From: Field, Chris
To: McDonnell, Kimberlee
Subject: FW: LST-1166 EE/CA Status Update
Date: Friday, October 03, 2014 10:50:49 AM

From: Chris Field [mailto:Field.Chris@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 30, 2014 1:21 PM
To: Field, Chris
Subject: Fw: LST-1166 EE/CA Status Update

Chris D. Field, Program Manager
EPA Emergency Management Program, R-10
(206) 553-1674
----- Forwarded by Chris Field/R10/USEPA/US on 09/30/2014 01:20 PM -----

From: Chris Field/R10/USEPA/US
To: Richard Franklin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Date: 04/25/2011 06:55 AM
Subject: Re: LST-1166 EE/CA Status Update

Thanks Rich, good update.

Chris D. Field, Manager
EPA Emergency Management Program, R-10
(206) 553-1674

Richard Franklin---04/21/2011 06:01:35 PM---Hi All, I have spoken to some of you by phone on the status of the Engineering Evaluation and Cost A

From: Richard Franklin/R10/USEPA/US
To: "Thorkilson, Kelly LT" <Kelly.A.Thorkilson@uscg.mil>, "Echols, Anthony MST2" <Anthony.G.Echols@uscg.mil>, "Griggs, James MSTC" <James.P.Griggs@uscg.mil>, Chris Field/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Calvin Terada/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Mary Queitzsch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Jonathan Freedman/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Clifford Villa/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Wally Moon/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Earl Liverman/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, ruth.yender@noaa.gov
Date: 04/21/2011 06:01 PM
Subject: LST-1166 EE/CA Status Update

Hi All,

I have spoken to some of you by phone on the status of the Engineering Evaluation and Cost Analysis (EE/CA) being conducted on the LST-1166, but needed to make sure everyone is up-to-date on our progress. Our contractor, TechLaw is currently working on the EE/CA and should have a pre-final draft soon. Once this document is done, I'd like it to go thru a quick EPA internal review and then submit it to the USCG for review, comment and finalization. As time has gone by, TechLaw has been submitting sections of the document to Earl Liverman and myself for review. TechLaw submitted a full draft document and costs to us recently, and after meeting with Earl and myself in Seattle last week, TechLaw has taken our comments and will be re-drafting the document with revised costs and text. It is expected the completed draft document will then be submitted to EPA in about 2-3 weeks.

TechLaw has been conducting thorough research on the costs and options from scuttling the vessel to shipbreaking and recycling. This includes reviewing available existing research and technical papers, and contacting expert firms such as Ballard Diving and Salvage, Global Diving and Salvage, Allied Defence Recycling, as well as others. They've also enlisted other team engineering experts. The good news is that TechLaw has discovered that indeed there is some interest in shipbreaking and recycling the vessel by a several regional firms, although I caution that the key will be at what cost.

Earl has reminded me that under CERCLA and the NCP, that we should attain and comply with Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) as regards any removal action. This includes determining whether a Biological Assessment (BA) should be done under the Endangered Species Act, and also determining any requirements under the National Historical Preservation Act. We are currently investigating any such requirements and will keep you advised as to same.

One final thing - costs. Our contractors' costs are currently running at about $56,334, or 74% of the ceiling I've established for them ($75,650). This means we're still under the total budget ceiling ($98,000) for EPA as established under the PRFA. I do not have EPA-specific costs yet, but estimate that even considering contractors costs, we're well within our PRFA ceiling.

Please call or email with any questions you may have,

Regards,

Richard Franklin
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA Region 10
Oregon Operations Office
805 SW Broadway, Suite 500
Portland, OR 97205

Office: (503) 326-2917
Cell: (503) 475-4178