From: Diana-M Wong
To: 'Lianne' Elizabeth Sheppard
Subject: Re: Additional data request (more correct subject)
Date: 01/23/2012 05:41 PM

Lianne,

I have asked EPA to provide the information you requested. Thanks.

Diana

Diana Wong, Ph. D., DABT
Toxicologist and Designated Federal Officer
USEPA
Science Advisory Board Staff Office
MC: 1400R
1200 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.
Washington, DC 20460

Phone:(202) 564-2049

▼ "'Lianne' Elizabeth Sheppard" ---01/23/2012 04:54:29 PM---To be specific, I'd like to see all models with ln(CHEEC) as exposure at a 10 year lag. That would

From: "'Lianne' Elizabeth Sheppard" <sheppard@u.washington.edu>
To: Diana-M Wong/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/23/2012 04:54 PM
Subject: Re: Additional data request (more correct subject)

To be specific, I'd like to see all models with ln(CHEEC) as exposure at a 10 year lag. That would be the logistic, probit, 3-parameter logistic, Dichotomous Hill, and Michaelis-Menten.

Thanks

--Lianne--
sheppard@u.washington.edu

Lianne Sheppard, Ph.D.
Professor
Box 357232
Department of Biostatistics
and Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195-7232

tel: (206)616-2722
fax: (206)543-3286

On Mon, 23 Jan 2012, Diana-M Wong wrote:

> Lianne,
>
> Regarding your request for information on Table E-2, can you specify

> which model forms and which lags for that model form you are looking
> for? Thanks.
>
> Diana
>
> Diana Wong, Ph. D., DABT
> Toxicologist and Designated Federal Officer
> USEPA
> Science Advisory Board Staff Office
> MC: 1400R
> 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.
> Washington, DC 20460
>
> Phone:(202) 564-2049
>
>
>
>
>
>
> From: "'Lianne' Elizabeth Sheppard" <sheppard@u.washington.edu>
> To: Diana-M Wong/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
> Date: 01/23/2012 12:48 PM
> Subject: Re: Error in The Draft Agenda
>
>
>
> Diana,
>
> Thanks for this update. I spent some time over the weekend
> really trying to figure out EPA's analysis for estimating the
> RfC. I think I need some more information:
>
> *More basic data description for the primary subset and full
> cohort used for analysis.
>
> *Estimates of the regression coefficient and its SE for exposure
> in all models (refer to Table E-1).
>
> *All parameter estimates in the top models in Table E-2. (At
> least the top 3-5 models)
>
> *All coeficient estimates for the model assessing smoking (refer
> to Table E-4, also E-5)
>
> *Raw data file used in the analysis, if possible
>
> I'll be out of my office for a few hours and then I'll be able
> to check back in about this. It might also be good for us to
> have a conversation about this work. If you are available this
> afternoon (pacific time), we could talk then.
>
> Thanks
>
> --Lianne--
> sheppard@u.washington.edu
>
> Lianne Sheppard, Ph.D.
> Professor
> Box 357232
> Department of Biostatistics
> and Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences
> University of Washington
> Seattle, WA 98195-7232
>
> tel: (206)616-2722
> fax: (206)543-3286
>
>
> On Thu, 19 Jan 2012, Diana-M Wong wrote:

>
> >
> > Dear Dr. Sheppard,
> >
> > There was an error in the draft agenda (Attachment C) sent
out to you
> > previously. The charge question for Exposure Response
Modeling should
> > be Charge question III.A.2, not II.A.2.
> >
> > The change was made on pg 3 of the agenda, Chapter 5 -
> Exposure-Response
> > Assessment, Section 5.2 and 5.3- Inhalation Reference
Concentration
> > (RfC) and Uncertainties.
> >
> > The revised agenda is attached. Sorry for the
inconvenience.
> >
> > (See attached file: Draft Agenda Asbestos Review Panel
Meeting January
> > 19.docx)
> >
> >
> > Diana Wong, Ph. D., DABT
> > Toxicologist and Designated Federal Officer
> > USEPA
> > Science Advisory Board Staff Office
> > MC: 1400R
> > 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.
> > Washington, DC 20460
> >
> > Phone:(202) 564-2049
> >
> >
>
>
>