From:	Baron, Adam
To:	Rickie W. Armstrong
Cc:	Hartman, Bob
Subject:	RE: AOC revisions/updates
Date:	Monday, November 14, 2016 10:16:19 AM
Attachments:	5 Cedars NOD Ltr 9-29-2014.pdf

Rickie –

Just following up from last week. Attached is the NOD letter for 5 Cedars. Please call if you have any questions on any of this.

Thanks.

Adam Baron
Drinking Water Compliance Officer
U.S. EPA, Region 10 (OCE-101)
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 553-6361
baron.adam@epa.gov

From: Rickie W. Armstrong [mailto:rarmstrong@nooksack-nsn.gov]
Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2016 12:42 PM
To: Baron, Adam <Baron.Adam@epa.gov>
Cc: Hartman, Bob <Hartman.Bob@epa.gov>; Joe Bob <JBob@nooksack-nsn.gov>; Charity Bernard <cbernard@nooksack-nsn.gov>
Subject: AOC revisions/updates

Hi Adam,

I wanted to get back to you with updates/revisions to the AOCs, which only concern the corrective actions and timelines. The AOCs all reference compliance with the significant deficiencies mentioned in a letter (not the corrective action plan). I don't think I have received a copy of the original letters on each system. Assuming the deficiencies identified in the letter match the CAPs, our comments/suggested revisions by system are as follows:

1. **5 Cedars**
   Thank you for the updated AOC. On Section 4.2, I don't believe I have the letter dated 9/30/11, but only the follow ups re: (remedying outstanding CAP issues). If On certain many of the items listed in CAP did not have definite minimal requirements. Examples of this are: items 5 re: safe access and item 7 re: ventilation. Item 1 (well cap) is resolved. Further, items 2, and 6-9 can be completed within 60 days – no issue. Items 3-4 could, and likely will take longer . As item 3 requires an outside analysis be completed, and item 4 depends on the results of item 3. A revision of section 4.2 from 60 days to 180 days should be sufficient. Clarification on issues 5 and 7 would also assist, and may affect the Dept.'s ability to accomplish within 60 days.

2. **Rutsatz**
   Thank you for updates. On Section 4.3 (remedying outstanding CAP issues), a revision to 180 days should be sufficient to built the buffer between the well and septic tank. The remaining issues should be able to be remedied within 60 days.

3. **Sulwhanon**
   On Section 4.4, re: the CAP, same issue as Rutsatz (see above). The Dept. has installed a raw water sample tap and provided the certification, so those issues are corrected.

4. **Whispering Cedars**
   On Section 4.3, re: the CAP, the Dept. has installed the proper well cap, vent and/or seals and raised the casing. They should be able to correct the ventilation issues and create a written program within 60 days.

5. **River Casino**
   No additions/changes.

6. **Northwood Casino**
   No additions/changes.

If we are to utilize the dated letters as the reference point (vs. the survey or the CAP), please forward a copy of each of the referenced letters as soon as possible. I apologize in advance if I overlooked an email or the letter, but our server seems to have cleared out a large chunk of my emails. Thanks and have a good weekend.

Thank you,

Rickie Wayne Armstrong
Tribal Attorney
Office of Tribal Attorney
Nooksack Indian Tribe
5047 Mt. Baker Hwy
P.O. Box 63
Deming, WA 98244
Phone: 360-592-4158 Ext. 3352
Fax: 360-592-2227
Cel: 360-303-6738

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This transmission (including any attachments) is intended only for the use of the person or persons to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or protected from disclosure. If you are not an intended recipient, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message, then delete and purge the message from your system. The use, disclosure, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this message or the information in

it or attached to it by any unintended recipient is unauthorized, strictly prohibited by the sender, and may be unlawful.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: The views expressed herein or in any attachments hereto are not intended to constitute a "reliance opinion" under applicable treasury regulations, and accordingly are not intended or written to be used, and may not be used or relied upon, for the purposes of (a) avoiding tax-related penalties that may be imposed by the IRS or (b) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.