To: Davies, Clive[Davies.Clive@epa.gov]
From: Flattery, Priscilla
Sent: Thur 1/16/2014 7:24:04 PM
Subject: RE: URGENT: FW: News Articles (For EPA Distribution Only)

Hey, I have to leave shortly for a dr's appt. david needs to respond back to wendy today. if he's gone or not looking, maybe just respond. She wants to get back to Jim today, as requested.

Priscilla Flattery

Chief of Staff, OPPT

202-564-2718

From: Davies, Clive
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 2:16 PM
To: Flattery, Priscilla
Subject: FW: URGENT: FW: News Articles (For EPA Distribution Only)

Priscilla:

David has not had a chance to look, but I wanted you to know this is in the works.

I will be out of touch on a call from 3-4. Otherwise, if you need me and can't get me, call my cell phone at Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Clive

Clive Davies, P.E., Chief

Design for the Environment Branch

202-564-3821 (phone)

From: Davies, Clive
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 2:14 PM
To: David Widawsky

Cc: Neil Patel; Baier-Anderson, Caroline
Subject: FW: URGENT: FW: News Articles (For EPA Distribution Only)

David:

Here is our take. Please let us know if you would like modifications and if you would like us to transmit this to Priscilla.

Clive

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Clive Davies, P.E., Chief

Design for the Environment Branch

202-564-3821 (phone)

From: Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 12:51 PM
To: Widawsky, David; Davies, Clive
Cc: Cunningham-HQ, Barbara; Morris, Jeff; Flattery, Priscilla
Subject: URGENT: FW: News Articles (For EPA Distribution Only)

Please see Jim's question below. I want to get a response to him today.

If it's helpful to talk by phone or in person, let me know. I'm open between 1:30 and 3:00.

Wendy Cleland-Hamnett

Office Director

Office of Pollution Prevention & Toxics

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

202 564-3810 (O) 202 564-0575 (F)

cleland-hamnett.wendy@epa.gov

From: Jones, Jim
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 12:30 PM
To: Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy
Cc: Wallace, Ryan
Subject: FW: News Articles (For EPA Distribution Only)

Wendy, Did we participate in the State Alt Assessment effort being reported in the trade press. What do we think of it? Jim

From: Faeth, Lisa
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 12:01 PM

To: /o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=6456c65a6877419eb20100f5b04f3cfe-Zampieri.Paula@epamail.epa.gov; /o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=83b8105ffb9c41599411e80a9ba862bf-Cunningham-HQ.Barbara@epamail.epa.gov; Allison, Rose; Alter, John; Anderson, Steve; Aoyagi, Tomoko; Austin, Kay; Berol, David; Blunck, Christopher; Brinkhuis, Randall; Brooks, Florence; Buster, Pamela; Canavan, Sheila; Caraballo, Mario; Carroll, Megan; Christian, Myrta; Clark, Ellie; Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy; Corado, Ana; Cronkhite, Leslie; Davies, Clive; Doa, Maria; Dougherty, Emily; Ebzery, Joan; Edelstein, Rebecca; Farquharson, Chenise; Fehrenbacher, Cathy; Flaherty, Colleen; Flattery, Priscilla; Ford, Laurie; Frank, Donald; Friesenhahn, Kristie; Giamporcaro, David; Gibson, Hugh; Gimlin, Peter; Gorder, Chris; Gordon, Brittney; Grant, Brian; Hartman, Deborah; Hendricks, Kristen; Henry, Tala; Hernandez, Oscar; Hisel-Mccoy, Sara; Holderman, Todd; Howard, Angela; Jones, Jim; Kapust, Edna; Kemme, Sara; Koch, Erin; Krasnic, Toni; Lauterbach, Mary; Leczynski, Barbara; Lee, Brian; Leopard, Matthew; Lewis, Paul; Lin, Priscilla; Lobar, Bryan; Mathern, Andrew; Mattheisen, Mike; Mclean, Kevin; Moose, Lindsay; Morris, Jeff; Moss, Kenneth; Mottley, Tanya; Myers, Irina; Myrick, Pamela; Nazef, Laura; Parsons, Doug; Patel, Neil; Penberthy, Ward; Petrole, MaryAnn; Pierce, Alison; Price, Michelle; Reese, Recie; Rice, Cody; Ross, Philip; Sadowsky, Don; Santacroce, Jeffrey; Saxton, Dion; Saxton, June; Sayre, Philip; Scarano, Louis; Schmit, Ryan; Schweer, Greg; Seidman, Brenda; Selby-Mohamadu, Yvette; Seltzer, Mark; Shah, Aakruti; Sherlock, Scott; Shoaff, John; Simons, Andrew; Sirmons, Chandler; Slotnick, Sue; Stedeford, Todd; Strauss, Linda; Symmes, Brian; Szilagyi, Maria; Tillman, Thomas; Tran, Chi; Uhl, Sarah A.; Vendinello, Lynn; Wallace, Jane; Wheeler, Cindy; Widawsky, David; Williams, Aresia; Williamson, Tracy; Wills, Jennifer; Wise, Louise; Wright, Denise; Wright, Tracy
Subject: News Articles (For EPA Distribution Only)

BNA DAILY ENVIRONMENT REPORTS

Compliance Checks Reveal Continued REACH Dossier Shortcomings, EU Agency Says

More than two-thirds of checks on REACH substance registration dossiers have resulted in the issuing of binding decisions to registrants requiring them to correct shortcomings or provide more information, the European Chemicals Agency...

Delays in Federal Response to Mercury Rules, Storage Questioned by Vitter, ECOS Caucus

Unfinished federal actions on mercury pollution have come under scrutiny by a U.S. senator and a group of water and waste control agencies, with both asking why a facility to store excess mercury hasn't been built and one asking why rules...

Emory University Research Links Functional Thyroid Disease, PFOA Exposure

Excessive perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) exposure appears to be associated with thyroid disease, according to new research....

Taiwan's Revised Chemical Law Available in English

The official English version of Taiwan's revised Toxic Chemical Substances Control Act is now available through the country's Laws & Regulations Database. The revisions were approved by the Legislature in November (227 DEN...

INSIDEEPA.COM ARTICLES

States Publish Broad Plan To Assess Alternatives To Toxic Chemicals

A group of eight states has published a framework for how to conduct assessments of alternatives to chemicals of concern, though the states' effort could make it more difficult for lawmakers and industry groups seeking to preempt state chemical control programs in pending legislation reforming the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA).

California Eyes Late 2014 For Key Green Chemistry 'Alternatives' Guidance

California toxics department officials say it will likely take until late 2014 to develop the key chemical "alternatives assessment" guidance document integral to the implementation of the state's pioneering green chemistry program, which requires companies to perform analyses to find less toxic alternatives to chemicals the state deems of concern.

States Publish Broad Plan To Assess Alternatives To Toxic Chemicals

A group of eight states has published a framework for how to conduct assessments of alternatives to chemicals of concern, though the states' effort could make it more difficult for lawmakers and industry groups seeking to preempt state chemical control programs in pending legislation reforming the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA).

California Eyes Late 2014 For Key Green Chemistry 'Alternatives' Guidance

California toxics department officials say it will likely take until late 2014 to develop the key chemical "alternatives assessment" guidance document integral to the implementation of the state's pioneering green chemistry program, which requires companies to perform analyses to find less toxic alternatives to chemicals the state deems of concern.

GREENWIRE ARTICLES

Anadarko pushes judge to slash environmental liability by billions

Anadarko Petroleum Corp. and its Kerr-McGee Corp. subsidiary have asked a federal judge to cut billions of dollars from environmental and tort liabilities the companies allegedly owe.

The oil company contends it owes between $850 million and $1.8 billion to its former subsidiary Tronox Inc., a fraction of what a federal bankruptcy judge expects the company to pay after spinning off Tronox in 2005.

U.S. Bankruptcy Judge Allan Gropper ruled last month at the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York that Anadarko owed between $5 billion and $14 billion (*Greenwire*, Dec. 13, 2013). Anadarko left Tronox saddled with environmental liabilities, which forced it to file for bankruptcy in 2009, the company has contended.

Anadarko Chairman and CEO Al Walker said in a statement that the company thought Gropper's calculations were not correct and may appeal the decision. Doing so could drag the legal process out for years, analysts said (Collin Eaton, *Fuel Fix*, Jan. 14). **-- SP**

Exposure rising to phthalates not covered by 2008 ban -- study

Sam Pearson, E&E reporter

Five years after Congress banned from children's products several types of phthalates that were found to cause health problems, exposure to banned phthalates is down, according to new research. But larger quantities of different phthalates are being found in the environment.

Amid public controversy over toy recalls, the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act in 2008 banned six types of phthalates from children's products (*Greenwire*, Aug. 14, 2008). The law also increased funding for the Consumer Product Safety Commission and toughened standards for lead paint in children's toys when it took effect in early 2009. The study released today is one of the first to examine phthalate exposure trends using a large sample of the U.S. population.

Despite the ban, manufacturers are still allowed to use several other types of phthalates in consumer products. Research published today in the journal *Environmental Health Perspectives* suggests companies are shifting to different forms of the compounds, used to soften plastic -- even though studies say they can cause health and reproductive problems.

"We were excited to see that exposure to some of the phthalates that are of public health concern actually went down," Ami Zota, an assistant professor of environmental and occupational health at George Washington University, who conducted the research while a fellow at the University of California, San Francisco, said in a statement. "Unfortunately, our data also suggest that these are being replaced by other phthalates with potential adverse health effects."

The 2008 law banned three types of phthalates -- BBzP, DnBP and DEHP. It also tentatively banned three others -- DnOP, DiDP and DiNP -- from toys that could enter a child's mouth. While the phthalates subject to the permanent ban showed decreases in exposure, two of the versions not banned permanently saw slight increases. The third, DiNP, saw a 150 percent increase in exposure, which the researchers suggested could be because industry is using it to replace the most harmful phthalates. California health officials recently added DiNP to the state's list of chemicals known to cause cancer, birth defects or reproductive harm.

The European Chemical Agency has found DiNP being used more in the global market, including as a substitute for other phthalates in products marketed as "safer alternatives," according to the study.

Although no reporting requirements exist for consumer products in the United States, making it difficult to know with certainty how the marketplace is changing, the trend "may have important implications for phthalates exposures," the study said.

Still, the study noted that the federal ban alone could not fully account for its findings. A phthalate used in fragrances that is not regulated either in the United States or Europe saw the largest reductions, which the study speculated could be due to production cuts or growing public awareness of phthalates as advocacy groups like the Campaign for Safe Cosmetics work to change consumer preferences.

The findings show "that actions by government and consumer groups can make a difference in exposures in all Americans," said Tracey Woodruff, the director of UC San Francisco's Program on Reproductive Health and the Environment, in a statement.

The study used data from 2001 to 2010 from 11,000 people who participated in the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Health and Nutrition Examination survey, and was funded by the Pew Charitable Trusts, the Passport Foundation Science Innovation Fund and the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

OTHER ARTICLES

Toxic chemicals found in children's clothes, shoes GlobalPost

Children's clothing and shoes made by a dozen globally-recognised brands have been found to contain potentially **harmful chemicals**, Greenpeace **...**

See all stories on this topic »
Do **Toxic Chemicals** Lurk in Your Lipstick? Environmental Leader

A searchable website that allows the public to see which cosmetic products have been reported to contain **toxic chemicals** known to cause cancer or **...**
See all stories on this topic »
Toxic chemicals found in kids' brands: Greenpeace China Post

BEIJING -- Children's clothing and shoes made by a dozen globally recognized brands have been found to contain potentially **harmful chemicals**, **...**
See all stories on this topic »
NGO claims **toxic chemicals** in kids clothes, textile industry rep **...** Global Times

Hazardous chemicals have been found in children's clothes and shoes made by major brands, and about one third of them are produced in China, **...**
See all stories on this topic »
Greenpeace study finds **toxic chemicals** in children's clothes Blue & Green Tomorrow Blue & Green Tomorrow

Campaign group Greenpeace East Asia has found that many items of children's clothing from high street brands contain **hazardous chemicals**.
See all stories on this topic »
Exeter University research finds **toxic chemical** residues in children's **...** Exeter Express and Echo

A variety of **toxic chemicals** has been found in children's clothing by researchers at Exeter University. The Greenpeace research has found the **...**
See all stories on this topic »
The Catch-22 In The **Toxic Chemicals** Law New Hampshire Public Radio

Now, the contaminant that leaked into the Elk River was a **chemical** called MCHM, and officials in West Virginia have promised to investigate how it **...**
See all stories on this topic »
Harmful chemicals found in children's clothing FashionUnited.co.uk

Harmful chemicals found in children's clothing A new investigation carried out by environmental group Greenpeace has revealed that traces of **...**
See all stories on this topic »
WHO lists lead among 10 most **dangerous chemicals**... New Vision

Lead is a naturally occurring **toxic** metal found in the earth's crust. Its widespread use has

resulted in extensive environmental contamination, human **...**
See all stories on this topic »
How **Toxic** Are Your Personal Care Products? California Wants You **...** eNews Park Forest

... are intentionally adding **toxic chemicals** linked to cancer and birth defects to lotions, lipsticks and makeup that people use on their skin every day.".
S