Gutierrez, Raul

From: Holland, Patti <patti_holland@fws.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2013 12:39 PM
To: Farabee, Jacqueline R MVN; Balkum, Kyle; Gutierrez, Raul
Subject: Re: FW: GDE response to Compliance Letter from CEMVN (UNCLASSIFIED)

Jacqueline,

I could go with either option, but Option B would be the most logical. It would allow a quicker resolution for all parties.

Patti

On Mon, Nov 25, 2013 at 2:12 PM, Farabee, Jacqueline R MVN <Jacqueline.R.Farabee@usace.army.mil> wrote:

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Per our conversation at IRT meeting see Mr. Elliot's e-mail below. Please let me know if you have any comments. Thanks

Jacqueline Farabee
Environmental Resources Specialist
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
New Orleans District
(504) 862-2595

Through deeds not words,
we are BUILDING STRONG

-----Original Message-----
From: Elliott, Greg [mailto:Greg.Elliott@workstrings.com]
Sent: Monday, November 18, 2013 10:08 AM
To: Farabee, Jacqueline R MVN
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: GDE Compliance Letter (UNCLASSIFIED)

Jacqueline,

I have attached 2 maps for your consideration proposing 2 additional options for the GDE Investments La., LLC Mitigation Bank.

Option A: See attachment 1 map: Would move part of the fence in the southern part of the bank. The revised bank total wetland area outside the fence as per the July 8, 2013 JD would be 165.3 acres. Since 73 acres/credits have already been sold the remaining bank wetland acres for option A after resolving all compliance issues would 92.3 acres. I estimate completing the compliance work and removing the section of fence to be completed by late spring to the summer of 2014.

Option B: See attachment 2 map: Is the current existing wetlands as per the July 8, 2013 JD that exist outside the fenced area which totals 87.6 acres. Since 73 acres/credits have been sold the remaining wetland bank acres for option B after resolving all compliance issues would be 14.6 acres. I would estimate the field work to be completed by the end of 2013.

As I have mentioned we have been doing field work to remove the naturally recruited pine and Chinese tallow trees since early September. There will be no issues complying with the MBI regarding the planted trees once this field work is completed.

Should you have any questions feel free to contact me.

Regards,

Greg

-----Original Message-----
From: Farabee, Jacqueline R MVN [mailto:Jacqueline.R.Farabee@usace.army.mil]
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2013 5:02 PM
To: Elliott, Greg
Subject: RE: GDE Compliance Letter (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Greg:

The letter dated November 7, 2013, presented four acceptable options to you, of which number 1 of your preliminary questions is included. This would have to be 73 acres of wetland restoration.

As far as the 87.6 acres, please send a map and detailed description of what you are proposing. Please note this 87.6 acres would have to contain at least 73 acres of wetland restoration.

Thanks

Jacqueline Farabee
Environmental Resources Specialist
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
New Orleans District
(504) 862-2595

Through deeds not words,
we are BUILDING STRONG

-----Original Message-----
From: Elliott, Greg [mailto:Greg.Elliott@workstrings.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2013 1:35 PM
To: Farabee, Jacqueline R MVN

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: GDE Compliance Letter (UNCLASSIFIED)

My preliminary questions are as follows:

1. B option: confirm if north fence is moved back to allow 73 acres to not be fenced that is acceptable?

2. Why can the southern 87.6 acres outside the fence not be used to satisfy the 73 acre requirement?

3. Why can the bank not be reduced to 87.6 acres which is outside the fence? This acreage is near to being fully compliant as a result of recent field work removing the volunteer pine and tallow trees.

I am further investigating the definition of "partitioning by fence". Then my question would be why can the bank not be reduced to the 279.4 acres currently inside the fence?

Regards,

Greg

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 12, 2013, at 3:09 PM, "Farabee, Jacqueline R MVN" <Jacqueline.R.Farabee@usace.army.mil> wrote:

> Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
> Caveats: NONE
>
> Greg,
>
> You can discuss any questions you have with me.
>
> Thanks
>
> Jacqueline Farabee
> Environmental Resources Specialist
> U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
> New Orleans District
> (504) 862-2595
>
> Through deeds not words,
> we are BUILDING STRONG
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Elliott, Greg [mailto:Greg.Elliott@workstrings.com]
> Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 2:22 PM
> To: Farabee, Jacqueline R MVN
> Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: GDE Compliance Letter (UNCLASSIFIED)
>
> Jacqeline,

>
> After a brief review of the letter I have clarification questions to options b and c. Should I discuss this with you are Martin?
>
> Greg
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Farabee, Jacqueline R MVN [mailto:Jacqueline.R.Farabee@usace.army.mil]
> Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 12:45 PM
> To: Elliott, Greg
> Subject: GDE Compliance Letter (UNCLASSIFIED)
>
> Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
> Caveats: NONE
>
> Mr. Elliot:
>
> I have attached the compliance letter you have been waiting for. I will send the hard copy also.
>
> If you have any questions please contact me.
>
> Jacqueline Farabee
> Environmental Resources Specialist
> U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
> New Orleans District
> (504) 862-2595
>
> Through deeds not words,
> we are BUILDING STRONG
>
>
>
>
> Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
> Caveats: NONE
>
>
>
> Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
> Caveats: NONE
>
>

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE