To: Clark, Becki[Clark.Becki@epa.gov]
From: Senn, John
Sent: Thur 1/23/2014 9:23:59 PM
Subject: RE: Additional WV press inquiry

great-thanks

From: Clark, Becki
Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 4:17 PM
To: Senn, John
Subject: Re: Additional WV press inquiry

Yes, that is fine.

From: Senn, John
Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 4:00:54 PM
To: Clark, Becki
Subject: RE: Additional WV press inquiry

It is/was, but I went back to ORD with your suggestion & they made this revision:

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Sound good?

From: Clark, Becki
Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 3:40 PM
To: Senn, John
Subject: Re: Additional WV press inquiry

Is this a proposed answer to Q#9 from your earlier message? **Ex. 5 - Deliberative**

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

From: Senn, John
Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 3:23:14 PM
To: Clark, Becki
Cc: Lopez-Carbo, Maria
Subject: RE: Additional WV press inquiry

looks like ORD provided me with old language--here's what they'd like to provide for that question.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

From: Clark, Becki
Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 2:42 PM
To: Senn, John
Cc: Lopez-Carbo, Maria
Subject: Re: Additional WV press inquiry

That's ok.

From: Senn, John
Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 2:30:05 PM

To: Clark, Becki
Cc: Lopez-Carbo, Maria
Subject: RE: Additional WV press inquiry

So I guess this may be an inquiry that came in last week or we at least had talked about language for a similar question on the study review. This is what ORD sent me as a possible response--does this work?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

thanks again!
John

From: Senn, John
Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2014 2:14 PM
To: Clark, Becki
Cc: Lopez-Carbo, Maria
Subject: Additional WV press inquiry

Hi Becki--not sure if you've seen this language, but ORD drafted some langauge for a new WV press inquiry that came in today. Can you have a look & let me know if you have edits/comments as well as anything we can say for the review question (No. 9)?

thanks!
John

1. How as the 1 ppm "safe level" calculated? What was EPA's involvement, and how does this method match EPA's standard approach to such things?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

2. EXACTLY what is being done to contain and remediate the site? What is the process going forward for dealing with that?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

3. How is EPA's response to Sen. Rockefeller's letter asking for a long-term study?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

4. Has EPA reviewed the enforcement actions DEP had to take at the Nitro site where Freedom was taking this material? Is EPA concerned that, given that, neither Freedom nor DEP can be trusted with the cleanup? How could that stuff not have been watched more closely?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

5. Is EPA concerned that DEP never inspected this site before?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

6. Is there something about this chemical that might mask its impacts or its continued presence in our water?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

7. How do we know the flushing methods given to the public work, and what will the long-term impact on home plumbing systems be of having this industrial chemical in them?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

8. Can the local wastewater treatment plant properly filter this material from water being put back into the river?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

9. Has EPA reviewed the study or studies that were the basis for the LD50 for this material?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

10. Is EPA concerned about the lack of emergency planning, the lack of data about this chemical, and the lack of it being considered in the source water protection plan for the Elk site?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

C. However, from a response perspective, RCRA is being examined for applicability.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

ÿ