1)

Site: SANGAMO
Break: 4.9 v.4
Other: n.a.

- Sangamo FS Comments
  - most of general comments are resolved
  - RI summary still doesn't address sampling locations
  - recomended alternative gone
- Discussions of GW remediation
  - they retained neg. language in text concerning pump and treat
  - added a sentence saying EPA ~~[illegible]~~ thinks

Pages 6.7-1, 6.7-4

EPA Comment #4
Page 2-1, second Bullet
Comment #10 - discharges
#11 - Nix site
Comment #13 - Blank contamination
↳ ignored VOCs at Nix site
Comment #19 Page 2-7 no discussion of VOCs in groundwater
Comment #20 - VOCs in water not mentioned
Comment #24 - didn't include bromo. ~~[illegible]~~ compound

10727564

Comment #26 - Table 2-1
they left out organic cont.
at Trotter, Nix & Welborn site
pages 2-11 & 2-12

check #27

Comment #33 no response from
RMT

Table 3 Comment #35 ~ MCLGs are given
as TBCs - they are ARARs

Comment #42 - Acetone & bromodichlo
left from Table 3-1

Comment #44 - taken care of
#45 - taken care of

Comment #48 - Separate work plan
unusable

#50 & 65 still inadequately
addressed

Comment #56 - not a real good
answer

Comment #66 - Not ~~adequately~~ addressed at all

Comment #68 - wrong - Page 6.1-1 2nd paragraph
#70 - no
#72 - don't know
did not delete paragraph

- "Constituents of Concern"

Comment #75 6.1-8 - Effectiveness
#77 - did not respond
#78 - State will not institute institutional controls

89 - should include cross roads

90 - Page 6.7-1 unresponsive
91 Page 6.7-4

108 - ARARs are not properly addressed in discussion
114 - Same as 108 - ARARs in Chapt. 3
118 - Page 7.3-5 "Decrease" in discount rate

Comment 121 - Page 8-2 - totally unresponsive.

#123 no response