From:	Weitzler, Ellen
To:	Williams, Ann; Webster, David; Bukhari, Samir
Cc:	Houlihan, Damien
Subject:	RE: Exxon Mobil NPDES permit MA0000833
Date:	Tuesday, June 07, 2016 9:29:09 AM
Attachments:	Notice of Uncontested ...9.pdf

I'll be in the office in the morning on June 24th and then leaving midday for vacation. Back on July 18th.

I've attached the 2008 Notice of Uncontested and Severable Conditions for your reference.

Ellen

Ellen Weitzler, P.E.
Municipal Permits Section Chief
USEPA-New England Region 1
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, OEP06-4
Boston, MA 02109-3912
Tel 617-918-1582

From: Williams, Ann
Sent: Tuesday, June 07, 2016 9:24 AM
To: Webster, David <Webster.David@epa.gov>; Bukhari, Samir <Bukhari.Samir@epa.gov>
Cc: Houlihan, Damien <houlihan.damien@epa.gov>; Weitzler, Ellen <Weitzler.Ellen@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Exxon Mobil NPDES permit MA0000833

I had suggested to Dianne that we include OES, but my understanding is that her interest at the outset is to review the permit and the appeal and stay and modification process to make sure she understands the permit office's view of what applied when. I don't object to OES being there but I think it would be simpler at the outset if it were just OEP and ORC.

Everyone, could you get back to me ASAP on which of the dates below you're available and when? I can also let Dianne know there may be an interest in having someone from OES attend as well.

Thanks,
Ann

Ann H. Williams
Senior Assistant Regional Counsel
EPA Region I, ORA 18-1
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Boston, MA 02109-3912

tel: 617-918-1097

fax: 617-918-0097
e-mail: williams.ann@epa.gov

From: Webster, David
Sent: Tuesday, June 07, 2016 8:31 AM
To: Williams, Ann <Williams.Ann@epa.gov>; Bukhari, Samir <Bukhari.Samir@epa.gov>
Cc: Houlihan, Damien <houlihan.damien@epa.gov>; Weitzler, Ellen <Weitzler.Ellen@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Exxon Mobil NPDES permit MA0000833

Ann/Samir,
Determining compliance, violations, and when certain permit limits were in effect would appear to be a OES call, in consultation with ORC/OEP to inform OES of the nature of the period between issuance of the 2008 permit and the 2011 modification. Agree? I suggest OES be part of any meeting if we agree to this.
David

From: dianne.phillips@hklaw.com [mailto:dianne.phillips@hklaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 07, 2016 8:16 AM
To: Williams, Ann <Williams.Ann@epa.gov>
Cc: Webster, David <Webster.David@epa.gov>; Bukhari, Samir <Bukhari.Samir@epa.gov>; Weitzler, Ellen <Weitzler.Ellen@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Exxon Mobil NPDES permit MA0000833

Hi Ann,

I am just checking back from our conversation last week. Is there any chance we could come to your office on one of the following days to review the Exxon Mobil permit compliance limits for the last few years (2008 to date)? We want to be sure we are on the same page before responding to CLF. As folks may remember, there was some initial confusion about the compliance limits, especially during the period the contested conditions were stayed. We are available any of the following dates:

- Friday, June 24
- Tuesday, June 28
- Wednesday, June 29
- Thursday, June 30

I am leaving later today for vacation (Norway & Denmark), but will be monitoring e-mail while traveling. I look forward to hearing from you.

Best regards,
Dianne

Dianne Phillips | **Holland & Knight**
Partner

Holland & Knight LLP
10 Saint James Avenue, 11th Floor | Boston, MA 02116
Phone 617.573.5818 | Fax 617.523.6850 | Cell 339.221.0975
dianne.phillips@hklaw.com | www.hklaw.com

Add to address book | View professional biography

From: Phillips, Dianne R (BOS - X75818)
Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 6:19 PM
To: 'williams.ann@epa.gov' <williams.ann@epa.gov>
Cc: David Webster <Webster.David@epamail.epa.gov>; 'Bukhari.Samir@epamail.epa.gov' <Bukhari.Samir@epamail.epa.gov>; 'Weitzler.Ellen@epamail.epa.gov' <Weitzler.Ellen@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: Exxon Mobil NPDES permit MA0000833

Ann,

It was great to speak with you this afternoon. As we discussed, I am writing to request a meeting with you and the folks copied on this e-mail on behalf of our client Exxon Mobil. As I mentioned, the CLF Notice of Intent alleges, among other things, violations of the NPDES permit during the period between issuance of the 2008 permit and the 2011 modification (as well as subsequent alleged violations) which I believe are erroneous. We would like to meet with you to review the permit effluent compliance limits in effect during the relevant period (some of which relate to application of the contested conditions stay) and confirm EPA's views of the applicable limits. As we discussed, the permit history is complex & we want to be sure we are all on the same page. I appreciate your willingness to assist (subject to scheduling of course).

We are available the following dates (at any time):

- Friday, June 24
- Tuesday, June 28
- Wednesday, June 29
- Thursday, June 30

Would any of those work for you all?

As I mentioned, I am leaving for a vacation out-of-the country next Tuesday (June 7) and would love to get something on the calendar (if possible) before I go. Let me know what you think.

Best regards, Dianne
P.S. I will be monitoring e-mail while away periodically.

Dianne Phillips | **Holland & Knight**
Partner
Holland & Knight LLP

10 Saint James Avenue, 11th Floor | Boston, MA 02116
Phone 617.573.5818 | Fax 617.523.6850 | Cell 339.221.0975
dianne.phillips@hklaw.com | www.hklaw.com

Add to address book | View professional biography

NOTE: This e-mail is from a law firm, Holland & Knight LLP ("H&K"), and is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. If you believe you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete the e-mail from your computer and do not copy or disclose it to anyone else. If you are not an existing client of H&K, do not construe anything in this e-mail to make you a client unless it contains a specific statement to that effect and do not disclose anything to H&K in reply that you expect it to hold in confidence. If you properly received this e-mail as a client, co-counsel or retained expert of H&K, you should maintain its contents in confidence in order to preserve the attorney-client or work product privilege that may be available to protect confidentiality.