From:	Barton, Dana
To:	Lyons, John
Subject:	FW: pCBSA in Gage
Date:	Tuesday, January 13, 2015 11:48:32 AM
Attachments:	60288979 13 02_Montrose_PCBSA IN GW_GAGE 1114-Layout1 (2).pdf

---

Dana Barton
Section Chief, Superfund Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
75 Hawthorne Street (SFD-7-2)
San Francisco, CA 94105
tel: 415.972.3087

From: Wetmore, Cynthia
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 9:48 AM
To: Mayer, Kevin; Warren, Scott@DTSC
Cc: MARTINEZ, YARISSA; Barton, Dana
Subject: pCBSA in Gage

Hi Scott,

Attached is the preliminary pCBSA results from the September 2014 sampling event. The furtherest out green line is the 1 ppm contour. I compared the contours to the production well locations, and feel confident that the production wells are not currently impacted. However, I would appreciate it, if you take a look and discuss with me.

The Lynwood had two hits of pCBSA in the single digit ppb range.

The closest down gradient production well is 219-01 (not active). Between it and the plume is monitoring well G-32, which has non-detect results. About a mile further down gradient is production well 279-01. The monitoring well between it and the plume is G-31, which unfortunately, was not sampled. Still given the distance and the results from G-28 (2 ppm) and G-32 (ND), I don't see an urgency in sampling it.

I talked to Phuong, and the next sampling for these wells (and up gradient CSWC Dalton #1) is April/May this year. I would like to propose that the production well sampling and analysis for pCBSA occur then. I would suggest these three wells and the two monitoring nested well locations for Gage and Lynwood wells. (Nested Monitoring well Carson #2 is between the Site and well 279-01.) Let me know what you think.

Thanks, Cynthia