Message

From: Thomas, Juan [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=9F5DB59C671345AEB86914589B4C42D1-JTHOMA03]
Sent: 12/7/2015 7:34:40 PM
To: Sam Waldo [SWaldo@amphenol.com]
Subject: RE: Franklin, IN

Sam

What operations are presently being conducted at the former Franklin Power Products/Amphenol Site?

Juan Thomas
Environmental Scientist
U.S. EPA Region 5, Land and Chemicals Division
77W. Jackson, LU-9J
Chicago, IL. 60604-3590
Tel: 312-886-6010, Fax 312-692-2597
thomas.juan@epa.gov

From: Sam Waldo [mailto:SWaldo@amphenol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 7:16 AM
To: Thomas, Juan <thomas.juan@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Franklin, IN

Juan,

I've attached a copy of the October 20, 1999 letter to Walt. This letter describes agreements reached between Amphenol and EPA during a conference call earlier that month. One of the action items indicated that Amphenol would install an additional monitoring well or two on the eastern boundary of the Site if it was deemed necessary to further characterize the potential for groundwater flow toward the water company well field.

Also included in the attachment are two additional letters. The first, from USEPA and dated February 7, 2000, states, in part, that EPA concluded that groundwater flow from the Site travels in a north-south direction (versus west-east toward the well field) and that the proposed additional boundary monitoring wells would not be necessary. The second letter, from USEPA and dated May 15, 2000, approves the sampling plan for the Site and, coincidently, transfers project coordination to you.

Between the work Amphenol had previously completed in responding to the question of groundwater flow direction from the Site and the subsequent investigations conducted by IDEM, which clearly concluded that the well field contamination originated at another long-abandoned site, it would appear that there is adequate information to close this issue – at least insofar as Amphenol's potential involvement is concerned.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Regards,

Sam

Samuel S. Waldo
Director, EHS & Support Services
Amphenol Corporation
(203)265-8760 (o)

(203)605-3786 (m)
(203)265-8746 (f)
swaldo@amphenol.com

From: Thomas, Juan [mailto:thomas.juan@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 5:15 PM
To: Sam Waldo
Subject: RE: Franklin, IN

Sam,

Thanks for referenced links.

Could you forward me an electronic copy of a letter dated October 20, 1999 to Walt Francis of EPA written by Secor International?

Thanks.

Juan Thomas
Environmental Scientist
U.S. EPA Region 5, Land and Chemicals Division
77W. Jackson, LU-9J
Chicago, IL. 60604-3590
Tel: 312-886-6010, Fax 312-692-2597
thomas.juan@epa.gov

From: Sam Waldo [mailto:SWaldo@amphenol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:25 PM
To: Thomas, Juan <thomas.juan@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Franklin, IN

Juan,

Two things.

I should have looked at a map before indicating the location of the canning company with respect to the well field. It is actually almost due west but groundwater would have been directly affected by the influence of the active well withdrawals.

Here are the links I mentioned:

The link to the publically available files for each site are as follows:

1) Former Houghland Tomato Cannery: http://vfc.idem.in.gov/search-results.aspx?axDocumentDate=&bxDocumentDate=&xProgram=&xIDEMDocumentType=&ftx=&xSiteNum=201334567&indexName=xSiteNum

2) Reed Manufacturing: http://vfc.idem.in.gov/search-results.aspx?axDocumentDate=&bxDocumentDate=&xProgram=&xIDEMDocumentType=&ftx=&xSiteNum=201342015&indexName=xSiteNum

3) IDEM Webb Wellfield Investigation: http://vfc.idem.in.gov/search-results.aspx?xAIID=37278

The IDEM report (#3) was submitted to Nuria Muniz at USEPA on February 26, 2013. One of the appendices in that report is the review of historical records I mentioned earlier. I've attached a copy to this email for ease of access (It's on page 1550 of the report.)

Regards,

Sam

Samuel S. Waldo
Director, EHS & Support Services
Amphenol Corporation
(203)265-8760 (o)
(203)605-3786 (m)
(203)265-8746 (f)
swaldo@amphenol.com

From: Sam Waldo
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 2:58 PM
To: thomas.juan@epa.gov
Subject: Franklin, IN

Juan,

Per our conversation.

As we discussed, based on our investigations reported in this Phase 1 report, we did not then, nor do we now, believe that a plume from the Former Amphenol facility in Franklin is affecting the water company well field or even migrating in the general direction of those wells. At the end of our meeting with USEPA and the water company on November 27, 2007, USEPA indicated that, after reviewing the attached report, they would respond to the conclusions contained in that report.

I've asked IWM to provide me with links to several reports prepared by IDEM which conducted its own investigation and determined that the former Houghland Tomato Cannery is the suspected source area of the Webb Well Field contamination. That facility and its buildings are no longer in existence but they were located upgradient and to the northeast of the former Amphenol facility and NNW and directly upgradient of the well field. We also understand that all of the IDEM reports (the latest of which was generated in early 2015) indicate that the two Indiana American Water Wells that had historical detection of HVOCs are out of service and would only be used in an emergency. As I indicated in our phone call, Google Earth photos indicate that water treatment equipment, which was previously present at the well field, has been completely removed from the site.

As soon as I get the links mentioned above I will forward them to you.

Regards,

Sam

Samuel S. Waldo
Director, EHS & Support Services
Amphenol Corporation
(203)265-8760 (o)

(203)605-3786 (m)
(203)265-8746 (f)
swaldo@amphenol.com