Pomes, Michael

From: M.Junker <mark.junker@sacfoxenviro.org>
Sent: Monday, September 19, 2016 2:06 PM
To: Drouare, Douglas
Cc: Bustos, Patrick; Hayes, Scott; Bosch, Raymond; Lisa Montgomery
Subject: RE: Follow Up to Discussion this Morning

Categories: EZ Record - Shared

Thanks Doug,

Patrick Lisa and I discussed increased USEPA visibility when we toured the site during our RTOC and I shot off a quick email to you about that same time.
We were all very frustrated with the delays we had been experiencing and what we perceived as stall tactics.
Later when we learned the insurance company expressed to Theresa that their obligation might cease due to the time it took to expose the tank we assumed some of these delays may have been manufactured.

We see no down side to your increased participation. It helps us and the other entities better understand the mindset of EPA. Carolyn Hoskinson echoed that when I spoke to her in August at the Tribal Lands Forum.
Additionally, I think it helps us moving forward with not only this issue but with other concerns unique to tanks in Indian Country.

We were also interested in determining just how and why the tank failed. I had a chance to speak with Robin Davis who worked the State of Utah and has done vapor intrusion work for USEPA and she suggested we might be able to get someone interested in doing a study of our tank. We have at least three different theories floating around and I see major flaws in all of them. Do you know of anyone in the EPA Tank universe who might be interested in trying to help us find an answer?

It was really nice being able to have you, Patrick, Raymond and Scott on the line with us and it will be great seeing this project regain a sense of direction moving forward.

From: Drouare, Douglas [mailto:drouare.douglas@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, September 19, 2016 1:10 PM
To: Sac & Fox Truck Stop; lisa.montgomery@sacfoxenviro.org; mark.junker@sacfoxenviro.org
Cc: Bosch, Raymond; Hayes, Scott; Bustos, Patrick
Subject: Follow Up to Discussion this Morning

After our phone call this morning we continued to discuss the situation at the truck stop and how we could have a beneficial influence. We were wondering if it would be helpful for us to become a participant in the conversations between the parties involved. Perhaps if we participated in your next conference call, or if you scheduled a conference call that we could participate in, the mere weight of our presence may move parties to quicker action. We would not want to be the “lead” entity in the conversation or the sole reason for having the conversation. We would think that you would be the lead and we would be present to listen and comment where appropriate. Perhaps if the mere weight of our presence does not work select comments may. We would think that such a conversation would at least include you, your consultant, the tank manufacturer and your insurance company. What are your thoughts?

Douglas E. Drouare, CPG
USEPA, Region 7, AWMD - STOP

11201 Renner Boulevard
Lenexa, Kansas 66219
(913) 551-7299
drouare.douglas@epa.gov