From:	Latif Kalin
To:	Rains, Mark; Judy Meyer; Amanda Rodewald (adr79@cornell.edu)
Cc:	Kenneth Kolm; Armitage, Thomas; Goodman, Iris
Subject:	RE: (Almost) Final
Date:	Monday, May 19, 2014 3:28:12 PM

Mark,

I had my comments in response to your comments. I copied my response to your comments below (you have few other comments for Amada and Judy):

MR129: We wrote this because we thought that the SAB had reached this consensus. We have two comments, immediately above, one supportive, one not so much. I think we should discuss this further.
Latif: As far as I remember there was a consensus on "there are no isolated wetlands". However, in my view we are a little too critical in this paragraph. The EPA report never says geographically isolated wetlands are truly isolated. Perhaps all we need to say here is asking EPA elucidate on this.

MR139: We wrote this because we thought that the SAB had reached this consensus. We have two comments, immediately above, one supportive, one not so much. I think we should discuss this further.
Latif: I think we should remember what section 3 of the report is about. Section 3, as defined under Introduction section "presents an overview of river system components, describes the spatial and temporal dynamics of connections within and among aquatic ecosystems, and provides context for interpreting empirical evidence of connections and functions and for making reasonable inferences about effects." It is a conceptual framework, and some way educational material. In that sense I see no problem with what we have written here.

Latif

-----Original Message-----
From: Rains, Mark [mailto:mrains@usf.edu]
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2014 12:06 PM
To: Judy Meyer; Amanda Rodewald (adr79@cornell.edu)
Cc: Kenneth Kolm; Latif Kalin; Armitage, Thomas (Armitage.Thomas@epa.gov); Iris Goodman
Subject: (Almost) Final

See attached. This is almost final. Judy and Amanda -- You each have a few action items.

Judy -- Please see the comment by Amanda, who is asking for some references in a paragraph you wrote on biological connectivity. Would you please put them into the text and the new references, and then send the revised versions directly to Amanda, Tom, and Iris, cc'ing the rest of us?

Amanda -- Please see my comments regarding a citation for a figure and figure numbering.

There are a couple of comments that I think need additional discussion. I've those comments in the

margins, with my responses. If they need to be deleted before the publication of the next draft, then let me know and I'll make sure that I keep them in hand for our next discussion.