From:	Jennifer Tank
To:	Armitage, Thomas; rosimarshalle@caryinstitute.org
Cc:	Goodman, Iris
Subject:	RE: Please review suggested edits in the response to charge questions 3(a) and 3(b) for the SAB Connectivity Panel"s report(no subject)
Date:	Wednesday, February 26, 2014 9:32:15 AM

Hi Tom (with cc to Emma and Iris).

Thanks for your email and work putting the two sections together. Wow- those are indeed a lot of changes to meet the formatting requirements of the document.

I just wanted to let you know that Emma is currently en route to Australia at the moment, leaving today. The changes to her section are in my opinion quite extensive and I would not feel comfortable speaking for her or her group.

For example, the detailed references that they put together, that are referred to in specific recommendations in the document, are now all lumped at the back, together. While this may be the convention of previous reports, it somewhat defeats the purpose. If EPA wrote document and missed these citations, they will not be familiar enough to know which dealt with which specific comment. Plus I think it dilutes the quality and thoughtfulness of the recommendations. I think the format should be reconsidered in these cases. But I am speaking out of turn and Emma should make that call.

I will go through my part of the document Question 3B, on the clean version, as I can't really read the red one, as there is so much red.
Take care,
Jen

From: Armitage, Thomas [mailto:Armitage.Thomas@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2014 2:50 AM
To: rosimarshalle@caryinstitute.org; Jennifer Tank
Cc: Goodman, Iris
Subject: Please review suggested edits in the response to charge questions 3(a) and 3(b) for the SAB Connectivity Panel's report(no subject)

Hi Emma and Jennifer,

Iris and I have incorporated some suggested editorial changes into your responses to charge questions 3(a) and 3(b) for the SAB Connectivity Panel's report. We must use a consistent format for all of the charge question responses and the attached revised text is in the format used for SAB reports. We have listed the recommendations as bullets at the end of each section in order to let the reader clearly see the SAB recommendations.

Please review the attached draft of the charge question 3(a) and 3(b)(b) responses and send me any changes needed by Friday February 28th , or send me a response indicating that you agree with the edits. We tried not to change the substance of your draft and only made editorial and format changes, so hopefully it won't require much time to review.

I have attached both a clean file and a redline-strikeout file showing the changes we have made. If additional changes are needed please insert them into the clean copy using track changes.

We will incorporate all of the charge question responses into a complete draft of the Panel's report and send it to the entire Panel for review prior to our scheduled conference calls.

Thanks very much,

Tom Armitage
****
Thomas Armitage Ph.D.
Designated Federal Officer
EPA Science Advisory Board Office
202-564-2155 (phone/voice mail)
202-565-2098 (fax)
armitage.thomas@epa.gov