To: Robert Law[rlaw@demaximis.com]
From: LaPoma, Jennifer
Sent: Mon 3/24/2014 7:01:47 PM
Subject: LPRSA 17M - Request

Rob,

As discussed earlier today on the phone, here is the information requested from NJDEP:

NJDEP has requested information that will help to identify the locations of samples that were not included in the removal action at RM10.9. Upon receipt of NJDEP's initial request, I provided (in part) Figure A series of maps from the November 30, 2012 Final Design, Appendix A, to meet the request. However, based on their review, it was felt that these figures do not allow identification of which samples were not included in the removal action (if any), due to the figure scale. Same for the set of figures presented at the last technical meeting.

Ultimately, NJDEP has requested this information to understand the remaining contaminant conditions, riverbank-side, of the removal area. This request is being made at this time because it has been expressed that the risk assessors will need all relevant site characterization data when conducting the HHRA review. While both I and NJDEP understand the final report for RM10.9 may address this question it is not expected in the near term or comparable time in which the HHRA will be reviewed.

Could you take a look at the questions below, and let me know if the CPG has this information readily available to provide to back to EPA? If so, can CPG get this to EPA by mid-April, in advance of the HHRA submission?

If not, are some or all of the sample IDs available, which can be provided to EPA on behalf of CPG to help answer NJDEP's questions? If CPG is available to provide EPA with some of the information we can work with NJDEP to meet their request.

Three questions:

1. Can CPG identify which samples from the Figure A series of map were not included in the

removal action (if any)?

2. Which samples, if any, represent *current sediment conditions* along the landside boundary of removal action?

Aside from the samples in the No Dredge zone, were all samples shown on Figure A-1 captured in the removal action (dredge and/or cap)? If not, which ones?

3. The point is determining the current contaminant levels in media close to the park which were not addressed by the removal action (i.e., based on several factors/decisions for the purposes of this TCRA), but which are relevant for our current understanding of site conditions for larger 17-Mile risk assessment. It's possible this information does not exist if all former sample locations were either excavated or capped (except for no dredge area).

Please give me a call if you have any follow up questions.

Thanks in advance,

Jennifer LaPoma