From: (b) (6) [redacted] on behalf of Lucinda Johnson
To: Armitage, Thomas
Cc: Genevieve Ali; Mike Josselyn; rpb2@psu.edu; Robert Brooks; emily.bernhardt@duke.edu; mgooseff@engr.colostate.edu; hassy@cox.net; Goodman, Iris; Amanda D. Rodewald
Subject: Re: Teleconference to discuss the draft responses to charge questions 5(a) and 5 (b)
Date: Thursday, January 30, 2014 1:55:58 PM

---

ge 2, Paragraph 2:

The Panel recommends that the conceptual framework as discussed below (see Figure X) be utilized as a means to discuss the types of hydrologic connections that occur within unidirectional wetlands. It may be preferred to move away from adopting a new classification or terminology as used in the Draft Report as it implies a one way flow pattern, when in fact, there may be many dimensions to connectivity, not only in relation to surface and shallow subsurface water flows, but to chemical and biological connectivity as well. It may be best to utilize a terminology that is already well ensconced in the scientific literature such as "geographically isolated wetlands" or the hydrogeomorphic classification system that focuses on depressional and slope landscape features. The panel recommends that terminology that categorizes the bidirectional wetlands as those within floodplains and unidirectional wetlands as those not within a floodplain, e.g. non-floodplain wetlands.

The latter part of the paragraph is making two contradictory recommendations regarding terminology: First: move away from use of new terms: unidirectional and bidirectional in preference of geographically isolated or the hydrogeomorphic classification system terminology. Second: replace bidirectional wetlands with floodplain wetlands, and unidirectional as "non-floodplain" wetlands..

What should we recommend? Our discussion yesterday seemed to favor the second.

thanks

Lucinda

Lucinda B. Johnson
Interim Director, Natural Resources Research Institute
Director, Center for Water and the Environment
Natural Resources Research Institute
University of Minnesota
Duluth, MN 55811
218 720-4251

On Tue, Jan 21, 2014 at 11:10 AM, Armitage, Thomas <Armitage.Thomas@epa.gov> wrote:

> Dear Charge Question 5(a)/5(b) subgroup members,

I have scheduled a subgroup teleconference on **Wednesday, January 29th from 1:00 - 2:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** to discuss the draft responses to charge questions 5(a) and 5(b).

The teleconference call-in number is: **1-866-299-3188**. After calling in, please enter the following conference code at the prompt: **2023439995# .**

I look forward to talking with you on the call.

Tom Armitage

****

Thomas Armitage Ph.D.

Designated Federal Officer
EPA Science Advisory Board Office
202-564-2155 (phone/voice mail)
202-565-2098 (fax)
armitage.thomas@epa.gov