Saved all attachments to partial release folder and deleted from here.

From: Palumbo, David
To: Lindenberg, Steve
Cc: Saltman, Tamara; Hoeft, Cynthia
Subject: Re: NGS "roadmap"?
Date: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 8:15:11 AM
Attachments: NGS Roadmap and Glidepath 2014-02-11.docx
Joint Working Group Timelines 2013-07-28.xlsx
NGS Concurrent Processes Graphic 1.pdf
NGS Concurrent Processes graphic 2.pdf
Visio-NGS_Relationships_Chart v2.pdf
graphic ideas_NGS webpage_rev042413_alee.pptx

Hi All:

I have attached a preliminary draft narrative of the NGS Roadmap for your consideration.

I have also attached several different graphical depictions (that different agencies and folks have put together) of the concurrent processes related to NGS that we may want to further develop/refine into a NGS Roadmap graphic(s).

I look forward to working with you on this task.

Talk to you soon.

Thanks,

David
702-622-4064 (c)

On Fri, Feb 7, 2014 at 8:50 AM, David Palumbo <dpalumbo@usbr.gov> wrote:

> Hi Tamara and Steve:
>
> Yes. Thank you for the reminder.
>
> I am delinquent on getting something to you both to review and scrutinize. I apologize.
>
> I could get a draft to you this weekend (a brief narrative and a graphic) and perhaps we could speak Tuesday (2/11) in the afternoon?
>
> I am open to an alternative approach.
>
> Thanks,
>
> David
>
> On Feb 7, 2014, at 7:27 AM, "Lindenberg, Steve" <Steve.Lindenberg@ee.doe.gov> wrote:
>
>> Wow, I recall saying I would help on this, but it was entirely out of my mind. Thanks for the note and reminder. I think that the scope of the question may best be defined by a phone call. Then when we have a sense of what we want to accomplish it would be possible for us to draft

and edit separately.

If this makes sense to both of you we could arrange some time next week.

From: Saltman, Tamara [mailto:Saltman.Tamara@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 9:19 AM
To: Palumbo, David M; Lindenberg, Steve
Cc: Hoeft, Cynthia
Subject: NGS "roadmap"?

David and Steve,

This week I found a note for myself that the 3 of us were supposed to get together and get some thoughts down on paper about what the NGS roadmap is and isn't (i.e. it's not the TWG agreement). I have to admit that this has fallen through the cracks for me and I have not done much thinking about it. Maybe one of you have? Does it make sense for the 3 of us to get on the phone together and talk through some thoughts? Or for one of us to draft up something in writing for the others to respond to?

Tamara

Tamara Saltman

EPA Office of Air and Radiation

Office of Policy Analysis and Review

William Jefferson Clinton Building room 5442Y

202.564.2781

saltman.tamara@epa.gov

Learn about air pollution and the Clean Air Act at http://epa.gov/air/caa/