To: Robert Law[rlaw@demaximis.com]; Willard Potter[otto@demaximis.com]; Kristen Durocher[kristen.durocher@aecom.com]
Cc: Warner, Len[lwarner@louisberger.com]; AccardiDey, AmyMarie[aaccardidey@louisberger.com]; KirchnerSF@cdmsmith.com[KirchnerSF@cdmsmith.com]; BudneySL@cdmsmith.com[BudneySL@cdmsmith.com]; Vaughn, Stephanie[Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov]
From: Naranjo, Eugenia
Sent: Mon 6/10/2013 5:11:54 PM
Subject: RE: svCWCM high flow event - Please disregard my previous email and I apologize for the confusion!

Rob, Bill and Kristen,

I apologize for my previous email, I just realized you are sampling in Newark Bay today and got the peaks.

eugenia

From: Naranjo, Eugenia
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2013 12:47 PM
To: 'Robert Law'; Willard Potter; Kristen Durocher
Cc: Warner, Len; 'AccardiDey, AmyMarie'; KirchnerSF@cdmsmith.com; BudneySL@cdmsmith.com; Vaughn, Stephanie
Subject: svCWCM high flow event
Importance: High

Rob, Bill and Kristen,

I understand that AECOM informed LBG that the plan was to sample Newark Bay next week. What we need to measure is the peak concentrations in Newark Bay associated to the peak concentrations in the Passaic. What you told us is that you could measure the peak concentrations in Newark Bay one or two days after the peak in the Passaic –consistent with the QAPP-. So you need to go out and sample Newark Bay TOMORROW, not in a week. Otherwise this is not going to be a representative high flow event for Newark Bay.

Let me know if we need to discuss

eugenia