From:	Gorin, Jonathan
To:	MacMillin, Scott
Cc:	John M. Hoffman; Carrie McGowan; Thorn, Paul
Subject:	RE: Question on run-off
Date:	Wednesday, September 04, 2013 2:26:00 PM

Thanks Scott, the RI doesn't need to be, and shouldn't be changed.

I'll put something in the ROD making that relatively minor point - as long as there's no factual problems with it.

From: MacMillin, Scott [mailto:SMacMillin@Brwncald.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 04, 2013 2:20 PM
To: Gorin, Jonathan
Cc: John M. Hoffman; Carrie McGowan; Thorn, Paul
Subject: RE: Question on run-off

Jon,

Conceptually, I agree with your statement. However, before a change such as this is added to the Revised Final RIR, the context will need to be considered and, of course, we will need to discuss this with the RP and the project team.

Scott MacMillin, P.G., LSRP
Managing Hydrogeologist
Brown and Caldwell | Upper Saddle River, NJ
SMacMillin@brwncald.com
T 201.574.4711 | **C** 201.841.0350

Professional Registration in Specific States

From: Gorin, Jonathan [mailto:Gorin.Jonathan@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 04, 2013 9:27 AM
To: John M. Hoffman; Carrie McGowan; MacMillin, Scott
Subject: Question on run-off

Good morning Scott, good to see you last week.

I agree with the RI that entrainment of mercury to SBC is limited due to the site's limited stormwater run-off flow. I think I should add a sentence about storm events, something like:

Entrainment, however, could be amplified during substantial

tidal events.

Factually, what do you think?

jon