From: Saltman, Tamara
To: Lee, Anita; McKaughan, Colleen
Cc: Lubetsky, Jonathan
Subject: RE: letter on NGS
Date: Thursday, September 05, 2013 2:06:38 PM

---

yeah. we lost those in what I sent to you all. Circulating a new version here shortly!

From: Lee, Anita
Sent: Wednesday, September 04, 2013 5:24 PM
To: Saltman, Tamara; McKaughan, Colleen
Cc: Lubetsky, Jonathan
Subject: RE: letter on NGS

Hi Tamara,

I had a few minor suggested edits to the paragraph about the TWG Alternative (attached). Mainly just that I try not to refer to the TWG submission as a "proposal", at least not until it actually becomes a "real" (EPA) proposal.

And a general question: the response letter you attached only has responses to question 1 (and its subparts), not questions 2 or 3 – am I missing something or are we deliberately choosing to only respond to question 1?

Thanks!

Anita Lee, PhD
Environmental Scientist
US EPA, Air Division, Planning Office (Air-2)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3958

From: Saltman, Tamara
Sent: Wednesday, September 04, 2013 1:08 PM
To: McKaughan, Colleen; Lee, Anita
Cc: Lubetsky, Jonathan
Subject: letter on NGS

hi Colleen and Anita,

Many months ago, Rep Whitfield sent us a letter about NGS (attached). We drafted a response but could not send it b/c the language was similar to language we were waiting to clear through OMB re: NGS from a budget hearing in the spring. That question cleared this week. Also, the Administrator is going up to the Hill on Sept 18th for a hearing in front of Rep. Whitfield's committee. All of which means that we now can and want to respond to his letter very soon.

Attached is the my latest draft of our response. Can you all take a look and let me know if you're OK with it or edits you suggest? I will also be running the attachment by DOI for the 3-agency meeting info. If you can get me your comments by early next week (or sooner if you want) that would be great so we can clear this out by the end of next week.

thanks,
Tamara

Also – there's going to need to be a fact sheet/briefing one-pager on NGS for that hearing too. I don't know if Sara Terry has already asked for one through the visibility folks in OAQPS, but keep an ear out. In particular we'll need to think about what we want the Administrator to say if asked re: the TWG agreement and the timing of the supplemental (she can't just say it's in process if Jared is signing it the next day).