From: Gorin, Jonathan
To: John M. Hoffman
Cc: Carrie McGowan; Cutt, Diana; SMacMillin@Brwncald.com
Subject: RE: NJDEP request for a discussion
Date: Monday, July 29, 2013 3:53:00 PM

Thanks John, how's next Wed at 2:00 for the call? I don't think it will take 30 minutes. I'd also like us to have a short "pre-call" call sometime as well.

jon

From: John M. Hoffman [mailto:jmhoffman@ashland.com]
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2013 8:43 AM
To: Gorin, Jonathan
Cc: Carrie McGowan; Cutt, Diana; SMacMillin@Brwncald.com
Subject: Re: NJDEP request for a discussion

Hi Jon,
Scott will be reviewing the information required to answer the questions below.
In the meantime, you can schedule the call that you discussed.

John

John Hoffman
Project Manager - Remediation
302 995-3233

Ashland Inc.
Environmental Health Safety & Product Regulatory
500 Hercules Road
Wilmington, DE 19808-1599

M: 302 668-7259
F: 302 995-3485

ashland.com

From: "Gorin, Jonathan" <Gorin.Jonathan@epa.gov>
To: John M. Hoffman/RCWilm/NA/Herc@Ashland, Carrie McGowan <Carrie.McGowan@ehs-support.com>, "SMacMillin@Brwncald.com" <SMacMillin@Brwncald.com>,
Cc: "Cutt, Diana" <Cutt.Diana@epa.gov>
Date: 07/25/2013 05:23 PM
Subject: NJDEP request for a discussion

Good afternoon all. Below in italics is a comment DEP submitted to the NRRB.

"'Free liquids- organics' was identified in a number of soil borings during the remedial investigation (August, 2002

Site Characterization Report) however was not addressed in the remedy. Consistent with the regulations listed above, LCP shall treat or remove free organic product and residual product to the extent practicable, or contain free product and residual product when treatment or removal is not practicable.

I felt that I gave an adequate response to the comment, but apparently not. It's from David VanEck, but he's getting my responses second hand so maybe something lost in the translation. I don't know. In order to put this to bed, would it be ok for all of us, Scott being the key person, to discuss this directly with David?

Questions that need to be answered:
Why did the tables in the 2002 report indicate free-liquid organics?
What sort of additional sampling was done to determine if NAPL existed?
Where can we find a discussion of the "free liquids" in the RI text?

jon
- -

This e-mail contains information which may be privileged, confidential, proprietary, trade secret and/or otherwise legally protected. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not distribute this e-mail. Instead, please delete this e-mail from your system, and notify us that you received it in error. No waiver of any applicable privileges or legal protections is intended (and nothing herein shall constitute such a waiver), and all rights are reserved.