REDACTED VERSION

From: Jacquelyn Young
To: Miller, Garyg
Subject: Re: Water Well Samples - San Jacinto Area
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2016 11:16:23 AM

Gary,

I am in a conference today but I will try to forward the data via phone. If you cannot access it please let me know and I'll resend this evening.

One of the wells tested is on (b) (6) and comes from a community well that serves the first two streets in town.
The other well is on (b) (6). You may find an indicator in the reports as to which test came from which location. The report that should say "(b) (6)" is from (b) (6). "(b) (6)" is from (b) (6).
I believe both wells are greater than 300 feet deep.

The sample results I have may have QA/QC issues. Jennifer Ronk did provide feedback on at least one of the reports and basically in short, dioxin is present.

The TEQs are much lower in the samples I took than in other samples that I have seen the results for. I have seen TEQs greater than 4 in other tests in addition to more dioxin congeners present. The other results I've viewed are from around where I lived and in close proximity to the Pits.

Thank you,
Jackie Young

On Thursday, January 14, 2016, Miller, Garyg <Miller.Garyg@epa.gov> wrote:

> Jackie,
>
> Can you send the analysis results from the second well you sampled? We only have the one you sent so far. Also, can you give me an idea of where they are located & how deep if you know that.
>
> There was an article in the Baytown Sun today quoting you about water well samples – was that the two you got before or was it some additional sampling?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Gary Miller
>
> Remedial Project Manager
>
> EPA Region 6 – Superfund Division (6SF-RA)
>
> 214-665-8318
>
> miller.garyg@epa.gov

9645156