To: Zell, Christopher[zell.christopher@epa.gov]; Mann, Laurie[mann.laurie@epa.gov]
Cc: Bilhimer, Dustin (ECY)[DBIL461@ECY.WA.GOV]
From: Kolosseus, Andrew (ECY)
Sent: Tue 10/25/2016 9:01:12 PM
Subject: Budd Inlet

Chris and Laurie:

Thanks for chatting with us concerning the Budd Inlet TMDL and its connection with South Puget Sound. Included below are the three questions we'd like you to answers. The first two bullets are what I sent Chris back in mid-September. The third bullet is what we discussed yesterday.

Sounds like Dustin was going to schedule another check-in meeting before or after an upcoming A-team meeting.

I also strongly suggest that – at some point – we put these answers in writing. Hopefully this can help prevent future face-plants (to steal a Deschutes term).

•□□□□□□ Can EPA approve a TMDL considering the impact of the non-permitted Capitol Lake (see "significant challenges" of the draft workplan in section 6)? We chatted with Laurie about this in the past, but I think it is still an open question.

•□□□□□□ Are there other approvability challenges? There's clear overlap in at least two of the eight issues you identified for the Deschutes. What else? We need to know that now so we can either adjust the scope of work or discontinue the project.

•□□□□□□ Can we set a bubble loading capacity ("Option 1b") for all incoming sources (point and nonpoint) north of Budd Inlet that affect DO in Budd Inlet? The subsequent Puget Sound DO TMDL would then set site-specific LAs and WLAs to meet that loading capacity.

Andrew

Andrew Kolosseus

Washington State Dept. of Ecology
PO Box 47775
Olympia, WA 98504-7775
(360) 407-7543