From: John Backus
To: Mark Barath
Subject: Re: MD WQS approval
Date: 10/16/2012 07:27 AM

Hey Mark,
Just following up. We're still getting questions from the Secretary Summer's office.
I guess to boil it down, will we get (eventually) a letter or other confirmation that the Services have completed their review?
There's still a feeling of limbo and there's quite a lot riding on the decision.
I know this is a tough question, but that's why you get paid the big bucks.

Thanks, John

>>> Mark Barath <Barath.Mark@epamail.epa.gov> 9/13/2012 2:31 PM >>>
John:
The CB TMDL NMFS TMDL basically agreed with the statement :The total amount of waters (in time and space) added by the these two variances is really a very small percentage to total bay waters covered by these pertaining DUs (to paraphrase NMFS; may but will not adversely affect shortnose and Atlantic sturgeon).
Mark A. Barath
Environmental Scientist
Office of Standards, Assessment and TMDLs (3WP30)
Water Protection Division
U.S. EPA Region III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, Pa 19103-2029
(215) 814-2759 Telephone
(215) 814-2318 Fax

From: "John Backus" <JBackus@mde.state.md.us>
To: Mark Barath/R3/USEPA/US
Date: 09/13/2012 02:03 PM
Subject: Re: MD WQS approval

Thanks....I think!

John Backus
Science Services Administration
Maryland Dept. of the Environment
1800 Washington Blvd.
Baltimore, MD 21230
Ph: 410-537-3965
Visit us on Facebook: www.facebook.com/MDEnvironment
and Twitter:
www.twitter.com/MDEnvironment
>>> Mark Barath <Barath.Mark@epamail.epa.gov> 9/13/2012 1:51 PM >>>
John:
We did get concurrence from FWS but that was taken out of my draft. There are some things not worth

fighting for in the concurrence chain. As far not getting concurrence from NMFS, what else is new? I have done 4 TR in CB basin(this includes MD 2010a, 2010b and 2012) and have yet to get concurrence from NMFS! It took them plus one year to concur with the CB TMDL(+270 pages Biological Opinion[BO]) and that was definitely considered a biggie. Lets just say that for all practice purpose, this is about as final as we are going to get. This NMFS problem is nationwide. Further, in regard to this 2012 TR, since MD came out with original written TR information in January, the Atlantic sturgeon issue really does come into play since the endangered species determination wasn't issued until February. That aforementioned BO basically covered all the issues regarding restoration variance. The total amount of waters (in time and space) added by the these two variances is really a very small percentage to total bay waters covered by these pertaining DUs. The fact that we approved them is the most important part so go for it. Besides these are so closely tied in with the TMDL implementation process and what decision will be made on all this until the 2017 ground check?

Mark A. Barath
Environmental Scientist
Office of Standards, Assessment and TMDLs (3WP30)
Water Protection Division
U.S. EPA Region III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, Pa 19103-2029
(215) 814-2759 Telephone
(215) 814-2318 Fax

From: "John Backus" <JBackus@mde.state.md.us>
To: Mark Barath/R3/USEPA/US
Cc: "Matthew Rowe" <mrowe@mde.state.md.us>
Date: 09/13/2012 01:23 PM
Subject: MD WQS approval

Hey Mark,
Secretary Summers received the WQS approval letter yesterday. We notice, however, that the consultations with USFWS and NOAA are still pending.
So what does that mean, exactly? And, when can we expect a final "Approval"?
You've been telling me for several months that the hold-up was the consultations, and that still seems to be the case; and we really don't have a final approval. We're just wanting to dot the i's and cross the t's, and I'd like to get Bob an explanation. As you know, there's a lot riding on this.

Thanks, John

The information contained in this communication may be confidential, is intended only for the use of the recipient named above, and may be legally privileged. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system. Thank You

The information contained in this communication may be confidential, is intended only for the use of the recipient named above, and may be legally privileged. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system. Thank You

The information contained in this communication may be confidential, is intended only for the use of the recipient named above, and may be legally privileged. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender and delete the original message and any copy of it from your computer system. Thank You