To: Grevatt, Peter[Grevatt.Peter@epa.gov]; Clark, Becki[Clark.Becki@epa.gov]
Cc: Travers, David[Travers.David@epa.gov]
From: Burneson, Eric
Sent: Mon 2/3/2014 11:35:37 PM
Subject: Re: WV Chemical Spill - Update

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

From: Grevatt, Peter
Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 5:48:29 PM
To: Burneson, Eric; Clark, Becki
Subject: Fw: WV Chemical Spill - Update

Thoughts?

From: Stoner, Nancy
Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 5:46:12 PM
To: Grevatt, Peter; Shapiro, Mike
Cc: Kopocis, Ken
Subject: Fw: WV Chemical Spill - Update

Looks like an OW Q to me

From: Stanislaus, Mathy
Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 2:24:49 PM
To: Garvin, Shawn; Adm13McCarthy, Gina; Deputy Administrator; Keyes-Fleming, Gwendolyn; Feldt, Lisa; Ganesan, Arvin; Reynolds, Thomas; Johnson, Alisha; Stoner, Nancy; Vaught, Laura; Distefano, Nichole; Hull, George; Stanton, Larry; Breen, Barry; Giles-AA, Cynthia; Hedman, Susan; Meiburg, Stan; Fritz, Matthew; Garbow, Avi; Jones, Jim
Cc: Early, William; Hodgkiss, Kathy; Capacasa, Jon; Ryan, Daniel
Subject: Re: WV Chemical Spill - Update

One question we need to be prepared to answer is since we don't have complete source control and we don't have the results back from piping/outflows sampling - what's the response to whether we agree that water intake monitoring not occur by utilities ?

From: Garvin, Shawn
Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 1:11:11 PM
To: Adm13McCarthy, Gina; Deputy Administrator; Keyes-Fleming, Gwendolyn; Stanislaus, Mathy; Feldt, Lisa; Ganesan, Arvin; Reynolds, Thomas; Johnson, Alisha; Stoner, Nancy; Vaught, Laura; Distefano, Nichole; Hull, George; Stanton, Larry; Breen, Barry; Giles-AA, Cynthia; Hedman, Susan; Meiburg, Stan; Fritz, Matthew; Garbow, Avi; Jones, Jim
Cc: Early, William; Hodgkiss, Kathy; Capacasa, Jon; Ryan, Daniel
Subject: Re: WV Chemical Spill - Update

Here is the most recent update I just received...

OSC reported that the facility continues to manage surface and ground water seeping from the Site. A large amount of water has been pumped the past day (more than 100,000 gallons). The excess water has been due to steady rainfall combined with ice melt caused by warmer weather.

The booms deployed around the impacted shoreline of the facility were ripped free at approximately 6:00 AM on February 3, 2014. The ice flow and high water flowing down the Elk River caused the release of the booms. The booms were contained and tied off on the southern end of the shoreline. Work is ongoing to redeploy booms around the impacted shoreline.

The facility's remediation consultant, CEC, completed developing the monitoring wells for groundwater sampling. The monitor wells are scheduled for sampling February 4 through February 5. CEC also will submit to WVDEP a design for lining the northern end of the interceptor trench.

EPA will be shipping two samples to the Region 3 lab in Fort Meade today. One sample is water from the excavated pipe in the interceptor trench and the other sample is from a seep on the impacted slope.

Utilities along the Ohio River are no longer checking for the MCHM. On Jan.20, the Evansville, IN, water utility at Mile 791 monitored for MCHM and was unable to detect it.