(b)(6) [redacted] **OPNAV, DNS-36**

From: (b)(6) [redacted] LCDR CNO, N00J
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2010 14:55
To: (b)(6) [redacted] LT OJAG
Subject: RE: SECNAV's DADT Memo to CNO?

(b)(6)

There was no memo/document other than a tasker (#020353c) from SECNAV to CNO. The tasker package included the USD(P&R) memo and an email from CAPT Hannink to SAL recommending that CNO/CMC send NAVADMIN/MARADMIN rather than SECNAV sending an ALNAV. Blurb of the tasker just communicated the order to proceed with this course of action.

Exact verbiage:

Forwarded to CNO/CMC for appropriate action (release NAVADMIN/MARADMIN). See documents in tasker package for further details. Once respective messages are released, attach to final response and tasker will be closed.

V/r,
(b)

-----Original Message-----
From: (b)(6) [redacted] LT OJAG
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2010 14:47
To: (b)(6) [redacted] LCDR CNO, N00J
Subject: SECNAV's DADT Memo to CNO?

(b)

Do you have a copy of reference (c), as described in today's NAVADMIN:

REF/C/DOC/SECNAV/18OCT2010//
NARR/REF A IS FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION IN THE CASE LOG CABIN REPUBLICANS V. US.
REF B IS UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARIES OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS.
REF C FORWARDED REF B TO CNO FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION.//

?

I'm making sure Code 13 has a complete copy of the primary resources on this issue. Thanks.

V/r,

(b)