"Greacen R. James"
<greacen@mabbett.com>
02/10/2009 02:51 PM

To

Subject Bodycote Thermal Processing Melrose Park, IL (1998002)

Don,

I have tried to reach you this week but your phone is perpetually busy so I am contacting you by email.
I am following up from a phone conversation that you had with Paul Steinberg last week regarding the Bodycote facility and, specifically, LUST Incident 891730.
Attached is the 1990 UST Report that you were asking Paul about.
This report has information regarding the quench oil tanks in the pump house.
These tanks were closed in place and filled with a concrete slurry several years later with the blessing of the Office of Illinois State Fire Marshall.

Samples collected in the vicinity of these tanks in 1990 were not analyzed for PCBs.
Nevertheless, I do not believe these tanks to be a PCB issue.
No PCBs were detected in two NAPL samples collected from well MCA-2 located adjacent to the pump house in 2006.
We have not detected PCBs in groundwater samples collected from this well either.
These results were included in our March 2008 PCB report.
We will be sending you a summary of the past year's activities next month.

Give a call when you have a minute and I can catch up with you and where you are with your review.
Hopefully by speaking with you, I can make that review more efficient.

-Jamie

James R. Greacen
Director, Site Assessment and Restoration
greacen@mabbett.com
Phone (781) 275-6050 x-343
Cell (978) 732-8341

Mabbett & Associates, Inc.
Environmental Consultants & Engineers
5 Alfred Circle
Bedford, MA 01730-2318
www.mabbett.com

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

20090209161014.pdf

2-18-09

Did sample MW-6, and was non-detect. will send data in March report

Deed restriction for subsoils will send MAP

will see if there is a map showing all known USTs

PCB Site Status

First Energy (Utility)

- Latest correspondence dated February 8, 2008 from utility in response to T. Martig's follow up questions to an original request.
- PCBs were found in underground electrical cable manholes in Euclid, Ohio at up to 52,000 ppm. The company claims the PCBs did not come from the cables or associated equipment.
- This does not appear to be a remedial action application yet. The company's original request was for Agency assistance in locating the origin of the PCB contamination source.
- Action Required: Evaluation of February 8, 2008 response to comments and determination of required actions.

West Pullman

- Appears to be a self-implementing 761.61(a) notification dated April 29, 2008.
- Applicant indicates that the site is being remediated under a work plan approved by IEPA under their Site Remediation Program.
- Action Required: check status of work and approvals with IEPA. Applicant may have proceeded with work under the assumption that EPA approved the application if no Agency response was given.

Bodycoat

- This is a PCB cleanup action summary report for work completed under an Agency approved September 12, 2006 workplan.
- Action Required: Review and approve report (if formal approval is necessary) or determine whether there are deficiencies or whether additional actions are needed.

Buhler

- This is a self-implementing and risk-based application for a site in Holland, Michigan dated April 16, 2008.
- It appears that the self-implementing portion will be applied to accessible areas of the site for cleanup to low-occupancy standards.
- The risk-based portion of the application will apply to an inaccessible area.
- Action Required: It is unknown whether the applicant has implemented the self-implementing portion of the workplan if no Agency response was given. Review application and determine adequacy for approval.

660-680 North Halsted

- This is a February 20, 2008 Site Investigation Report, Remediation Objectives Report & Remedial Action plan.
- The applicant seems to have done some Interim work under an Agency approved Self-Implementing work plan and appears to be proposing some additional remedial work or USEPA and IEPA concurrence with engineered barriers identified in the February 2008 submittal.
- This work is being conducted in conjunction with IEPA.
- Action Required: Review report and identify remaining issues (e.g. additional remedial work or approval of engineer barriers).

Tower Automotive

- In a letter dated July 23, 2008, Tower provides additional information on the site in response to Tony Martig's comments and requests a meeting to discuss risk-based cleanup standards and objectives.
- Action Required: Review material and follow-up with Tower on meeting request and appropriate course of action for the site.

Paramount Die-Cast

- The facility submitted a Self-Implementing work plan application on October 20, 2008.
- Action Required: Review application and determine adequacy for approval.