless otherwise specified in the method.
RPD not calculated for LCS2 when different a concentration than LCS1 is used.
RPD not calculated for Duplicates when the result is not five times the MRL (Minimum Reporting Level).
(S) - Indicates surrogate compound.
(I) - Indicates internal standard compound.

ATTACHMENT D: EPA Method 537

EPA Document #: EPA/600/R-08/092

METHOD 537. DETERMINATION OF SELECTED PERFLUORINATED ALKYL ACIDS IN DRINKING WATER BY SOLID PHASE EXTRACTION AND LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY/TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETRY (LC/MS/MS)

Version 1.1
September 2009

J.A. Shoemaker US EPA, Office of Research and Development, National Exposure Research Laboratory

P.E. Grimmett US EPA, Office of Research and Development, National Exposure Research Laboratory

B.K. Boutin The National Council on Aging, Senior Environmental Employment Program

NATIONAL EXPOSURE RESEARCH LABORATORY
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45268

METHOD 537

DETERMINATION OF SELECTED PERFLUORINATED ALKYL ACIDS IN DRINKING WATER BY SOLID PHASE EXTRACTION AND LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY/TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETRY (LC/MS/MS)

1. SCOPE AND APPLICATION

1.1 This is a liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) method for the determination of selected perfluorinated alkyl acids (PFAAs) in drinking water. Accuracy and precision data have been generated in reagent water, and finished ground and surface waters for the compounds listed in the table below.

Analyte	Acronym	Chemical Abstract Services Registry Number (CASRN)
N-ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	NEtFOSAA	–
N-methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid	NMeFOSAA	–
Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid	PFBS	375-73-5
Perfluorodecanoic acid	PFDA	335-76-2
Perfluorododecanoic acid	PFDoA	307-55-1
Perfluoroheptanoic acid	PFHpA	375-85-9
Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid	PFHxS	355-46-4
Perfluorohexanoic acid	PFHxA	307-24-4
Perfluorononanoic acid	PFNA	375-95-1
Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid	PFOS	1763-23-1
Perfluorooctanoic acid	PFOA	335-67-1
Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	PFTA	376-06-7
Perfluorotridecanoic acid	PFTrDA	72629-94-8
Perfluoroundecanoic acid	PFUnA	2058-94-8

1.2 The Minimum Reporting Level (MRL) is the lowest analyte concentration that meets Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) that are developed based on the intended use of this method. The single laboratory lowest concentration MRL (LCMRL) is the lowest true concentration for which the future recovery is predicted to fall, with high confidence (99%), between 50 and 150% recovery. Single laboratory LCMRLs for analytes in this method range from 2.9-14 ng/L, and are listed in Table 5. The procedure used to determine the LCMRL is described elsewhere.[1]

1.3 Laboratories using this method will not be required to determine the LCMRL for this method, but will need to demonstrate that their laboratory MRL for this method meets the requirements described in Section 9.2.5.

1.4 Determining the Detection Limit (DL) for analytes in this method is optional (Sect. 9.2.7). Detection limit is defined as the statistically calculated minimum concentration that can be measured with 99% confidence that the reported value is greater than zero.[2] The DL is compound dependent and is dependent on extraction efficiency, sample matrix, fortification concentration, and instrument performance.

1.5 This method is intended for use by analysts skilled in solid phase extractions, the operation of LC/MS/MS instruments, and the interpretation of the associated data.

1.6 METHOD FLEXIBILITY – In recognition of technological advances in analytical systems and techniques, the laboratory is permitted to modify the evaporation technique, separation technique, LC column, mobile phase composition, LC conditions and MS and MS/MS conditions (Sect. 6.12, 9.1.1, 10.2, and 12.1). Changes may not be made to sample collection and preservation (Sect. 8), the sample extraction steps (Sect. 11), or to the quality control requirements (Sect. 9). Method modifications should be considered only to improve method performance. Modifications that are introduced in the interest of reducing cost or sample processing time, but result in poorer method performance, should not be used. Analytes must be adequately resolved chromatographically in order to permit the mass spectrometer to dwell on a minimum number of compounds eluting within a retention time window. Instrumental sensitivity (or signal-to-noise) will decrease if too many compounds are permitted to elute within a retention time window. In all cases where method modifications are proposed, the analyst must perform the procedures outlined in the initial demonstration of capability (IDC, Sect. 9.2), verify that all Quality Control (QC) acceptance criteria in this method (Sect. 9) are met, and that acceptable method performance can be verified in a real sample matrix (Sect. 9.3.6).

NOTE: The above method flexibility section is intended as an abbreviated summation of method flexibility. Sections 4-12 provide detailed information of specific portions of the method that may be modified. If there is any perceived conflict between the general method flexibility statement in Section 1.6 and specific information in Sections 4-12, Sections 4-12 supersede Section 1.6.

2. SUMMARY OF METHOD

2.1 A 250-mL water sample is fortified with surrogates and passed through a solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridge containing polystyrenedivinylbenzene (SDVB) to extract the method analytes and surrogates. The compounds are eluted from the solid phase with a small amount of methanol. The extract is concentrated to dryness with nitrogen in a heated water bath, and then adjusted to a 1-mL volume with 96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water after adding the IS(s). A 10-µL injection is made into an LC equipped with a C_{18} column that is interfaced to an MS/MS. The analytes are separated and

identified by comparing the acquired mass spectra and retention times to reference spectra and retention times for calibration standards acquired under identical LC/MS/MS conditions. The concentration of each analyte is determined by using the internal standard technique. Surrogate analytes are added to all Field and QC Samples to monitor the extraction efficiency of the method analytes.

3. DEFINITIONS

3.1 ANALYSIS BATCH – A set of samples that is analyzed on the same instrument during a 24-hour period, including no more than 20 Field Samples, that begins and ends with the analysis of the appropriate Continuing Calibration Check (CCC) standards. Additional CCCs may be required depending on the length of the analysis batch and/or the number of Field Samples.

3.2 CALIBRATION STANDARD (CAL) – A solution prepared from the primary dilution standard solution and/or stock standard solution, internal standard(s), and the surrogate(s). The CAL solutions are used to calibrate the instrument response with respect to analyte concentration.

3.3 COLLISIONALLY ACTIVATED DISSOCIATION (CAD) – The process of converting the precursor ion's translational energy into internal energy by collisions with neutral gas molecules to bring about dissociation into product ions.

3.4 CONTINUING CALIBRATION CHECK (CCC) – A calibration standard containing the method analytes, internal standard(s) and surrogate(s). The CCC is analyzed periodically to verify the accuracy of the existing calibration for those analytes.

3.5 DETECTION LIMIT (DL) – The minimum concentration of an analyte that can be identified, measured, and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero. This is a statistical determination of precision (Sect. 9.2.7), and accurate quantitation is not expected at this level.[2]

3.6 EXTRACTION BATCH – A set of up to 20 Field Samples (not including QC samples) extracted together by the same person(s) during a work day using the same lot of SPE devices, solvents, surrogate, internal standard and fortifying solutions. Required QC samples include Laboratory Reagent Blank, Laboratory Fortified Blank, Laboratory Fortified Sample Matrix, and either a Field Duplicate or Laboratory Fortified Sample Matrix Duplicate.

3.7 FIELD DUPLICATES (FD1 and FD2) – Two separate samples collected at the same time and place under identical circumstances, and treated exactly the same throughout field and laboratory procedures. Analyses of FD1 and FD2 give a measure of the precision associated with sample collection, preservation, and storage, as well as laboratory procedures.

3.8 FIELD REAGENT BLANK (FRB) – An aliquot of reagent water that is placed in a sample container in the laboratory and treated as a sample in all respects, including shipment to the sampling site, exposure to sampling site conditions, storage, preservation, and all analytical procedures. The purpose of the FRB is to determine if method analytes or other interferences are present in the field environment.

3.9 INTERNAL STANDARD (IS) – A pure chemical added to an extract or standard solution in a known amount(s) and used to measure the relative response of other method analytes and surrogates that are components of the same solution. The internal standard must be a chemical that is structurally similar to the method analytes, has no potential to be present in water samples, and is not a method analyte.

3.10 LABORATORY FORTIFIED BLANK (LFB) – A volume of reagent water or other blank matrix to which known quantities of the method analytes and all the preservation compounds are added in the laboratory. The LFB is analyzed exactly like a sample, and its purpose is to determine whether the methodology is in control, and whether the laboratory is capable of making accurate and precise measurements.

3.11 LABORATORY FORTIFIED SAMPLE MATRIX (LFSM) – A preserved field sample to which known quantities of the method analytes are added in the laboratory. The LFSM is processed and analyzed exactly like a sample, and its purpose is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The background concentrations of the analytes in the sample matrix must be determined in a separate sample extraction and the measured values in the LFSM corrected for background concentrations.

3.12 LABORATORY FORTIFIED SAMPLE MATRIX DUPLICATE (LFSMD) – A duplicate of the Field Sample used to prepare the LFSM. The LFSMD is fortified, extracted, and analyzed identically to the LFSM. The LFSMD is used instead of the Field Duplicate to assess method precision when the occurrence of method analytes is low.

3.13 LABORATORY REAGENT BLANK (LRB) – An aliquot of reagent water or other blank matrix that is treated exactly as a sample including exposure to all glassware, equipment, solvents and reagents, sample preservatives, internal standard, and surrogates that are used in the analysis batch. The LRB is used to determine if method analytes or other interferences are present in the laboratory environment, the reagents, or the apparatus.

3.14 LOWEST CONCENTRATION MINIMUM REPORTING LEVEL (LCMRL) – The single laboratory LCMRL is the lowest true concentration for which a future recovery is expected, with 99% confidence, to be between 50 and 150% recovery.[1]

3.15 MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET (MSDS) – Written information provided by vendors concerning a chemical's toxicity, health hazards, physical properties, fire, and reactivity data including storage, spill, and handling precautions.

3.16 MINIMUM REPORTING LEVEL (MRL) – The minimum concentration that can be reported as a quantitated value for a method analyte in a sample following analysis. This defined concentration can be no lower than the concentration of the lowest calibration standard for that analyte and can only be used if acceptable QC criteria for this standard are met. A procedure for verifying a laboratory's MRL is provided in Section 9.2.5.

3.17 PRECURSOR ION – For the purpose of this method, the precursor ion is the deprotonated molecule ($[M-H]^-$) of the method analyte. In MS/MS, the precursor ion is mass selected and fragmented by collisionally activated dissociation to produce distinctive product ions of smaller *m/z*.

3.18 PRIMARY DILUTION STANDARD (PDS) SOLUTION – A solution containing the analytes prepared in the laboratory from stock standard solutions and diluted as needed to prepare calibration solutions and other needed analyte solutions.

3.19 PRODUCT ION – For the purpose of this method, a product ion is one of the fragment ions produced in MS/MS by collisionally activated dissociation of the precursor ion.

3.20 QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLE (QCS) – A solution of method analytes of known concentrations that is obtained from a source external to the laboratory and different from the source of calibration standards. The second source SSS is used to fortify the QCS at a known concentration. The QCS is used to check calibration standard integrity.

3.21 STOCK STANDARD SOLUTION (SSS) – A concentrated solution containing one or more method analytes prepared in the laboratory using assayed reference materials or purchased from a reputable commercial source.

3.22 SURROGATE ANALYTE (SUR) – A pure chemical which chemically resembles method analytes and is extremely unlikely to be found in any sample. This chemical is added to a sample aliquot in known amount(s) before processing and is measured with the same procedures used to measure other method analytes. The purpose of the SUR is to monitor method performance with each sample.

4. INTERFERENCES

4.1 All glassware must be meticulously cleaned. Wash glassware with detergent and tap water, rinse with tap water, followed by a reagent water rinse. Non-volumetric glassware can be heated in a muffle furnace at 400 °C for 2 h or solvent rinsed. Volumetric glassware should be solvent rinsed and not be heated in an oven above 120 °C. Store clean glassware inverted or capped. Do not cover with aluminum foil because PFAAs can be potentially transferred from the aluminum foil to the glassware.

NOTE: PFAA standards, extracts and samples should not come in contact with any glass containers or pipettes as these analytes can potentially adsorb to glass surfaces. PFAA analyte, IS and SUR standards commercially purchased in glass ampoules are acceptable; however, all subsequent transfers or dilutions performed by the analyst must be prepared and stored in polypropylene containers.

4.2 Method interferences may be caused by contaminants in solvents, reagents (including reagent water), sample bottles and caps, and other sample processing hardware that lead to discrete artifacts and/or elevated baselines in the chromatograms. The method analytes in this method can also be found in many common laboratory supplies and equipment, such as PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) products, LC solvent lines, methanol, aluminum foil, SPE sample transfer lines, etc.[3] All items such as these must be routinely demonstrated to be free from interferences (less than 1/3 the MRL for each method analyte) under the conditions of the analysis by analyzing laboratory reagent blanks as described in Section 9.3.1. **Subtracting blank values from sample results is not permitted.**

4.3 Matrix interferences may be caused by contaminants that are co-extracted from the sample. The extent of matrix interferences will vary considerably from source to source, depending upon the nature of the water. Humic and/or fulvic material can be co-extracted during SPE and high levels can cause enhancement and/or suppression in the electrospray ionization source or low recoveries on the SPE sorbent.[4-5] Total organic carbon (TOC) is a good indicator of humic content of the sample. Under the LC conditions used during method development, matrix effects due to total organic carbon (TOC) were not observed.

4.4 Relatively large quantities of the preservative (Sect. 8.1.2) are added to sample bottles. The potential exists for trace-level organic contaminants in these reagents. Interferences from these sources should be monitored by analysis of laboratory reagent blanks (Sect. 9.3.1), particularly when new lots of reagents are acquired.

4.5 SPE cartridges can be a source of interferences. The analysis of field and laboratory reagent blanks can provide important information regarding the presence or absence of such interferences. Brands and lots of SPE devices should be tested to ensure that contamination does not preclude analyte identification and quantitation.

5. SAFETY

5.1 The toxicity or carcinogenicity of each reagent used in this method has not been precisely defined. Each chemical should be treated as a potential health hazard, and exposure to these chemicals should be minimized. Each laboratory is responsible for maintaining an awareness of OSHA regulations regarding safe handling of chemicals used in this method. A reference file of MSDSs should be made available to all personnel involved in the chemical analysis. Additional references to laboratory safety are available.[6-8]

5.2 PFOA has been described as "likely to be carcinogenic to humans."[9] Pure standard materials and stock standard solutions of these method analytes should be handled with suitable protection to skin and eyes, and care should be taken not to breathe the vapors or ingest the materials.

6. <u>EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES</u> (Brand names and/or catalog numbers are included for illustration only, and do not imply endorsement of the product.) Due to potential adsorption of analytes onto glass, polypropylene containers were used for all standard, sample and extraction preparations. Other plastic materials (e.g., polyethylene) which meet the QC requirements of Section 9 may be substituted.

6.1 SAMPLE CONTAINERS – 250-mL polypropylene bottles fitted with polypropylene screw caps. Sample bottles must be discarded after use.

6.2 POLYPROPYLENE BOTTLES – 4-mL narrow-mouth polypropylene bottles (VWR Cat. No.: 16066-960 or equivalent).

6.3 CENTRIFUGE TUBES – 15-mL conical polypropylene tubes with polypropylene screw caps for storing standard solutions and for collection of the extracts (Thomas Scientific Cat. No.: 2602A10 or equivalent).

6.4 AUTOSAMPLER VIALS – Polypropylene 0.3-mL autosampler vials (SUN SRi Cat. No.: 501-354) with polypropylene caps (SUN SRi Cat. No.: 501-357 or equivalent).

NOTE: Polypropylene vials and caps are necessary to prevent contamination of the sample from PTFE coated septa. However, polypropylene caps do not reseal, so evaporation occurs after injection. Thus, multiple injections from the same vial are not possible.

6.5 POLYPROPYLENE GRADUATED CYLINDERS – Suggested sizes include 25, 50, 100 and 1000-mL cylinders.

6.6 MICRO SYRINGES – Suggested sizes include 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500 and 1000-µL syringes.

6.7 PLASTIC PIPETS – Polypropylene or polyethylene disposable pipets (Fisher Cat. No.: 13-711-7 or equivalent).

6.8 ANALYTICAL BALANCE – Capable of weighing to the nearest 0.0001 g.

6.9 SOLID PHASE EXTRACTION (SPE) APPARATUS FOR USING CARTRIDGES

6.9.1 SPE CARTRIDGES – 0.5 g, 6-mL SPE cartridges containing styrenedivinylbenzene (SDVB) sorbent phase (Varian Cat. No.: 1225-5021 or equivalent).

6.9.2 VACUUM EXTRACTION MANIFOLD – A manual vacuum manifold with Visiprep™ large volume sampler (Supelco Cat. No. 57030 and 57275 or equivalent) for cartridge extractions, or an automatic/robotic sample preparation system designed for use with SPE cartridges, may be used if all QC requirements discussed in Section 9 are met. Extraction and/or elution steps may not be changed or omitted to accommodate the use of an automated system. Care must be taken with automated SPE systems to ensure the PTFE commonly used in these systems does not contribute to unacceptable analyte concentrations in the LRB (Sect. 9.3.1).

6.9.3 SAMPLE DELIVERY SYSTEM – Use of a polypropylene transfer tube system, which transfers the sample directly from the sample container to the SPE cartridge, is recommended, but not mandatory. Standard extraction manifolds come equipped with PTFE transfer tube systems. These can be replaced with 1/8" O.D. x 1/16" I.D. polypropylene or polyethylene tubing (Hudson Extrusions LLDPE or equivalent) cut to an appropriate length to ensure no sample contamination from the sample transfer lines. Other types of non-PTFE tubing may be used provided it meets the LRB (Sect. 9.3.1) and LFB (Sect. 9.3.3) QC requirements. The PTFE transfer tubes may be used, but an LRB must be run on each PFTE transfer tube and the QC requirements in Section 9.2.1 must be met. In the case of automated SPE, the removal of PTFE lines may not be feasible; therefore, LRBs will need to be rotated among the ports and must meet the QC requirements of Sections 9.2.1 and 9.3.1.

6.10 EXTRACT CONCENTRATION SYSTEM – Extracts are concentrated by evaporation with nitrogen using a water bath set no higher than 65 °C (Meyer N-Evap, Model 111, Organomation Associates, Inc. or equivalent).

6.11 LABORATORY OR ASPIRATOR VACUUM SYSTEM – Sufficient capacity to maintain a vacuum of approximately 10 to 15 inches of mercury for extraction cartridges.

6.12 LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY (LC)/TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETER (MS/MS) WITH DATA SYSTEM

6.12.1 LC SYSTEM – Instrument capable of reproducibly injecting up to 10-µL aliquots, and performing binary linear gradients at a constant flow rate near the flow rate used for development of this method (0.3 mL/min). The LC must be capable of pumping the water/methanol mobile phase without the use of a degasser which pulls vacuum on the mobile phase **bottle** (other types of degassers are acceptable). Degassers which pull vacuum on the mobile phase **bottle** will volatilize the ammonium acetate mobile phase causing the analyte peaks to shift to earlier retention times over the course of the analysis batch. The usage of a column heater is optional.

NOTE: During the course of method development, it was discovered that while idle for more than one day, PFAAs built up in the PTFE solvent transfer lines. To prevent long delays in purging high levels of PFAAs from the LC solvent lines, they were replaced with PEEK™ tubing and the PTFE solvent frits were replaced with stainless steel frits. It is not possible to remove all PFAA background contamination, but these measures help to minimize their background levels.

6.12.2 LC/TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETER – The LC/MS/MS must be capable of negative ion electrospray ionization (ESI) near the suggested LC flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The system must be capable of performing MS/MS to produce unique product ions (Sect. 3.19) for the method analytes within specified retention time segments. A minimum of 10 scans across the chromatographic peak is required to ensure adequate precision. Data are demonstrated in Tables 5-9 using a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters Micromass Quattro Premier).

6.12.3 DATA SYSTEM – An interfaced data system is required to acquire, store, reduce, and output mass spectral data. The computer software should have the capability of processing stored LC/MS/MS data by recognizing an LC peak within any given retention time window. The software must allow integration of the ion abundance of any specific ion within specified time or scan number limits. The software must be able to calculate relative response factors, construct linear regressions or quadratic calibration curves, and calculate analyte concentrations.

6.12.4 ANALYTICAL COLUMN – An LC C_{18} column (2.1 x 150 mm) packed with 5 µm d_p C_{18} solid phase particles (Waters #: 186001301 or equivalent) was used. Any column that provides adequate resolution, peak shape, capacity, accuracy, and precision (Sect. 9) may be used.

7. REAGENTS AND STANDARDS

7.1 GASES, REAGENTS, AND SOLVENTS – Reagent grade or better chemicals should be used. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available. Other grades may be used, provided it is first determined that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the quality of the determination.

7.1.1 REAGENT WATER – Purified water which does not contain any measurable quantities of any method analytes or interfering compounds greater than 1/3 the MRL for each method analyte of interest. Prior to daily use, at least 3 L of reagent water should be flushed from the purification system to rinse out any build-up of analytes in the system's tubing.

7.1.2 METHANOL (CH_3OH, CAS#: 67-56-1) – High purity, demonstrated to be free of analytes and interferences (Tedia Absolv® grade or equivalent).

7.1.3 AMMONIUM ACETATE ($NH_4C_2H_3O_2$, CAS#: 631-61-8) – High purity, demonstrated to be free of analytes and interferences (Sigma-Aldrich ACS grade or equivalent).

7.1.4 20 mM AMMONIUM ACETATE/REAGENT WATER – To prepare 1 L, add 1.54 g ammonium acetate to 1 L of reagent water. This solution is prone to volatility losses and should be replaced at least every 48 hours.

7.1.5 TRIZMA® PRESET CRYSTALS, pH 7.0 (Sigma cat# T-7193 or equivalent) – Reagent grade. A premixed blend of Tris [Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane] and Tris HCL [Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane hydrochloride]. Alternatively, a mix of the two components with a weight ratio of 15.5/1 Tris HCL/Tris may be used. These blends are targeted to produce a pH near 7.0 at 25 °C in reagent water. Trizma® functions as a buffer, and removes free chlorine in chlorinated finished waters (Sect. 8.1.2).

7.1.6 NITROGEN – Used for the following purposes:

7.1.6.1 Nitrogen aids in aerosol generation of the ESI liquid spray and is used as collision gas in some MS/MS instruments. The nitrogen used should meet or exceed instrument manufacturer's specifications.

7.1.6.2 Nitrogen is used to concentrate sample extracts (Ultra High Purity or equivalent).

7.1.7 ARGON – Used as collision gas in MS/MS instruments. Argon should meet or exceed instrument manufacturer's specifications. Nitrogen gas may be used as the collision gas provided sufficient sensitivity (product ion formation) is achieved.

7.2 STANDARD SOLUTIONS – When a compound purity is assayed to be 96% or greater, the weight can be used without correction to calculate the concentration of the stock standard. PFAA analyte, IS and SUR standards commercially purchased in glass ampoules are acceptable; however, all subsequent transfers or dilutions performed by the analyst must be prepared and stored in polypropylene containers. Solution concentrations listed in this section were used to develop this method and are included as an example. Alternate concentrations may be used as necessary depending on instrument sensitivity and the calibration range used. Standards for sample fortification generally should be prepared in the smallest volume that can be accurately measured to minimize the addition of excess organic solvent to aqueous samples. **Even though stability times for standard solutions are suggested in the following sections, laboratories should use standard QC practices to determine when their standards need to be replaced.**

NOTE: Stock standards (Sect. 7.2.1.1, 7.2.2.1 and 7.2.3.1) were stored at ≤4 °C. Primary dilution standards (Sect. 7.2.1.2, 7.2.2.2 and 7.2.3.2) were stored at room temperature to prevent adsorption of the method analytes onto the container surfaces that may occur when refrigerated. Storing the standards at room temperature will also minimize daily imprecision due to the potential of inadequate room temperature stabilization.

7.2.1 INTERNAL (IS) STOCK STANDARD SOLUTIONS – This method uses three IS compounds listed in the table below. These isotopically labeled IS(s) were carefully chosen during method development because they encompass all the functional groups of the method analytes. Although alternate IS standards may be used provided they are isotopically labeled compounds with similar functional groups as the method analytes, the analyst must have documented reasons for using alternate IS(s). Alternate IS(s) must meet the QC requirements in Section 9.3.4. Note that different isotopic labels of the same IS(s) are acceptable (e.g., $^{13}C_2$-PFOA and $^{13}C_4$-PFOA) but will require modification of the MS/MS precursor and product ions.

Internal Standards	Acronym
Perfluoro-[1,2-$^{13}C_2$]octanoic acid	^{13}C-PFOA
Sodium perfluoro-1-[1,2,3,4-$^{13}C_4$]octanesulfonate	^{13}C-PFOS
N-deuteriomethylperfluoro-1-octanesulfonamidoacetic acid	d_3-NMeFOSAA

7.2.1.1 IS STOCK STANDARD SOLUTIONS – These IS stocks can be obtained as individual certified stock standard solutions. During the development of this method, commercially obtained 50 µg/mL stock standard solutions in methanol were used (Wellington Labs, Perkin Elmer or equivalent). Analysis of the IS(s) is less complicated if the IS(s) purchased contains only the linear isomer. IS stock standard solutions were stable for at least 6 months when stored at 4 °C.

7.2.1.2 INTERNAL STANDARD PRIMARY DILUTION (IS PDS) STANDARD (1-4 ng/µL) – Prepare, or purchase commercially, the IS PDS at a suggested concentration of 1-4 ng/µL. If prepared from the individual stock standard solutions (Sect. 7.2.1.1), the table below can be used as a guideline for preparing the IS PDS. The IS PDS used in these studies was prepared in 96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water. The IS PDS has been shown to be stable for at least two months when stored in polypropylene centrifuge tubes at room temperature. Use 10 µL of this 1-4 ng/µL solution to fortify the final 1-mL extracts (Sect. 11.5). This will yield a concentration of 10-40 pg/µL of each IS in the 1-mL extracts.

IS	Conc. of IS Stock (µg/mL)	Vol. Of IS Stock (µL)	Final Vol. of IS PDS (µL)	Final Conc. of IS PDS (ng/µL)
^{13}C-PFOA	1000	5.0	5000	1.0
^{13}C-PFOS	50	300.0	5000	3.0
d_3-NMeFOSAA	50	400.0	5000	4.0

7.2.2 SURROGATE (SUR) ANALYTE STANDARD SOLUTIONS – The three SUR(s) for this method are listed in the table below. These isotopically labeled SUR standards were carefully chosen during method development because they encompass most of the functional groups, as well as the water solubility range of the method analytes. Although alternate SUR standards may be used provided they are isotopically labeled compounds with similar functional groups as the method analytes, the analyst must have documented reasons for using alternate SUR standards. The alternate SUR standards chosen must still span the water solubility range of the method analytes. In addition, alternate SUR standards must meet the QC requirements in Section 9.3.5.

Surrogates	Acronym
Perfluoro-n-[1,2-$^{13}C_2$]hexanoic acid	^{13}C-PFHxA
Perfluoro-n-[1,2-$^{13}C_2$]decanoic acid	^{13}C-PFDA
N-deuterioethylperfluoro-1-octanesulfonamidoacetic acid	d_5-NEtFOSAA

7.2.2.1 SUR STOCK STANDARD SOLUTIONS – These SUR stocks can be obtained as individual certified stock standard solutions. During the development of this method, commercially obtained 50 µg/mL stock standard solutions in methanol containing 4% water (except for d_5-NEtFOSAA which was purchased in pure methanol) were used (Wellington Labs or equivalent). Analysis of the SUR(s) is less complicated if the SUR(s) purchased contains only the linear isomer. SUR stock standard solutions were stable for at least 6 months when stored at 4 °C.

7.2.2.2 SURROGATE PRIMARY DILUTION STANDARD (SUR PDS) (1-4 ng/µL) – Prepare, or purchase commercially, the SUR PDS at a suggested concentration of 1-4 ng/µL. If prepared from the individual stock standard solutions (Sect. 7.2.2.1), the table below can be used as a guideline for preparing the SUR PDS. The SUR PDS used in these studies was prepared in 96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water. This solution is used to fortify all QC and Field Samples. The PDS has been shown to be stable for one year when stored in polypropylene centrifuge tubes at room temperature.

SUR	Conc. Of SUR Stock (µg/mL)	Vol. of SUR Stock (µL)	Final Vol. of SUR PDS (µL)	Final Conc. of SUR PDS (ng/µL)
^{13}C-PFHxA	50	100.0	5000	1.0
^{13}C-PFDA	50	100.0	5000	1.0
d_5-NEtFOSAA	50	400.0	5000	4.0

7.2.3 ANALYTE STANDARD SOLUTIONS – Analyte standards may be purchased commercially as ampoulized solutions or prepared from neat materials (see Table 3 for the analyte sources used during method development). If possible, purchase the method analytes as technical grade standards or neat materials. Standards or neat materials that contain only the linear isomer can be substituted only if technical grade (linear and branched isomers) standards or neat material cannot be purchased. PFHxS and PFOS must be purchased as technical grade (containing branched and linear isomers), as well as NEtFOSAA and NMeFOSAA (if commercially available). PFHxS and PFOS are not available as the acids listed in Section 1.1, but rather as their corresponding salts, such as Na^+ and K^+ (see Table 3). These salts are acceptable starting materials for the stock standards provided the measured mass is corrected for the salt content according to the equation below. Prepare the Analyte Stock and Primary Dilutions Standards as described below.

$$Mass_{acid} = Measured\ Mass_{salt} \times \frac{MW_{acid}}{MW_{salt}}$$

where:

MW_{acid} = the molecular weight of PFAA
MW_{salt} = the molecular weight of purchased salt

7.2.3.1 ANALYTE STOCK STANDARD SOLUTION – If preparing from neat material, accurately weigh approximately 5 mg of pure material to the nearest 0.1 mg into a 4-mL or larger polypropylene bottle (Sect. 6.2). Add 1 mL of the solvent indicated in the table below. Repeat for each method analyte. These stock standards were stable for at least 6 months when stored at -15 °C. <u>When using these stock standards to prepare a PDS, care must be taken to ensure that these standards are at room temperature and adequately vortexed.</u>

Analyte	Analyte Stock Solvent
PFHxA	96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water
PFHpA	96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water
PFOA	96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water
PFNA	96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water
PFDA	96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water
PFUnA	96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water
PFDoA	96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water
PFTrDA	100% ethyl acetate
PFTA	100% ethyl acetate
PFBS	100% methanol
PFHxS	100% methanol
PFOS	100% methanol
NEtFOSAA	100% methanol
NMeFOSAA	100% methanol

7.2.3.2 ANALYTE PRIMARY DILUTION STANDARD (PDS) SOLUTION (0.5-2.5 ng/µL) – The analyte PDS contains all the method analytes of interest at various concentrations in methanol containing 4% water. The ESI and MS/MS response varies by compound; therefore, a mix of concentrations may be needed in the analyte PDS. See Tables 5-9 in Section 17 for suggested concentrations for each analyte. During method development, the analyte PDS was prepared such that approximately the same instrument response was obtained for all the analytes. The analyte PDS is prepared by dilution of the combined Analyte Stock Standard Solutions and is used to prepare the CAL standards, and fortify the LFBs, the LFSMs, the LFSMDs and FDs with the method analytes. The analyte PDS has been shown to be stable for 6 months when stored at room temperature.

7.2.4 CALIBRATION STANDARDS (CAL) – At least five calibration concentrations are required to prepare the initial calibration curve spanning a 20-fold concentration range (Sect. 10.2). Larger concentration ranges will require more calibration points. Prepare the CAL standards over the concentration range of interest from dilutions of the analyte PDS in methanol containing 4% reagent water. The suggested analyte concentrations found in Tables 5-9 can be used as a starting point for determining the calibration range. The IS and SUR are added to the CAL standards at a constant concentration. During method development, the concentrations of the SUR(s) were 10-40 pg/µL in the standard (40-160 ng/L in the sample) and the IS(s) were 10-40 pg/µL. The lowest concentration CAL standard must be at or below the MRL, which may depend on system sensitivity. The CAL standards may also be used as CCCs (Sect. 9.3.2). During method development, the CAL standards were shown to be stable for at least two weeks when stored at room temperature. Longer storage times are acceptable provided

appropriate QC measures are documented demonstrating the CAL standard stability.

8. SAMPLE COLLECTION, PRESERVATION, AND STORAGE

8.1 SAMPLE BOTTLE PREPARATION

8.1.1 Samples must be collected in a 250-mL polypropylene bottle fitted with a polypropylene screw-cap.

8.1.2 The preservation reagent, listed in the table below, is added to each sample bottle as a solid prior to shipment to the field (or prior to sample collection).

Compound	Amount	Purpose
Trizma®	5.0 g/L	buffering reagent and removes free chlorine

8.2 SAMPLE COLLECTION

8.2.1 The sample handler must wash their hands before sampling and wear nitrile gloves while filling and sealing the sample bottles. PFAA contamination during sampling can occur from a number of common sources, such as food packaging and certain foods and beverages. Proper hand washing and wearing nitrile gloves will aid in minimizing this type of accidental contamination of the samples.

8.2.2 Open the tap and allow the system to flush until the water temperature has stabilized (approximately 3 to 5 min). Collect samples from the flowing system.

8.2.3 Fill sample bottles, taking care not to flush out the sample preservation reagent. Samples do not need to be collected headspace free.

8.2.4 After collecting the sample, cap the bottle and agitate by hand until preservative is dissolved. Keep the sample sealed from time of collection until extraction.

8.3 FIELD REAGENT BLANKS (FRB)

8.3.1 A FRB must be handled along with each sample set. The sample set is composed of samples collected from the same sample site and at the same time. At the laboratory, fill the field blank sample bottle with reagent water and preservatives, seal, and ship to the sampling site along with the sample bottles. For each FRB shipped, an empty sample bottle (no preservatives) must also be shipped. At the sampling site, the sampler must open the shipped FRB and pour the preserved reagent water into the empty shipped sample bottle, seal and label this bottle as the FRB. The FRB is shipped back to the laboratory along with the samples and analyzed to ensure that PFAAs were not introduced into the sample during sample collection/handling.

8.3.2 The same batch of preservative must be used for the FRBs as for the field samples.

8.3.3 The reagent water used for the FRBs must be initially analyzed for method analytes as a LRB and must meet the LRB criteria in Section 9.3.1 prior to use. This requirement will ensure samples are not being discarded due to contaminated reagent water rather than contamination during sampling.

8.4 SAMPLE SHIPMENT AND STORAGE – Samples must be chilled during shipment and must not exceed 10 °C during the first 48 hours after collection. Sample temperature must be confirmed to be at or below 10 °C when the samples are received at the laboratory. Samples stored in the lab must be held at or below 6 °C until extraction, but should not be frozen.

NOTE: Samples that are significantly above 10° C, at the time of collection, may need to be iced or refrigerated for a period of time, in order to chill them prior to shipping. This will allow them to be shipped with sufficient ice to meet the above requirements.

8.5 SAMPLE AND EXTRACT HOLDING TIMES – Results of the sample storage stability study (Table 10) indicated that all compounds listed in this method have adequate stability for 14 days when collected, preserved, shipped and stored as described in Sections 8.1, 8.2, and 8.4. Therefore, water samples should be extracted as soon as possible but must be extracted within 14 days. Extracts must be stored at room temperature and analyzed within 28 days after extraction. The extract storage stability study data are presented in Table 11.

9. QUALITY CONTROL

9.1 QC requirements include the Initial Demonstration of Capability (IDC) and ongoing QC requirements that must be met when preparing and analyzing Field Samples. This section describes the QC parameters, their required frequencies, and the performance criteria that must be met in order to meet EPA quality objectives. The QC criteria discussed in the following sections are summarized in Tables 12 and 13. These QC requirements are considered the minimum acceptable QC criteria. Laboratories are encouraged to institute additional QC practices to meet their specific needs.

9.1.1 METHOD MODIFICATIONS – The analyst is permitted to modify LC columns, LC conditions, evaporation techniques, internal standards or surrogate standards, and MS and MS/MS conditions. Each time such method modifications are made, the analyst must repeat the procedures of the IDC. **Modifications to LC conditions should still produce conditions such that co-elution of the method analytes is minimized to reduce the probability of suppression/enhancement effects.**

9.2 INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF CAPABILITY – The IDC must be successfully performed prior to analyzing any Field Samples. Prior to conducting the IDC, the analyst must first generate an acceptable Initial Calibration following the procedure outlined in Section 10.2.

9.2.1 INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF LOW SYSTEM BACKGROUND – Any time a new lot of SPE cartridges, solvents, centrifuge tubes, disposable pipets, and autosampler vials are used, it must be demonstrated that an LRB is reasonably free of contamination and that the criteria in Section 9.3.1 are met. If an automated extraction system is used, an LRB should be extracted on each port to ensure that all the valves and tubing are free from potential PFAA contamination.

9.2.2 INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF PRECISION (IDP) – Prepare, extract, and analyze four to seven replicate LFBs fortified near the midrange of the initial calibration curve according to the procedure described in Section 11. Sample preservatives as described in Section 8.1.2 must be added to these samples. The relative standard deviation (RSD) of the results of the replicate analyses must be less than 20%.

9.2.3 INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF ACCURACY (IDA) – Using the same set of replicate data generated for Section 9.2.2, calculate average recovery. The average recovery of the replicate values must be within ± 30% of the true value.

9.2.4 INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF PEAK ASYMMETRY FACTOR – Peak asymmetry factors must be calculated using the equation in Section 9.3.9 for the first two eluting peaks (if only two analytes are being analyzed, both must be evaluated) in a mid-level CAL standard. The peak asymmetry factors must fall in the range of 0.8 to 1.5. See guidance in Section 10.2.4.1 if the calculated peak asymmetry factors do not meet the criteria.

9.2.5 MINIMUM REPORTING LEVEL (MRL) CONFIRMATION – Establish a target concentration for the MRL based on the intended use of the method. The MRL may be established by a laboratory for their specific purpose or may be set by a regulatory agency. Establish an Initial Calibration following the procedure outlined in Section 10.2. The lowest CAL standard used to establish the Initial Calibration (as well as the low-level CCC, Section 10.3) must be at or below the concentration of the MRL. Establishing the MRL concentration too low may cause repeated failure of ongoing QC requirements. Confirm the MRL following the procedure outlined below.

9.2.5.1 Fortify, extract, and analyze seven replicate LFBs at the proposed MRL concentration. These LFBs must contain all method preservatives described in Section 8.1.2. Calculate the mean measured concentration (*Mean*) and standard deviation for these replicates. Determine the Half Range for the prediction interval of results (HR_{PIR}) using the equation below

$$HR_{PIR} = 3.963s$$

where

s = the standard deviation

3.963 = a constant value for seven replicates.[1]

9.2.5.2 Confirm that the upper and lower limits for the Prediction Interval of Result ($PIR = Mean \pm HR_{PIR}$) meet the upper and lower recovery limits as shown below

The Upper PIR Limit must be ≤150% recovery.

$$\frac{Mean + HR_{PIR}}{FortifiedConcentration} \times 100\% \leq 150\%$$

The Lower PIR Limit must be ≥ 50% recovery.

$$\frac{Mean - HR_{PIR}}{FortifiedConcentration} \times 100\% \geq 50\%$$

9.2.5.3 The MRL is validated if both the Upper and Lower PIR Limits meet the criteria described above (Sect. 9.2.5.2). If these criteria are not met, the MRL has been set too low and must be determined again at a higher concentration.

9.2.6 CALIBRATION CONFIRMATION – Analyze a QCS as described in Section 9.3.10 to confirm the accuracy of the standards/calibration curve.

9.2.7 DETECTION LIMIT DETERMINATION *(optional) – While DL determination is not a specific requirement of this method, it may be required by various regulatory bodies associated with compliance monitoring. It is the responsibility of the laboratory to determine if DL determination is required based upon the intended use of the data.*

9.2.7.1 Replicate analyses for this procedure should be done over at least three days (i.e., both the sample extraction and the LC/MS/MS analyses should be done over at least three days). Prepare at least seven replicate LFBs at a concentration estimated to be near the DL. This concentration may be estimated by selecting a concentration at 2-5 times the noise level. The DLs in Table 5 were calculated from LFBs fortified at various concentrations as indicated in the table. The appropriate fortification concentrations will be dependent upon the sensitivity of the LC/MS/MS system used. All preservation reagents listed in Section 8.1.2 must also be added to these samples. Analyze the seven replicates through all steps of Section 11.

NOTE: If an MRL confirmation data set meets these requirements, a DL may be calculated from the MRL confirmation data, and no additional analyses are necessary.

Calculate the *DL* using the following equation

$$DL = s \times t_{(n-1,\,1-\alpha=0.99)}$$

where

s = standard deviation of replicate analyses

$t_{(n\text{-}1,\ 1\text{-}\alpha=0.99)}$ = Student's t value for the 99% confidence level with n-1 degrees of freedom

n = number of replicates.

NOTE: Do not subtract blank values when performing DL calculations. The DL is a statistical determination of precision only.[2] If the DL replicates are fortified at a low enough concentration, it is likely that they will not meet the precision and accuracy criteria for CCCs. Therefore, no precision and accuracy criteria are specified.

9.2.7.2 If a laboratory is establishing their own MRL, the calculated DLs should not be used as the MRL for analytes that commonly occur as background contaminants. Method analytes that are seen in the background should be reported as present in Field Samples, only after careful evaluation of the background levels. It is recommended that a MRL be established at the mean LRB concentrations + 3σ or 3 times the mean LRB concentration, whichever is greater. This value should be calculated over a period of time, to reflect variability in the blank measurements. It is recommended that this value be used as an MRL in order to avoid reporting false positive results.

9.3 ONGOING QC REQUIREMENTS – This section summarizes the ongoing QC criteria that must be followed when processing and analyzing Field Samples.

9.3.1 LABORATORY REAGENT BLANK (LRB) – An LRB is required with each extraction batch (Sect. 3.6) to confirm that potential background contaminants are not interfering with the identification or quantitation of method analytes. If more than 20 Field Samples are included in a batch, analyze an LRB for every 20 samples. If the LRB produces a peak within the retention time window of any analyte that would prevent the determination of that analyte, determine the source of contamination and eliminate the interference before processing samples. Background contamination must be reduced to an acceptable level before proceeding. Background from method analytes or other contaminants that interfere with the measurement of method analytes must be below 1/3 of the MRL. Blank contamination is estimated by extrapolation, if the concentration is below the lowest CAL standard. This extrapolation procedure is not allowed for sample results as it may not meet data quality objectives. If the method analytes are

detected in the LRB at concentrations equal to or greater than this level, then all data for the problem analyte(s) must be considered invalid for all samples in the extraction batch. Because background contamination is a significant problem for several method analytes, it is highly recommended that the analyst maintain a historical record of LRB data.

9.3.2 CONTINUING CALIBRATION CHECK (CCC) – CCC Standards are analyzed at the beginning of each analysis batch, after every 10 Field Samples, and at the end of the analysis batch. See Section 10.3 for concentration requirements and acceptance criteria.

9.3.3 LABORATORY FORTIFIED BLANK (LFB) – An LFB is required with each extraction batch (Sect. 3.6). The fortified concentration of the LFB must be rotated between low, medium, and high concentrations from batch to batch. The low concentration LFB must be as near as practical to, but no more than two times, the MRL. Similarly, the high concentration LFB should be near the high end of the calibration range established during the initial calibration (Sect. 10.2). Results of the low-level LFB analyses must be 50-150% of the true value. Results of the medium and high-level LFB analyses must be 70-130% of the true value. If the LFB results do not meet these criteria for method analytes, then all data for the problem analyte(s) must be considered invalid for all samples in the extraction batch.

9.3.4 INTERNAL STANDARDS (IS) – The analyst must monitor the peak areas of the IS(s) in all injections during each analysis day. The IS responses (peak areas) in any chromatographic run must be within 70-140% of the response in the most recent CCC and must not deviate by more than 50% from the average area measured during initial analyte calibration. If the IS areas in a chromatographic run do not meet these criteria, inject a second aliquot of that extract aliquotted into a new capped autosampler vial. Random evaporation losses have been observed with the polypropylene caps causing high IS(s) areas.

9.3.4.1 If the reinjected aliquot produces an acceptable IS response, report results for that aliquot.

9.3.4.2 If the reinjected extract fails again, the analyst should check the calibration by reanalyzing the most recently acceptable CAL standard. If the CAL standard fails the criteria of Section 10.3, recalibration is in order per Section 10.2. If the CAL standard is acceptable, extraction of the sample may need to be repeated provided the sample is still within the holding time. Otherwise, report results obtained from the reinjected extract, but annotate as suspect. Alternatively, collect a new sample and re-analyze.

9.3.5 SURROGATE RECOVERY – The SUR standard is fortified into all samples, CCCs, LRBs, LFBs, LFSMs, LFSMDs, FD, and FRB prior to extraction. It is also added to the CAL standards. The SUR is a means of assessing method

performance from extraction to final chromatographic measurement. Calculate the recovery (%R) for the SUR using the following equation

$$\%R = \left(\frac{A}{B}\right) \times 100$$

where

A = calculated SUR concentration for the QC or Field Sample
B = fortified concentration of the SUR.

9.3.5.1 SUR recovery must be in the range of 70-130%. When SUR recovery from a sample, blank, or CCC is less than 70% or greater than 130%, check 1) calculations to locate possible errors, 2) standard solutions for degradation, 3) contamination, and 4) instrument performance. Correct the problem and reanalyze the extract.

9.3.5.2 If the extract reanalysis meets the SUR recovery criterion, report only data for the reanalyzed extract.

9.3.5.3 If the extract reanalysis fails the 70-130% recovery criterion, the analyst should check the calibration by injecting the last CAL standard that passed. If the CAL standard fails the criteria of Section 10.3, recalibration is in order per Section 10.2. If the CAL standard is acceptable, extraction of the sample should be repeated provided the sample is still within the holding time. If the re-extracted sample also fails the recovery criterion, report all data for that sample as suspect/SUR recovery to inform the data user that the results are suspect due to SUR recovery. Alternatively, collect a new sample and re-analyze.

9.3.6 LABORATORY FORTIFIED SAMPLE MATRIX (LFSM) – Analysis of an LFSM is required in each extraction batch and is used to determine that the sample matrix does not adversely affect method accuracy. Assessment of method precision is accomplished by analysis of a Field Duplicate (FD) (Sect. 9.3.7); however, infrequent occurrence of method analytes would hinder this assessment. If the occurrence of method analytes in the samples is infrequent, or if historical trends are unavailable, a second LFSM, or LFSMD, must be prepared, extracted, and analyzed from a duplicate of the Field Sample. Extraction batches that contain LFSMDs will not require the extraction of a FD. If a variety of different sample matrices are analyzed regularly, for example, drinking water from groundwater and surface water sources, method performance should be established for each. Over time, LFSM data should be documented by the laboratory for all routine sample sources.

9.3.6.1 Within each extraction batch (Sect. 3.6), a minimum of one Field Sample is fortified as an LFSM for every 20 Field Samples analyzed. The LFSM is prepared by spiking a sample with an appropriate amount of the Analyte

PDS (Sect. 7.2.3.2). Select a spiking concentration that is greater than or equal to the matrix background concentration, if known. Use historical data and rotate through the low, mid and high concentrations when selecting a fortifying concentration.

9.3.6.2 Calculate the percent recovery (%*R*) for each analyte using the equation

$$\%R = \frac{(A - B)}{C} \times 100$$

where

A = measured concentration in the fortified sample
B = measured concentration in the unfortified sample
C = fortification concentration.

9.3.6.3 Analyte recoveries may exhibit matrix bias. For samples fortified at or above their native concentration, recoveries should range between 70-130%, except for low-level fortification near or at the MRL (within a factor of 2-times the MRL concentration) where 50-150% recoveries are acceptable. If the accuracy of any analyte falls outside the designated range, and the laboratory performance for that analyte is shown to be in control in the CCCs, the recovery is judged to be matrix biased. The result for that analyte in the unfortified sample is labeled suspect/matrix to inform the data user that the results are suspect due to matrix effects.

9.3.7 FIELD DUPLICATE OR LABORATORY FORTIFIED SAMPLE MATRIX DUPLICATE (FD or LFSMD) – Within each extraction batch (not to exceed 20 Field Samples, Sect. 3.6), a minimum of one FD or LFSMD must be analyzed. Duplicates check the precision associated with sample collection, preservation, storage, and laboratory procedures. If method analytes are not routinely observed in Field Samples, an LFSMD should be analyzed rather than an FD.

9.3.7.1 Calculate the relative percent difference (*RPD*) for duplicate measurements (*FD1* and *FD2*) using the equation

$$RPD = \frac{|FD1 - FD2|}{(FD1 + FD2)/2} \times 100$$

9.3.7.2 RPDs for FDs should be ≤30%. Greater variability may be observed when FDs have analyte concentrations that are within a factor of 2 of the MRL. At these concentrations, FDs should have RPDs that are ≤50%. If the RPD of any analyte falls outside the designated range, and the laboratory performance for that analyte is shown to be in control in the CCC, the recovery is judged to be matrix biased. The result for that analyte in the unfortified sample is labeled suspect/matrix to inform the data user that the results are suspect due to matrix effects.

9.3.7.3 If an LFSMD is analyzed instead of a FD, calculate the relative percent difference (RPD) for duplicate LFSMs (LFSM and LFSMD) using the equation

$$RPD = \frac{|LFSM - LFSMD|}{(LFSM + LFSMD)/2} \times 100$$

9.3.7.4 RPDs for duplicate LFSMs should be ≤30% for samples fortified at or above their native concentration. Greater variability may be observed when LFSMs are fortified at analyte concentrations that are within a factor of 2 of the MRL. LFSMs fortified at these concentrations should have RPDs that are ≤50% for samples fortified at or above their native concentration. If the RPD of any analyte falls outside the designated range, and the laboratory performance for that analyte is shown to be in control in the CCC, the recovery is judged to be matrix biased. The result for that analyte in the unfortified sample is labeled suspect/matrix to inform the data user that the results are suspect due to matrix effects.

9.3.8 FIELD REAGENT BLANK (FRB) – The purpose of the FRB is to ensure that PFAAs measured in the Field Samples were not inadvertently introduced into the sample during sample collection/handling. Analysis of the FRB is required only if a Field Sample contains a method analyte or analytes at or above the MRL. The FRB is processed, extracted and analyzed in exactly the same manner as a Field Sample. If the method analyte(s) found in the Field Sample is present in the FRB at a concentration greater than 1/3 the MRL, then all samples collected with that FRB are invalid and must be recollected and reanalyzed.

9.3.9 PEAK ASYMMETRY FACTOR – A peak asymmetry factor must be calculated using the equation below during the IDL and every time a calibration curve is generated. The peak asymmetry factor for the first two eluting peaks in a mid-level CAL standard (if only two analytes are being analyzed, both must be evaluated) must fall in the range of 0.8 to 1.5. <u>Modifying the standard or extract composition to more aqueous content to prevent poor shape is not permitted</u>. See guidance in Section 10.2.4.1 if the calculated peak asymmetry factors do not meet the criteria.

$$A_s = \frac{b}{a}$$



where:

A_s = peak asymmetry factor

B = width of the back half of the peak measured (at 10% peak height) from the trailing edge of the peak to a line dropped perpendicularly from the peak apex

a = the width of the front half of the peak measured (at 10% peak height) from the leading edge of the peak to a line dropped perpendicularly from the apex.

9.3.10 QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLES (QCS) – As part of the IDC (Sect. 9.2), each time a new Analyte PDS (Sect. 7.2.3.2) is prepared, and at least quarterly, analyze a QCS sample from a source different from the source of the CAL standards. If a second vendor is not available, then a different lot of the standard should be used. The QCS should be prepared and analyzed just like a CCC. Acceptance criteria for the QCS are identical to the CCCs; the calculated amount for each analyte must be ± 30% of the expected value. If measured analyte concentrations are not of acceptable accuracy, check the entire analytical procedure to locate and correct the problem.

10. CALIBRATION AND STANDARDIZATION

10.1 Demonstration and documentation of acceptable initial calibration is required before any samples are analyzed. After the initial calibration is successful, a CCC is required at the beginning and end of each period in which analyses are performed, and after every tenth Field Sample.

10.2 INITIAL CALIBRATION

10.2.1 ESI-MS/MS TUNE

10.2.1.1 Calibrate the mass scale of the MS with the calibration compounds and procedures prescribed by the manufacturer.

10.2.1.2 Optimize the $[M-H]^-$ for each method analyte by infusing approximately 0.5-1.0 µg/mL of each analyte (prepared in the initial mobile phase conditions) directly into the MS at the chosen LC mobile phase flow rate (approximately 0.3 mL/min). This tune can be done on a mix of the method analytes. The MS parameters (voltages, temperatures, gas flows, etc.) are

varied until optimal analyte responses are determined. The method analytes may have different optima requiring some compromise between the optima. See Table 2 for ESI-MS conditions used in method development.

10.2.1.3 Optimize the product ion (Sect. 3.19) for each analyte by infusing approximately 0.5-1.0 µg/mL of each analyte (prepared in the initial mobile phase conditions) directly into the MS at the chosen LC mobile phase flow rate (approximately 0.3 mL/min). This tune can be done on a mix of the method analytes. The MS/MS parameters (collision gas pressure, collision energy, etc.) are varied until optimal analyte responses are determined. Typically, the carboxylic acids have very similar MS/MS conditions and the sulfonic acids have similar MS/MS conditions. See Table 4 for MS/MS conditions used in method development.

10.2.2 Establish LC operating parameters that optimize resolution and peak shape. Suggested LC suggested conditions can be found in Table 1. The LC conditions listed in Table 1 may not be optimum for all LC systems and may need to be optimized by the analyst (See Sect. 10.2.4.1). Modifying the standard or extract composition to more aqueous content to prevent poor shape is not permitted.

Cautions: LC system components, as well as the mobile phase constituents, contain many of the method analytes in this method. Thus, these PFAAs will build up on the head of the LC column during mobile phase equilibration. To minimize the background PFAA peaks and to keep background levels constant, the time the LC column sits at initial conditions must be kept constant and as short as possible (while ensuring reproducible retention times). In addition, prior to daily use, flush the column with 100% methanol for at least 20 min before initiating a sequence. It may be necessary on some systems to flush other LC components such as wash syringes, sample needles or any other system components before daily use.

Mobile phase modifiers other than 20 mM ammonium acetate may be used at the discretion of the analyst, provided that the retention time stability criteria in Sect. 11.7.2 can be met over a period of two weeks. During method development, retention times shifted to shorter and shorter times as days progressed when mobile phases with less then 20 mM ammonium acetate were used.

10.2.3 Inject a mid-level CAL standard under LC/MS conditions to obtain the retention times of each method analyte. If analyzing for PFTA, ensure that the LC conditions are adequate to prevent co-elution of PFTA and the mobile phase interferants shown in Figure 1. These interferants have the same precursor and products ions as PFTA, and under faster LC conditions may co-elute with PFTA. Divide the chromatogram into retention time windows each of which contains one

or more chromatographic peaks. During MS/MS analysis, fragment a small number of selected precursor ions ($[M-H]^-$; Sect. 3.17) for the analytes in each window and choose the most abundant product ion. The product ions (also the quantitation ions) chosen during method development are in Table 4, although these will be instrument dependent. For maximum sensitivity, small mass windows of ±0.5 daltons around the product ion mass were used for quantitation. If sufficient sensitivity exists to meet the MRL, wider mass ranges may be used to obtain more confirmation ions.

NOTE: As the NOTE in Section 10.2.4.1 indicates, PFOS has linear and branched isomers. There have been reports[10] that not all the products ions in the linear PFOS are produced in all the branched PFOS isomers. (This phenomenon probably exists for PFHxS and PFBS also, although it has not been studied to date.) Thus, in an attempt to reduce PFOS bias, it is required that the *m/z* 499 → *m/z* 80 transition be used as the quantitation transition. Some MS/MS instruments, such as conventional ion traps, may not be able to scan a product ion with such a wide mass difference from the precursor ion; therefore, they may not be used for this method if PFOS, PFBS, or PFHxS analysis is to be conducted. Literature reports[10] indicate for the most abundant PFOS isomer, which is the linear isomer, that all the products ions obtained on an ion trap have less than 10% relative abundance. In addition, there is not a single ion trap MS/MS transition that encompasses the linear isomer and the majority of the branch isomers; thus, the bias would be unacceptably high.

10.2.4 Inject a mid-level CAL standard under optimized LC/MS/MS conditions to ensure that each method analyte is observed in its MS/MS window and that there are at least 10 scans across the peak for optimum precision.

10.2.4.1 If broad, split or fronting peaks are observed for the first two eluting chromatographic peaks (if only two analytes are being analyzed, both must be evaluated), change the initial mobile phase conditions to higher aqueous content until the peak asymmetry ratio for each peak is 0.8 – 1.5. The peak asymmetry factor is calculated as described in Section 9.3.9 on a mid-level CAL standard. The peak asymmetry factor must meet the above criteria for the first two eluting peaks during the IDL and every time a new calibration curve is generated. <u>Modifying the standard or extract composition to more aqueous content to prevent poor shape is not permitted.</u>

NOTE: PFHxS, PFOS, NMeFOSAA, and NEtFOSAA have multiple chromatographic peaks using the LC conditions in Table 1 due to chromatographic resolution of the linear and branched isomers of these compounds. Most PFAAs are produced by two different processes. One process gives rise to linear

PFAAs only while the other process produces both linear and branched isomers. Thus, both branched and linear PFAAs can potentially be found in the environment. For the aforementioned compounds that give rise to more than one peak, all the chromatographic peaks observed in the standard must be integrated and the areas totaled. Chromatographic peaks in a sample must be integrated in the same way as the CAL standard.

10.2.5 Prepare a set of at least five CAL standards as described in Section 7.2.4. The lowest concentration CAL standard must be at or below the MRL, which may depend on system sensitivity. It is recommended that at least four of the CAL standards are at a concentration greater than or equal to the MRL.

10.2.6 The LC/MS/MS system is calibrated using the IS technique. Use the LC/MS/MS data system software to generate a linear regression or quadratic calibration curve for each of the analytes. This curve **must always** be forced through zero and may be concentration weighted, if necessary. Forcing zero allows for a better estimate of the background levels of method analytes.

10.2.6.1 The isotopically labeled IS(s) in this method may undergo suppression in the ESI source if the concentration of the co-eluting unlabeled method analyte(s) is too high. The analyte concentration at which suppression may occur can vary depending on the instrument, LC conditions, ESI conditions, IS concentration, etc. To evaluate whether suppression is occurring during calibration, calculate the relative percent difference (*RPD*) between the high (H) and low (L) areas for each IS using the equation

$$RPD = \frac{(H - L)}{(H + L)/2} \times 100$$

10.2.6.2 The RPD calculated above must be <20% for each IS during calibration. If the calculated RPD is >20% for any IS, the analyst must recalibrate at lower analyte concentrations until the IS RPDs are <20%.

10.2.7 CALIBRATION ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA – When quantitated using the initial calibration curve, each calibration point, except the lowest point, for each analyte should calculate to be within 70-130% of its true value. The lowest CAL point should calculate to be within 50-150% of its true value. If these criteria cannot be met, the analyst will have difficulty meeting ongoing QC criteria. It is recommended that corrective action is taken to reanalyze the CAL standards, restrict the range of calibration, or select an alternate method of calibration (forcing the curve through zero is still required).

CAUTION: When acquiring MS/MS data, LC operating conditions must be carefully reproduced for each analysis to provide reproducible

retention times. If this is not done, the correct ions will not be monitored at the appropriate times. As a precautionary measure, the chromatographic peaks in each window must not elute too close to the edge of the segment time window.

10.3 CONTINUING CALIBRATION CHECK (CCC) – Minimum daily calibration verification is as follows. Verify the initial calibration at the beginning and end of each group of analyses, and after every tenth sample during analyses. In this context, a "sample" is considered to be a Field Sample. LRBs, CCCs, LFBs, LFSMs, FDs FRBs and LFSMDs are not counted as samples. The beginning CCC of each analysis batch must be at or below the MRL in order to verify instrument sensitivity prior to any analyses. If standards have been prepared such that all low CAL points are not in the same CAL solution, it may be necessary to analyze two CAL standards to meet this requirement. Alternatively, the analyte concentrations in the analyte PDS may be customized to meet this criteria. Subsequent CCCs should alternate between a medium and high concentration CAL standard.

10.3.1 Inject an aliquot of the appropriate concentration CAL standard and analyze with the same conditions used during the initial calibration.

10.3.2 Determine that the absolute areas of the quantitation ions of the IS(s) are within 70-140% of the areas measured in the most recent continuing calibration check, and within 50-150% from the average areas measured during initial calibration. If any of the IS areas has changed by more than these amounts, adjustments must be made to restore system sensitivity. These adjustments may include cleaning of the MS ion source, or other maintenance as indicated in Section 10.3.4. Major instrument maintenance requires recalibration (Sect 10.2) and verification of sensitivity by analyzing a CCC at or below the MRL (Sect 10.3). Control charts are useful aids in documenting system sensitivity changes.

10.3.3 Calculate the concentration of each analyte and SUR in the CCC. The calculated amount for each analyte and SUR for medium and high level CCCs must be within ± 30% of the true value. The calculated amount for the lowest calibration point for each analyte must be within ± 50% and the SUR must be within ± 30% of the true value. If these conditions do not exist, then all data for the problem analyte must be considered invalid, and remedial action should be taken (Sect. 10.3.4) which may require recalibration. Any Field or QC Samples that have been analyzed since the last acceptable calibration verification should be reanalyzed after adequate calibration has been restored, with the following exception. **If the CCC fails because the calculated concentration is greater than 130% (150% for the low-level CCC) for a particular method analyte, and Field Sample extracts show no detection for that method analyte, non-detects may be reported without re-analysis.**

10.3.4 REMEDIAL ACTION – Failure to meet CCC QC performance criteria may require remedial action. Major maintenance, such as cleaning the electrospray

probe, atmospheric pressure ionization source, cleaning the mass analyzer, replacing the LC column, etc., requires recalibration (Sect 10.2) and verification of sensitivity by analyzing a CCC at or below the MRL (Sect 10.3).

11. PROCEDURE

11.1 This procedure may be performed manually or in an automated mode using a robotic or automatic sample preparation device. The data presented in Tables 5-11 demonstrate data collected by manual extraction. If an automated system is used to prepare samples, follow the manufacturer's operating instructions, but all extraction and elution steps must be the same as in the manual procedure. Extraction and/or elution steps may not be changed or omitted to accommodate the use of an automated system. If an automated system is used, the LRBs should be rotated among the ports to ensure that all the valves and tubing meet the LRB requirements (Sect. 9.3.1).

11.2 Some of the PFAAs adsorb to surfaces, including polypropylene. Therefore, the aqueous sample bottles must be rinsed with the elution solvent (Sect 11.4.4) whether extractions are performed manually or by automation. The bottle rinse is passed through the cartridge to elute the method analytes and is then collected (Sect. 11.4.4).

NOTE: The SPE cartridges and sample bottles described in this section are designed as single use items and should be discarded after use. They may not be refurbished for reuse in subsequent analyses.

11.3 SAMPLE PREPARATION

11.3.1 Samples are preserved, collected and stored as presented in Section 8. All Field and QC Samples, including the LRB, LFB and FRB, must contain the dechlorinating agent listed in Section 8.1.2. Determine sample volume. An indirect measurement may be done in one of two ways: by marking the level of the sample on the bottle or by weighing the sample and bottle to the nearest 10 g. After extraction, proceed to Section 11.6 for final volume determination. Some of the PFAAs adsorb to surfaces, thus the sample volume may **NOT** be transferred to a graduated cylinder for volume measurement. The LRB, LFB and FRB may be prepared by measuring 250 mL of reagent water with a polypropylene graduated cylinder or filling a 250-mL sample bottle to near the top.

11.3.2 Add an aliquot of the SUR PDS (Sect. 7.2.2.2) to each sample, cap and invert to mix. During method development, a 10-µL aliquot of the 1-4 ng/µL SUR PDS (Sect. 7.2.2.2) was added to 250 mL of sample for a final concentration of 40 ng/L for ^{13}C-PFHxA and ^{13}C-PFDA and 160 ng/L for d_5-NEtFOSAA.

11.3.3 In addition to the SUR(s) and dechlorination agent, if the sample is an LFB, FD, LFSM, or LFSMD, add the necessary amount of analyte PDS (Sect. 7.2.3.2). Cap and invert each sample to mix.

11.4 CARTRIDGE SPE PROCEDURE

11.4.1 CARTRIDGE CLEAN-UP AND CONDITIONING – DO NOT allow cartridge packing material to go dry during any of the conditioning steps. Rinse each cartridge with 15 mL of methanol. Next, rinse each cartridge with 18 mL of reagent water, without allowing the water to drop below the top edge of the packing. If the cartridge goes dry during the conditioning phase, the conditioning must be started over. Add 4-5 mL of reagent water to each cartridge, attach the sample transfer tubes (Sect. 6.9.3), turn on the vacuum, and begin adding sample to the cartridge.

11.4.2 SAMPLE EXTRACTON – Adjust the vacuum so that the approximate flow rate is 10-15 mL/min. Do not allow the cartridge to go dry before all the sample has passed through.

11.4.3 SAMPLE BOTTLE AND CARTRIDGE RINSE – After the entire sample has passed through the cartridge, rinse the sample bottles with two 7.5-mL aliquots of reagent water and draw each aliquot through the sample transfer tubes and the cartridges. Draw air or nitrogen through the cartridge for 5 min at high vacuum (10-15 in. Hg).

NOTE: If empty plastic reservoirs are used in place of the sample transfer tubes to pass the samples through the cartridges, these reservoirs must be treated like the transfer tubes. After the entire sample has passed through the cartridge, the reservoirs must be rinsed to waste with reagent water.

11.4.4 SAMPLE BOTTLE AND CARTRIDGE ELUTION – Turn off and release the vacuum. Lift the extraction manifold top and insert a rack with collection tubes into the extraction tank to collect the extracts as they are eluted from the cartridges. Rinse the sample bottles with 4 mL of methanol and elute the analytes from the cartridges by pulling the 4 mL of methanol through the sample transfer tubes and the cartridges. Use a low vacuum such that the solvent exits the cartridge in a dropwise fashion. Repeat sample bottle rinse and cartridge elution with a second 4-mL aliquot of methanol.

NOTE: If empty plastic reservoirs are used in place of the sample transfer tubes to pass the samples through the cartridges, these reservoirs must be treated like the transfer tubes. After the reservoirs have been rinsed in Section 11.4.3, the elution solvent used to rinse the sample bottles must be swirled down the sides of the reservoirs while eluting the cartridge to ensure that any method analytes on the surface of the reservoirs are transferred to the extract.

11.5 EXTRACT CONCENTRATION – Concentrate the extract to dryness under a gentle stream of nitrogen in a heated water bath (60-65 °C) to remove all the water/methanol

mix. Add the appropriate amount of 96:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water solution and the IS PDS (Sect. 7.2.1.2) to the collection vial to bring the volume to 1 mL and vortex. (10 µL of the 1-4 ng/µL IS PDS for extract concentrations of 10-40 pg/µL were used for method development). Transfer a small aliquot with a plastic pipet (Sect. 6.7) to a polypropylene autosampler vial.

NOTE: It is recommend that the entire 1-mL aliquot not be transferred to the autosampler vial because the polypropylene autosampler caps do not reseal after injection. Therefore, do not store the extracts in the autosampler vials as evaporation losses can occur occasionally in these autosampler vials. Extracts can be stored in 15-mL centrifuge tubes (Sect. 6.3).

11.6 SAMPLE VOLUME DETERMINATION – If the level of the sample was marked on the sample bottle, use a graduated cylinder to measure the volume of water required to fill the original sample bottle to the mark made prior to extraction. Determine to the nearest 10 mL. If using weight to determine volume, weigh the empty bottle to the nearest 10 g and determine the sample weight by subtraction of the empty bottle weight from the original sample weight (Sect. 11.3.1). Assume a sample density of 1.0 g/mL. In either case, the sample volume will be used in the final calculations of the analyte concentration (Sect. 12.2).

11.7 EXTRACT ANALYSIS

11.7.1 Establish operating conditions equivalent to those summarized in Tables 1-4 of Section 17. Instrument conditions and columns should be optimized prior to the initiation of the IDC.

11.7.2 Establish an appropriate retention time window for each analyte. This should be based on measurements of actual retention time variation for each method analyte in CAL standard solutions analyzed on the LC over the course of time. A value of plus or minus three times the standard deviation of the retention time obtained for each method analyte while establishing the initial calibration and completing the IDC can be used to calculate a suggested window size. However, the experience of the analyst should weigh heavily on the determination of the appropriate retention window size.

11.7.3 Calibrate the system by either the analysis of a calibration curve (Sect. 10.2) or by confirming the initial calibration is still valid by analyzing a CCC as described in Section 10.3. If establishing an initial calibration, complete the IDC as described in Section 9.2.

11.7.4 Begin analyzing Field Samples, including QC samples, at their appropriate frequency by injecting the same size aliquots (10 µL was used in method development), under the same conditions used to analyze the CAL standards.

11.7.5 At the conclusion of data acquisition, use the same software that was used in the calibration procedure to identify peaks of interest in predetermined retention time windows. Use the data system software to examine the ion abundances of the peaks in the chromatogram. Identify an analyte by comparison of its retention time with that of the corresponding method analyte peak in a reference standard. Comparison of the MS/MS mass spectra is not particularly useful given the limited ±0.5 dalton mass range around a single product ion for each method analyte.

11.7.6 The analyst must not extrapolate beyond the established calibration range. If an analyte peak area exceeds the range of the initial calibration curve, the extract may be diluted with 96%:4% (vol/vol) methanol:water solution and the appropriate amount of IS added to match the original concentration. Re-inject the diluted extract. Incorporate the dilution factor into the final concentration calculations. Acceptable SUR performance (Sect. 9.3.5.1) should be determined from the undiluted sample extract. The resulting data should be documented as a dilution, with an increased MRL.

12. DATA ANALYSIS AND CALCULATION

12.1. Complete chromatographic resolution is not necessary for accurate and precise measurements of analyte concentrations using MS/MS. In validating this method, concentrations were calculated by measuring the product ions listed in Table 4. Other ions may be selected at the discretion of the analyst.

12.2. Calculate analyte and SUR concentrations using the multipoint calibration established in Section 10.2. Do not use daily calibration verification data to quantitate analytes in samples. Adjust final analyte concentrations to reflect the actual sample volume determined in Section 11.6.

12.3 Prior to reporting the data, the chromatogram should be reviewed for any incorrect peak identification or poor integration.

12.4 PFHxS, PFOS, NMeFOSAA, and NEtFOSAA have multiple chromatographic peaks using the LC conditions in Table 1 due to the linear and branch isomers of these compounds (Sect. 10.2.4.1). The areas of all the linear and branched isomer peaks observed in the CAL standards for each of these analytes must be summed and the concentrations reported as a total for each of these analytes.

12.5 Calculations must utilize all available digits of precision, but final reported concentrations should be rounded to an appropriate number of significant figures (one digit of uncertainty), typically two, and not more than three significant figures.

NOTE: Some data in Section 17 of this method are reported with more than two significant figures. This is done to better illustrate the method performance.

13. METHOD PERFORMANCE

13.1 PRECISION, ACCURACY, AND MINIMUM REPORTING LEVELS – Tables for these data are presented in Section 17. LCMRLs and DLs for each method analyte are presented in Table 5. Precision and accuracy are presented for four water matrices: reagent water (Tables 6 and 7); chlorinated (finished) ground water (Table 8); high TOC chlorinated surface water (Table 9); and day zero of the aqueous holding time in chlorinated surface water (Table 10).

13.2 EVALUATION OF ADDITIONAL PERFLUORINATED ALKYL COMPOUNDS – Nine additional compounds were evaluated for inclusion in this method, but were not included for the following reasons. Due to volatility, low recoveries following evaporation were obtained for PFOSA (perfluorooctane sulfonylamide), NEtFOSE (2-N-ethylperfluorooctane sulfonamide ethanol), NMeFOSE (2-N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamide ethanol), and NEtFOSA (N-ethylperfluorooctanesulfonamide). Poor chromatographic peak shape, which degraded with repeated injections, was obtained for PFOSAA (perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid). PFOSfn (perfluorosulfinate) degraded in aqueous samples in under 24 hours. PFOSF (perfluorooctane-1-sulfonylfluoride) had the same LC retention time as PFOS and appeared to undergo conversion in the ESI source to PFOS under method conditions. Finally, acceptable SPE recoveries were not obtained for PFBA (perfluorobutanoic acid) and PFPA (perfluoropentanoic acid).

13.3 SAMPLE STORAGE STABILITY STUDIES – An analyte storage stability study was conducted by fortifying the analytes into chlorinated surface water samples that were collected, preserved, and stored as described in Section 8. The precision and mean recovery (n=5) of analyses, conducted on Days 0, 7, and 14 are presented in Table 10.

13.4 EXTRACT STORAGE STABILITY STUDIES – Extract storage stability studies were conducted on extracts obtained from a chlorinated surface water fortified with the method analytes. The precision and mean recovery (n=5) of injections conducted on Days 0, 7, 14, 22, and 28 are reported in Table 11.

13.5 SECOND LABORATORY DEMONSTRATION – The performance of this method was demonstrated by multiple laboratories, with results similar to those reported in Section 17. The authors wish to acknowledge the work of the Suffolk County Water Authority Laboratory Hauppauge, NY and Lancaster Labs, a wholly owned subsidiary of Thermo Fisher Scientific, for their participation in the second laboratory demonstration.

14. POLLUTION PREVENTION

14.1 This method utilizes SPE to extract analytes from water. It requires the use of very small volumes of organic solvent and very small quantities of pure analytes, thereby minimizing the potential hazards to both the analyst and the environment as compared

to the use of large volumes of organic solvents in conventional liquid-liquid extractions.

14.2 For information about pollution prevention that may be applicable to laboratory operations, consult "Less is Better: Laboratory Chemical Management for Waste Reduction" available from the American Chemical Society's Department of Government Relations and Science Policy, 1155 16th Street N.W., Washington, D.C., 20036 or on-line at http://membership.acs.org/c/ccs/pub_9.htm (accessed August 2008).

15. WASTE MANAGEMENT

15.1 The analytical procedures described in this method generate relatively small amounts of waste since only small amounts of reagents and solvents are used. The matrices of concern are finished drinking water or source water. However, laboratory waste management practices must be conducted consistent with all applicable rules and regulations, and that laboratories protect the air, water, and land by minimizing and controlling all releases from fume hoods and bench operations. Also, compliance is required with any sewage discharge permits and regulations, particularly the hazardous waste identification rules and land disposal restrictions.

16. REFERENCES

1. Winslow, S.D., Pepich, B.V., Martin, J.J., Hallberg, G.R., Munch, D.J., Frebis, C.P., Hedrick, E.J., Krop, R.A. "Statistical Procedures for Determination and Verification of Minimum Reporting Levels for Drinking water Methods." *Environ. Sci. & Technol.* 2004, **40**, 281-288.

2. Glaser, J.A., D.L. Foerst, G.D. McKee, S.A. Quave, W.L. Budde, "Trace Analyses for Wastewaters." *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1981, **15,** 1426-1435.

3. Martin, J.W., Kannan, K., Berger, U., De Voogt, P., Field, J., Franklin, J, Giesy, J.P., Harner, T., Muir, D.C., Scott, B., Kaiser, M., Järnberg, U., Jones, K.C., Mabury, S.A., Schroeder, H., Simcik, M., Sottani, C., van Bavel, B., Kärrman, A., Lindström, G., van Leeuwen, S. "Analytical Challenges Hamper Perfluoroalkyl Research." *Environ Sci Technol*. 2004, **38**, 248A-255A.

4. Leenheer, J.A., Rostad, C.E., Gates, P.M., Furlong, E.T., Ferrer, I. "Molecular Resolution and Fragmentation of Fulvic Acid by Electrospray Ionization/Multistage Tandem Mass Spectrometry." *Anal. Chem*. 2001, **73**, 1461-1471.

5. Cahill, J.D., Furlong E.T., Burkhardt, M.R., Kolpin, D., Anderson, L.G. "Determination of Pharmaceutical Compounds in Surface- and Ground-Water Samples by Solid-Phase Extraction and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry." *J. Chromatogr. A* 2004, **1041**, 171-180.

6. “OSHA Safety and Health Standards, General Industry," (29CRF1910). Occupational Safety and Health Administration, OSHA 2206, (Revised, July 1, 2001).

7. “Carcinogens-Working with Carcinogens,” Publication No. 77-206, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Center for Disease Control, National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, Atlanta, Georgia, August 1977.

8. "Safety in Academic Chemistry Laboratories,” American Chemical Society Publication, Committee on Chemical Safety, 7th Edition. Information on obtaining a copy is available at http://membership.acs.org/C/CCS/pub_3.htm (accessed August, 2008). Also available by request at OSS@acs.org.

9. “SAB Review of EPA’s Draft Risk Assessment of Potential Human Health Effects Associated with PFOA and Its Salts.” Document available at http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/SABPRODUCT.NSF/A3C83648E77252828525717F004B9099/$File/sab_06_006.pdf (accessed August, 2008).

10. Langlois, I. and Oehme, M. “Structural Identification of Isomers Present In Technical Perfluorooctane Sulfonate By Tandem Mass Spectrometry.” *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 2006, **20**, 844-850.

17. TABLES, DIAGRAMS, FLOWCHARTS AND VALIDATION DATA

TABLE 1. LC METHOD CONDITIONS

Time (min)	% 20 mM ammonium acetate	% Methanol
Initial	60.0	40.0
1.0	60.0	40.0
25.0	10.0	90.0
32.0	10.0	90.0
32.1	60.0	40.0
37.0	60.0	40.0
Waters Atlantis® dC_{18} 2.1 x 150 mm packed with 5.0 µm C_{18} stationary phase Flow rate of 0.3 mL/min 10 µL injection		

TABLE 2. ESI-MS METHOD CONDITIONS

ESI Conditions	
Polarity	Negative ion
Capillary needle voltage	-3 kV
Cone gas flow	98 L/hr
Nitrogen desolvation gas	1100 L/hr
Desolvation gas temp.	350 °C

TABLE 3. METHOD ANALYTE SOURCE, RETENTION TIMES (RTs), AND SUGGESTED IS REFERENCES

Analyte	Method analyte Source[a]	Peak # (Fig. 1)	RT (min)	IS# Ref
PFBS	Aldrich	1	8.48	2
PFHxA	Fluka	2	11.38	1
PFHpA	Aldrich	4	14.33	1
PFHxS[b]	Fluka	5	14.48	2
PFOA	Aldrich	6	16.73	1
PFNA	Aldrich	8	18.76	1
PFOS[b]	Fluka	9	18.72	2
PFDA	Aldrich	11	20.47	1
NMeFOSAA	c	13	21.28	3
NEtFOSAA	c	15	22.01	3
PFUnA	Aldrich	17	21.95	1
PFDoA	Aldrich	18	23.20	1
PFTrDA	Exfluor	19	24.32	1
PFTA	Aldrich	20	25.27	1
^{13}C-PFHxA	Wellington Labs	3	11.38	1
^{13}C-PFDA	Wellington Labs	12	20.47	1
d_5-NEtFOSAA	Wellington Labs	16	21.99	3
^{13}C-PFOA– IS#1	PerkinElmer	7	16.73	-
^{13}C-PFOS– IS#2	Wellington Labs	10	18.71	-
d_3-NMeFOSAA–IS#3	Wellington Labs	14	21.24	-

[a] The data presented in this method were obtained using analytes purchased from these vendors. Other vendors' materials can be used provided the QC requirements in Section 9 can be met.

[b] PFHxS and PFOS neat materials were purchased as K^+ salts. The CASRN of the PFOS potassium salt is 2795-39-3 and the CASRN of the PFHxS potassium salt is 3871-99-6.

[c] These analytes were not commercially available at the time of method development as technical grade mixtures containing both linear and branched isomers.

TABLE 4. MS/MS METHOD CONDITIONS[a]

Segment[b]	Analyte	Precursor Ion [c] (*m/z*)	Product Ion[c,d] (*m/z*)	Cone Voltage (v)	Collision Energy[e] (v)
1	PFBS	299	80	40	25
2	PFHxA	313	269	15	10
3	PFHpA	363	319	12	10
3	PFHxS[f]	399	80	40	40
4	PFOA	413	369	15	10
4	PFNA	463	419	12	10
4	PFOS[f]	499	80	40	40
5	PFDA	513	469	15	10
5	NMeFOSAA[f]	570	419	25	20
5	NEtFOSAA[f]	584	419	25	20
5	PFUnA	563	519	15	10
5	PFDoA	613	569	15	10
6	PFTrDA	663	619	15	10
6	PFTA	713	669	15	10
2	^{13}C-PFHxA	315	270	15	10
5	^{13}C-PFDA	515	470	12	12
5	d_5-NEtFOSAA	589	419	25	20
4	^{13}C-PFOA	415	370	15	10
4	^{13}C-PFOS	503	80	40	40
5	d_3-NMeFOSAA	573	419	25	20

[a] An LC/MS/MS chromatogram of the analytes is shown in Figure 1.

[b] Segments are time durations in which single or multiple scan events occur.

[c] Precursor and product ions listed in this table are nominal masses. During MS and MS/MS optimization, the analyst should determine the precursor and product ion masses to one decimal place by locating the apex of the mass spectral peak place (e.g., *m/z* 498.9→79.9 for PFOS). These precursor and product ion masses (with one decimal place) should be used in the MS/MS method for all analyses.

[d] Ions used for quantitation purposes.

[e] Argon used as collision gas at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min.

[f] Analyte has multiple resolved chromatographic peaks due to linear and branched isomers. All peaks summed for quantitation purposes.

TABLE 5. DLs AND LCMRLs IN REAGENT WATER

Analyte	Fortified Conc. (ng/L)[a]	DL[b] (ng/L)	LCMRL[c] (ng/L)
PFBS	9.1	3.1	3.7
PFHxA	5.0	1.6	2.9
PFHpA	4.1	0.5	3.8
PFHxS	11	2.0	8.0
PFOA	4.6	1.7	5.1
PFNA	4.8	0.7	5.5
PFOS	9.6	1.4	6.5
PFDA	3.7	0.7	3.8
NMeFOSAA	20	6.5	14
NEtFOSAA	21	4.2	14
PFUnA	5.4	2.8	6.9
PFDoA	3.7	1.1	3.5
PFTrDA	5.5	2.2	3.8
PFTA	4.4	1.7	4.7

[a] Spiking concentration used to determine DL.

[b] Detection limits were determined by analyzing seven replicates over three days according to Section 9.2.7.

[c] LCMRLs were calculated according to the procedure in reference 1.

TABLE 6. PRECISION AND ACCURACY OF LOW LEVEL FORTIFIED REAGENT WATER (n=7)

Analyte	Fortified Conc. (ng/L)	Mean % Recovery	% RSD
PFBS	9.1	93	12
PFHxA	5.0	108	9.3
PFHpA	8.3	111	6.2
PFHxS	11	116	4.9
PFOA	9.1	110	6.5
PFNA	9.6	121	7.5
PFOS	9.6	116	4.1
PFDA	7.3	114	4.1
NMeFOSAA	20	109	9.3
NEtFOSAA	21	113	5.5
PFUnA	11	120	5.4
PFDoA	7.3	116	4.6
PFTrDA	5.5	118	11
PFTA	8.7	117	2.4
^{13}C-PFHxA	40	89	6.1
^{13}C-PFDA	40	102	7.3
d_5-NEtFOSAA	160	100	4.9

TABLE 7. PRECISION AND ACCURACY OF HIGH LEVEL FORTIFIED REAGENT WATER (n=7)

Analyte	Fortified Conc. (ng/L)	Mean % Recovery	% RSD
PFBS	91	92	5.9
PFHxA	50	103	4.6
PFHpA	41	106	3.4
PFHxS	113	101	2.5
PFOA	46	104	3.6
PFNA	48	109	3.5
PFOS	96	101	3.8
PFDA	37	106	4.8
NMeFOSAA	202	100	3.7
NEtFOSAA	214	105	5.3
PFUnA	54	107	3.3
PFDoA	37	107	3.9
PFTrDA	55	107	1.9
PFTA	44	111	2.7
^{13}C-PFHxA	40	93	4.4
^{13}C-PFDA	40	99	3.5
d_5-NEtFOSAA	160	100	4.5

TABLE 8. PRECISION AND ACCURACY IN FORTIFIED CHLORINATED GROUND WATER[a] (n=7)

Analyte	Fortified Conc. (ng/L)	Mean % Recovery	% RSD
PFBS	91	101	3.9
PFHxA	50	112	0.9
PFHpA	41	105	1.8
PFHxS	113	103	2.2
PFOA	46	107	2.2
PFNA	48	103	2.4
PFOS	96	100[b]	2.2
PFDA	37	101	2.6
NMeFOSAA	202	96	2.6
NEtFOSAA	214	100	2.7
PFUnA	54	99	2.6
PFDoA	37	99	2.3
PFTrDA	55	96	3.4
PFTA	44	97	4.2
^{13}C-PFHxA	40	98	2.0
^{13}C-PFDA	40	98	2.1
d_5-NEtFOSAA	160	97	5.0

[a] TOC = 0.96 mg/L and hardness = 342 mg/L.

[b] Recovery is corrected for a matrix PFOS concentration of 40 ng/L.

TABLE 9. PRECISION AND ACCURACY IN FORTIFIED HIGH TOC CHLORINATED SURFACE WATER[a] (n=7)

Analyte	Fortified Conc. (ng/L)	Mean % Recovery	% RSD
PFBS	91	85	4.3
PFHxA	50	101	3.2
PFHpA	41	106	3.7
PFHxS	113	101	4.9
PFOA	46	110	3.1
PFNA	48	112	3.9
PFOS	96	104	4.0
PFDA	37	109	4.3
NMeFOSAA	202	95	4.0
NEtFOSAA	214	100	3.4
PFUnA	54	106	3.7
PFDoA	37	104	4.2
PFTrDA	55	102	4.7
PFTA	44	105	3.5
^{13}C-PFHxA	40	85	4.3
^{13}C-PFDA	40	101	3.2
d_5-NEtFOSAA	160	106	3.7

[a] TOC = 4.95 mg/L and hardness =137 mg/L.

TABLE 10. AQUEOUS SAMPLE HOLDING TIME DATA FOR SAMPLES FROM CHLORINATED SURFACE WATER[a], FORTIFIED WITH METHOD ANALYTES AND PRESERVED AND STORED ACCORDING TO SECTION 8 (n=5)

Analyte	Fortified Conc. (ng/L)	Day 0		Day 7		Day 14	
		Mean %Rec	% RSD	Mean %Rec	% RSD	Mean %Rec	% RSD
PFBS	91	83	8.5	87	3.3	90	12
PFHxA	50	99	4.3	98	3.4	104	10
PFHpA	41	105	1.1	97	4.2	102	7.0
PFHxS	113	98	2.8	98	3.8	92	9.0
PFOA[b]	46	98	2.5	94	3.2	96	7.6
PFNA	48	106	2.5	102	4.0	98	5.5
PFOS	96	103	4.9	100	3.1	104	6.7
PFDA	37	102	3.3	99	5.0	99	7.0
NMeFOSAA	202	97	3.6	95	4.0	97	5.1
NEtFOSAA	214	105	3.0	101	6.1	99	5.3
PFUnA	54	104	3.0	97	4.8	95	10
PFDoA	37	100	2.2	94	5.8	102	4.6
PFTrDA	55	102	2.8	93	3.8	104	5.3
PFTA	44	105	4.0	99	4.3	102	4.4

[a] TOC = 1.8 mg/L and hardness =205 mg/L.

[b] Recovery corrected for a native PFOA concentration of 14 ng/L in the tap water used for this study.

TABLE 11. EXTRACT HOLDING TIME DATA FOR SAMPLES FROM CHLORINATED SURFACE WATER, FORTIFIED WITH METHOD ANALYTES AND PRESERVED AND STORED ACCORDING TO SECTION 8 (n=5)

Analyte	Fortified Conc. (ng/L)	Day 0		Day 7		Day 14		Day 22		Day 28	
		Mean %Rec	RSD %	Mean %Rec	RSD %	Mean %Rec	RSD %	Mean %Rec	RSD %	Mean %Rec	RSD %
PFBS	91	83	8.5	89	10	91	8.3	92	11	90	7.5
PFHxA	50	99	4.3	101	4.7	103	5.7	105	5.2	102	4.1
PFHpA	41	105	1.1	101	3.4	109	3.5	110	2.9	108	1.7
PFHxS	113	98	2.8	101	2.1	107	3.5	106	3.1	104	2.5
PFOA[a]	46	98	2.5	100	1.4	102	2.7	101	3.4	101	2.8
PFNA	48	106	2.5	104	3.0	102	3.5	104	4.0	107	3.1
PFOS	96	103	4.9	100	1.6	109	1.4	103	3.6	105	1.9
PFDA	37	102	3.3	104	2.9	100	3.3	102	5.4	105	2.9
NMeFOSAA	202	97	3.6	96	1.8	96	2.8	102	3.4	99	3.9
NEtFOSAA	214	105	3.0	100	2.5	99	3.4	108	1.7	105	3.7
PFUnA	54	104	3.0	103	3.1	100	4.4	104	3.6	104	4.8
PFDoA	37	100	2.2	102	1.6	101	3.0	107	5.4	110	4.6
PFTrDA	55	102	2.8	95	3.8	97	2.5	104	4.1	104	2.3
PFTA	44	105	4.0	100	2.6	93	3.4	110	3.1	110	2.7

[a] Recovery corrected for a native PFOA concentration of 14 ng/L in the tap water used for this study.

TABLE 12. INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF CAPABILITY QUALITY CONTROL REQUIREMENTS

Method Reference	Requirement	Specification and Frequency	Acceptance Criteria
Sect. 9.2.1	Initial Demonstration of Low System Background	Analyze LRB prior to any other IDC steps.	Demonstrate that all method analytes are below 1/3 the MRL and that possible interferences from extraction media do not prevent the identification and quantification of method analytes.
Sect. 9.2.2	Initial Demonstration of Precision (IDP)	Analyze four to seven replicate LFBs fortified near the midrange calibration concentration.	%RSD must be <20%
Sect. 9.2.3	Initial Demonstration of Accuracy (IDA)	Calculate average recovery for replicates used in IDP.	Mean recovery ± 30% of true value
Sect. 9.2.4	Initial Demonstration of Peak Asymmetry Factor	Calculate the peak asymmetry factor using the equation in Section 9.3.9 for the first two eluting chromatographic peaks in a mid-level CAL standard.	Peak asymmetry factor of 0.8 - 1.5
Sect. 9.2.5	Minimum Reporting Limit (MRL) Confirmation	Fortify, extract and analyze seven replicate LFBs at the proposed MRL concentration. Calculate the Mean and the Half Range (HR). Confirm that the upper and lower limits for the Prediction Interval of Result (Upper PIR, and Lower PIR, Sect. 9.2.5.2) meet the recovery criteria.	Upper PIR ≤ 150% Lower PIR ≥ 50%
Sect. 9.2.6 and 9.3.10	Quality Control Sample (QCS)	Analyze a standard from a second source, as part of IDC.	Results must be within 70-130% of true value.
Sect. 9.2.7	Detection Limit (DL) Determination (optional)	Over a period of trhee days, prepare a minimum of seven replicate LFBs fortified at a concentration estimated to be near the DL. Analyze the replicates through all steps of the analysis. Calculate the DL using the equation in Sect. 9.2.7.1.	Data from DL replicates are <u>not required</u> to meet method precision and accuracy criteria. If the DL replicates are fortified at a low enough concentration, it is likely that they will not meet precision and accuracy criteria.

<u>NOTE:</u> Table 12 is intended as an abbreviated summary of QC requirements provided as a convenience to the method user. Because the information has been abbreviated to fit the table format, there may be issues that need additional clarification, or areas where important additional information from the method text is needed. In all cases, the full text of the QC in Section 9 supersedes any missing or conflicting information in this table.

TABLE 13. ONGOING QUALITY CONTROL REQUIREMENTS (SUMMARY)

Method Reference	Requirement	Specification and Frequency	Acceptance Criteria
Sect. 8.1 - Sect. 8.5	Sample Holding Time	14 days with appropriate preservation and storage as described in Sections 8.1-8.5.	Sample results are valid only if samples are extracted within the sample holding time.
Sect. 8.5	Extract Holding Time	28 days when stored at room temperature in polypropylene centrifuge tubes.	Extract results are valid only if extracts are analyzed within the extract holding time.
Sect. 9.3.1	Laboratory Reagent Blank (LRB)	Daily, or with each extraction batch of up to 20 samples, whichever is more frequent.	Demonstrate that all method analytes are below 1/3 the MRL, and confirm that possible interferences do not prevent quantification of method analytes. If targets exceed 1/3 the MRL or if interferences are present, results for these subject analytes in the extraction batch are invalid.
Sect. 9.3.3	Laboratory Fortified Blank (LFB)	Analyze at least one LFB daily or one for each extraction batch of up to 20 Field Samples. Rotate the fortified concentrations between low, medium and high amounts.	Results of LFB analyses must be 70-130% of the true value for each method analyte for all fortified concentrations except the lowest CAL point. Results of the LFBs corresponding to the lowest CAL point for each method analyte must be 50-150% of the true value.
Sect. 9.3.4	Internal Standard (IS)	Internal standards, ^{13}C-PFOA (IS#1), ^{13}C-PFOS (IS#2), and d_3-NMeFOSAA (IS#3), are added to all standards and sample extracts, including QC samples. Compare IS areas to the average IS area in the initial calibration and to the most recent CCC.	Peak area counts for all ISs in all injections must be within ± 50% of the average peak area calculated during the initial calibration and 70-140% from the most recent CCC. If ISs do not meet this criterion, corresponding target results are invalid.
Sect. 9.3.5	Surrogate Standards (SUR)	Surrogate standards, ^{13}C-PFHxA, ^{13}C-PFDA, and d_5-NEtFOSAA, are added to all CAL standards and samples, including QC samples. Calculate SUR recoveries.	SUR recoveries must be 70-130% of the true value. If a SUR fails this criteria, report all results for sample as suspect/SUR recovery.

TABLE 13. (Continued)

Method Reference	Requirement	Specification and Frequency	Acceptance Criteria
Sect. 9.3.6	Laboratory Fortified Sample Matrix (LFSM)	Analyze one LFSM per extraction batch (20 samples or less) fortified with method analytes at a concentration close to but greater than the native concentration, if known. Calculate LFSM recoveries.	Recoveries at mid and high levels should be within 70-130% and within 50-150% at the low-level fortified amount (near the MRL). If these criteria are not met, results are labeled suspect due to matrix effects.
Sect. 9.3.7	Laboratory Fortified Sample Matrix Duplicate (LFSMD) or Field Duplicates (FD)	Extract and analyze at least one FD or LFSMD with each extraction batch (20 samples or less). A LFSMD may be substituted for a FD when the frequency of detects are low. Calculate RPDs.	Method analyte RPDs for the LFMD or FD should be ≤30% at mid and high levels of fortification and ≤50% near the MRL. If these criteria are not met, results are labeled suspect due to matrix effects.
Sect. 9.3.8	Field Reagent Blank (FRB)	Analysis of the FRB is required only if a Field Sample contains a method analyte or analytes at or above the MRL. The FRB is processed, extracted and analyzed in exactly the same manner as a Field Sample.	If the method analyte(s) found in the Field Sample is present in the FRB at a concentration greater than 1/3 the MRL, then all samples collected with that FRB are invalid and must be recollected and reanalyzed.
Sect. 9.3.9	Peak Asymmetry Factor	Calculate the peak asymmetry factor for the first two eluting chromatographic peaks in a mid-level CAL standard every time a calibration curve is generated.	Peak asymmetry factor of 0.8 - 1.5
Sect. 9.3.10	Quality Control Sample (QCS)	Analyze at least quarterly or when preparing new standards, as well as during the IDC.	Results must be within 70-130% of true value.
Sect. 10.2 and Sect. 9.3.2	Initial Calibration	Use IS calibration technique to generate a first or second order calibration curve forced through zero. Use at least five standard concentrations. Check the calibration curve as described in Sect. 10.2.7.	When each CAL standard is calculated as an unknown using the calibration curve, the analyte results should be 70-130% of the true value for all except the lowest standard, which should be 50-150% of the true value. Recalibration is recommended if these criteria are not met.
Sect. 9.3.2 and Sect. 10.3	Continuing Calibration Check (CCC)	Verify initial calibration by analyzing a low level (at the MRL or below) CCC prior to analyzing samples. CCCs are then injected after every 10 samples and after the last sample, rotating concentrations to cover the calibrated range of the instrument.	Recovery for each analyte and SUR must be within 70-130% of the true value for all but the lowest level of calibration. Recovery for each analyte in the lowest CAL level CCC must be within 50-150% of the true value and the SUR must be within 70-130% of the true value.

NOTE: Table 13 is intended as an abbreviated summary of QC requirements provided as a convenience to the method user. Because the information has been abbreviated to fit the table format, there may be issues that need additional clarification, or areas where important additional information from the method text is needed. In all cases, the full text of the QC in Section 8-10 supersedes any missing or conflicting information in this table.

FIGURE 1. EXAMPLE CHROMATOGRAM FOR REAGENT WATER FORTIFIED WITH METHOD 537 ANALYTES AT CONCENTRATION LEVELS INDICATED IN TABLE 6. NUMBERED PEAKS ARE IDENTIFIED IN TABLE 3.



[a] Abbreviated chromatographic conditions may lead to co-elution of PFTA and the potential interferants shown here. See Section 10.2.3 for more information.