From: Gorin, Jonathan
To: DiPippo, Gary
Cc: John M. Hoffman
Subject: RE: quick question
Date: Tuesday, January 07, 2014 12:39:00 PM

Yes, it does.

I told "them" that it's saturated fill, that the cap will cut off infiltration from rain, and whatever limited lateral flow there is will be cut off by the barrier wall. Add to that the fact we will be recovering and disposing what overburden water is inside the wall, it should dry out.

I also noted that the GAF site's overburden area has already virtually dried out – which I believe is the case (John?)

Anyway, lawyers like "will" and "will not." Scientists/engineers like "is likely to" or "is unlikely to." Hard to reconcile, but I'll give it another shot.

Jon

Ps, despite my tone in this e-mail, we're very close to getting this ROD issued.

From: DiPippo, Gary [mailto:Gary.DiPippo@Cornerstoneeg.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 07, 2014 12:01 PM
To: Gorin, Jonathan
Cc: John M. Hoffman
Subject: RE: quick question

Jon,

Quite sure it will dry out. That water table is developed solely from recharge, so if recharge is stopped, well. . . . .

The only thing that is a bit uncertain is exactly how long it will take because of the uncertainties of permeability and lateral flow during the course of the mound decline.

Does this answer your question?

Gary

From: Gorin, Jonathan [mailto:Gorin.Jonathan@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 07, 2014 8:47 AM
To: DiPippo, Gary

Cc: John M. Hoffman
Subject: quick question

I have no idea what it is with this site….. Anyway, quick question

Gary, how sure are you that the overburden area under the cap, inside the barrier wall, will eventually “dry out?”