From: Ellinger, Scott
To: "Moats, William, NMENV"; Brandwein, Sid, NMENV
Subject: RE: LNAPL containment well
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2013 2:36:00 PM

That's all I need. Just something to go on.

From: Moats, William, NMENV [mailto:Williams.Moats@state.nm.us]
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 2:19 PM
To: Ellinger, Scott; Brandwein, Sid, NMENV
Subject: RE: LNAPL containment well

Hi Scott,

Sid is right that it has not been decided what the pumping rate would be. Also, our management has not decided whether to compel KAFB to even use the LNAPL containment well.

KAFB proposed 50 gpm, with the possibility of going as high as 100 gpm, if I recall correctly.

If you want to play "what if" with some numbers, you might try 50-100 gpm.

-Will

From: Ellinger, Scott [mailto:Ellinger.Scott@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 12:59 PM
To: Brandwein, Sid, NMENV
Cc: Moats, William, NMENV
Subject: RE: LNAPL containment well

Ok. Thanks.

By the way, since the field of hydraulic conductivity was revised from our last meeting, I am getting still better head calibration. And, Ridgecrest 5 is showing an EDB impact before Ridgecrest 3. So the flow is a little more northerly than before.

From: Brandwein, Sid, NMENV [mailto:Sid.Brandwein@state.nm.us]
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 1:56 PM
To: Ellinger, Scott
Cc: Moats, William, NMENV
Subject: RE: LNAPL containment well

Scott,
Nothing decided on that I know of.

Sid

From: Ellinger, Scott [mailto:Ellinger.Scott@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 12:50 PM
To: Brandwein, Sid, NMENV
Subject: LNAPL containment well

HI Sid,

Do you know if a pumping rate for the LNAPL containment well has been decided on, and what it is?

Thanks,
-Scott