Attachments - release in full

From:	Lindenberg, Steve
To:	"Palumbo, David"
Cc:	Saltman, Tamara; Hoeft, Cynthia
Subject:	RE: NGS "roadmap"?
Date:	Tuesday, February 11, 2014 11:20:25 AM
Attachments:	HCEI_Roadmap_FINAL_52611.pdf HCEI_RoadmapSummary_FINAL_ID-11909.pdf

---

It was great getting a chance to think about what we want to develop in supporting our agencies and to satisfy the many stakeholders we need to support in the resolution of the NGS issues. I have attached the full Hawaii Clean Energy Initiative Roadmap as the first document. A version which was prepared for interested government, industry and civic leaders along with the public is in the Summary, second document. This was a serious effort and followed analytical consideration of possible approaches to reach objectives contributing to the overall goal of "70% clean energy by 2030".

This is what I think of when a roadmap is discussed. The DOE has also created roadmaps for technology research, but this set of documents is a close analog for the NGS effort in my opinion.

If you have questions I would be happy to discuss with any of you individually before our next call.

From: Palumbo, David [mailto:dpalumbo@usbr.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 11:15 AM
To: Lindenberg, Steve
Cc: Saltman, Tamara; Hoeft, Cynthia
Subject: Re: NGS "roadmap"?

Hi All:

I have attached a preliminary draft narrative of the NGS Roadmap for your consideration.

I have also attached several different graphical depictions (that different agencies and folks have put together) of the concurrent processes related to NGS that we may want to further develop/refine into a NGS Roadmap graphic(s).

I look forward to working with you on this task.

Talk to you soon.

Thanks,

David
702-622-4064 (c)

On Fri, Feb 7, 2014 at 8:50 AM, David Palumbo <dpalumbo@usbr.gov> wrote:
Hi Tamara and Steve:

Yes. Thank you for the reminder.

I am delinquent on getting something to you both to review and scrutinize. I apologize.

I could get a draft to you this weekend (a brief narrative and a graphic) and perhaps we could speak Tuesday (2/11) in the afternoon?

I am open to an alternative approach.

Thanks,

David

On Feb 7, 2014, at 7:27 AM, "Lindenberg, Steve" <Steve.Lindenberg@ee.doe.gov> wrote:

> Wow, I recall saying I would help on this, but it was entirely out of my mind. Thanks for the note and reminder. I think that the scope of the question may best be defined by a phone call. Then when we have a sense of what we want to accomplish it would be possible for us to draft and edit separately.
>
> If this makes sense to both of you we could arrange some time next week.
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Saltman, Tamara [mailto:Saltman.Tamara@epa.gov]
> **Sent:** Friday, February 07, 2014 9:19 AM
> **To:** Palumbo, David M; Lindenberg, Steve
> **Cc:** Hoeft, Cynthia
> **Subject:** NGS "roadmap"?
>
> David and Steve,
>
> This week I found a note for myself that the 3 of us were supposed to get together and get some thoughts down on paper about what the NGS roadmap is and isn't (i.e. it's not the TWG agreement). I have to admit that this has fallen through the cracks for me and I have not done much thinking about it. Maybe one of you have? Does it make sense for the 3 of us to get on the phone together and talk through some thoughts? Or for one of us to draft up something in writing for the others to respond to?
>
> Tamara
>
> Tamara Saltman
> EPA Office of Air and Radiation
> Office of Policy Analysis and Review
> William Jefferson Clinton Building room 5442Y
>
> 202.564.2781
> saltman.tamara@epa.gov
> Learn about air pollution and the Clean Air Act at http://epa.gov/air/caa/