Message

**From**: Rowan, Anne [rowan.anne@epa.gov]
**Sent**: 12/30/2014 4:14:41 PM
**To**: Berkoff, Michael [berkoff.michael@epa.gov]
**CC**: Arcaute, Francisco [Arcaute.Francisco@epa.gov]; Russell, Diane [russell.diane@epa.gov]; Saric, James [saric.james@epa.gov]; Frey, Rebecca [frey.rebecca@epa.gov]; Kelley, Jeff [kelley.jeff@epa.gov]
**Subject**: Re: Allied site - city proposed compromise - media inquiry

Yes!

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 30, 2014, at 9:53 AM, "Berkoff, Michael" <berkoff.michael@epa.gov> wrote:

I thought that we dealt with this by doing the desk statement. Wasn't that the way to get the go ahead to respond to reporters?

---

**From:** Arcaute, Francisco
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 30, 2014 9:44 AM
**To:** Berkoff, Michael; Rowan, Anne; Russell, Diane
**Cc:** Saric, James; Frey, Rebecca
**Subject:** RE: Allied site - city proposed compromise - media inquiry

Let me first check how the RA would want to respond.

Thanks

F

---

**From:** Berkoff, Michael
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 30, 2014 9:42 AM
**To:** Arcaute, Francisco; Rowan, Anne; Russell, Diane
**Cc:** Saric, James; Frey, Rebecca
**Subject:** FW: Allied site - city proposed compromise - media inquiry

All,
I am back in the office. Can we respond to this reporter? I'd like to, so that we can support the city during this rollout.

1. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->We have taken part in the discussions with MDEQ and the city. They have been a true three party partnership.
2. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->We plan to add an additional option to the FS ***after*** we show a couple new configurations to citizens and get their input.
3. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->The dates for the Proposed Plan and the ROD will depend upon the timing of joint (EPA, MDEQ and city of Kalamazoo) community outreach over the coming months.

Michael

**From:** Lindsey Smith [mailto:lmsmi@umich.edu]
**Sent:** Monday, December 22, 2014 1:29 PM
**To:** Arcaute, Francisco

**Cc:** Rowan, Anne; Berkoff, Michael
**Subject:** Re: Allied site - city proposed compromise - media inquiry

Thanks! I'm supposed to write about it today. So even a written statement would help if there's no time for an interview. Basically would want to know - if yes, EPA is taking part in discussions about a different option for the Allied site. How would adding a new option into consideration work in the EPA's superfund process? I heard last the ROD on this OU would likely be out in Fall 2015. Is that correct? Would this proposal delay that process at all? Best~

On Mon, Dec 22, 2014 at 2:08 PM, Arcaute, Francisco <Arcaute.Francisco@epa.gov> wrote:

Lindsey. I'm out today. I'm looping in Anne Rowan to see how we can assist you. What's your deadline? Happy holidays. F

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 22, 2014, at 12:27 PM, "Lindsey Smith" <lmsmi@umich.edu> wrote:

Hi Francisco,

I'm writing about the city of Kalamazoo backing away from total removal for the Allied site. It put out a press release that's it is proposing a compromise. Is there any chance that the EPA would redo its feasibility study to include such an option? If you could, please let me know either way.

Thanks!

All the best,
--
Lindsey Smith
West Michigan Reporter
Michigan Radio
Office (616) 551-0717
michiganradio.org

--
Lindsey Smith
West Michigan Reporter
Michigan Radio
Office (616) 551-0717
michiganradio.org