From: Danielle DeVoney
To: Diana-M Wong
Subject: Fw: Libby RfC - SAB request Fw: Request For Additional Information
Date: 01/23/2012 07:51 PM

Diana -

Hi - I believe we need further clarification of the first request.

See Glinda's note below. This makes sense - from the perspective of what an epidemiologist might request for the data. Can you contact the SAB member and clarify? This would also make sense with the raw data being a separate request.

dd

Danielle DeVoney, PhD, DABT, PE
National Center for Environmental Assessment
USEPA Office of Research and Development
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW (8623P)
Washington, DC 20460
703.347.8558
FAX: 703.347.8692

----- Forwarded by Danielle DeVoney/DC/USEPA/US on 01/23/2012 07:49 PM -----

From: Glinda Cooper/DC/USEPA/US
To: Danielle DeVoney/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Bob Sonawane/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Berry/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Deborah McKean/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Krista Christensen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Leonid Kopylev/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Maureen Gwinn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Thomas Bateson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/23/2012 07:43 PM
Subject: Re: Libby RfC - SAB request Fw: Request For Additional Information

---

To me, #1 below is ambiguous, and would warrant clarification about what the reviewer actually wants. If I asked someone to provide me "more basic data description for the primary subset and full cohort..." I would **NOT** want to be given a dataset. What I would like to see is descriptive statistics (i.e., summary statistics - mean, median, interquartile range, 95th percentile etc. - describing the distribution of key variables such as age first exposure, length of follow-up, exposure measures, etc. I would also want to see the amount of missing data for key variables. So, before you go to the trouble of creating datasets, I think it's worth looking at what is actually in the document (from a descriptive statistics point of view), and what can easily be generated to fill in the gaps, and then asking if this is sufficient.

Glinda