To: Manville, Jennifer[manville.jennifer@epa.gov]
From: Hanson, Kristen
Sent: Wed 5/11/2016 8:28:09 PM
Subject: FW: Technical Meeting/ Task Order

From: Egan, Robert [mailto:egan.robert@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 04, 2016 7:50 AM
To: Hanson, Kristen
Cc: Allen, Dee; Kamke, Sherry
Subject: RE: Technical Meeting/ Task Order

Kristen,

Please see my notes in red below. Let me know what day/time is good for a call. I am fairly open for the rest of the week, except for our call on Friday.

After additional research about what we have left to spend for a task order, it looks like I can have some type of investigative work (MIP/VAS) done to define the plume at the same time that we fund the 2 wells and plan to do oversight of any PECFA work. We can discuss this further when we talk.

Bob Egan

Corrective Action Manager

Underground Storage Tanks Section

RCRA Branch

EPA Region 5

(312) 886-6212

(312) 692-2911 (fax)

From: Hanson, Kristen [mailto:KHanson@ldftribe.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 2:26 PM
To: Egan, Robert <egan.robert@epa.gov>
Cc: Dee.allen@ldftribe.com; Kamke, Sherry <Kamke.Sherry@epa.gov>
Subject: Technical Meeting/ Task Order

Bob,

I'd like to schedule a technical call with the Tribe and EPA for a chance to update each other and plan future work. It sounded like more than one task order or overlapping task orders are a possibility, that could solve some of the problems you describe with this task order. Let's schedule a call.

Draft Task Order:

The original task order captured much of what was talked about as possible in the near future at the Bristol/Tribe/EPA meeting. Is the Task order fundable if we exclude the PECFA oversight, and include this in future task orders? There is no planned PECFA field work to our knowledge except for possible conductivity testing. This has been on ongoing negotiation with the contractor for months and still no SOW has been submitted by the contractor. Yes, there has been a delay in getting plans for additional field work from REI. I believe that they are waiting until we have our meeting on 5/26, but I am not certain that is the case. I will contact Chris Saari and see if he has any information. In any case, I feel it's necessary to have the oversight funded so Bristol can get to the field in time to provide the oversight we need. I'm concerned that we could not fund a new task order just for that work quickly enough if REI made plans that were approved by DNR. Based on what I know about our funding, I can fund this and have the actual oversight work wait until we know more about what work REI will perform, if any. It ties up money but it may be necessary.

The Task Order as presented without PECFA oversight.

1) 2 Wells- Please Keep OK

2) Characterization Tools- for CSM, Source Area Interim Action Planning, Well Placement OK

3) Results and Recommendations- OK

Future Task Orders:

1) Compile Previous Work and Complete a Site Investigation Workplan for the Site (Include this with #3 above?) This can be done but we will need to see what PECFA will be doing in the next field effort. I would prefer to have them fund well installation and sampling, with us doing oversight and splitting samples, or sampling for parameters that they don't plan to analyze.

2) Cooperative Source Area Interim Action Work- have dig/truck/backfill money -source area delineation (almost complete-should be done in #2 above), need source removal planning, possible excavation oversight, and landfill disposal fees. We can look at funding the activities to support source removal (sampling, oversight, etc.)

3) Implement Site Investigation Workplan see #1 above

4) Audit State Work Yes

5) Annual Monitoring of Site Wells I'd prefer to have PECFA fund this activity, as it would cost us much more to have done and sampling seems to be easily approvable under their program.

6) Site Investigation Report Yes, at the proper time, we will need a comprehensive report tying in all sampling and recommending corrective action activities. We will need to discuss when this is appropriate, as it is a very expensive effort.

7) Corrective Action Plan See #6 above.

Kristen

From: Egan, Robert [mailto:egan.robert@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 22, 2016 10:20 AM
To: Hanson, Kristen

Cc: Allen, Dee; Wawronowicz, Larry; Kamke, Sherry
Subject: RE: new task order cost

Hi Kristen,

I have about $50K left to use until we get more money from HQ. The well costs for 2 would be 2-3 days of drilling at about $2000 per day for the rig and labor, and some extra for materials. Mobilization costs another $1000-1500.

BERS time, of course, adds quite a bit of cost but we would only need one field person to oversee drilling, development and sampling.

So we can do the well installation and sampling without a problem. The time to oversee REI work would take a lot of hours, plus travel and per diem, and a separate mobilization. I had planned about 14 days of field time since I do not know how many wells they will put in, if any, in the near future. But we would need to have BERS on a task order to do the oversight in case it comes up and we want to do it. I would not expect have time to get a separate task order approved and in place to do oversight if we found out that REI was ready to do more field work.

Hope this helps. We are hoping to hear soon from HQ about funding for this year, but we haven't heard a target date for notifying us of the amount.

Bob Egan

Corrective Action Manager

Underground Storage Tanks Section

RCRA Branch

EPA Region 5

(312) 886-6212

(312) 692-2911 (fax)

From: Hanson, Kristen [mailto:KHanson@ldftribe.com]
Sent: Friday, April 22, 2016 10:05 AM
To: Egan, Robert <egan.robert@epa.gov>
Cc: Dee.allen@ldftribe.com; lwawronowicz@ldftribe.com
Subject: RE: new task order cost

Good Morning Bob,

I just left a voice mail.

I am reviewing the Task Order you send, but understand there is some cost problems and it needs to be scaled down or changed. What is the dollar amount target for this TO and what do the wells come in at? I can better provide input into options with a ballpark idea of cost and available funds.

Kristen

From: Egan, Robert [mailto:egan.robert@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2016 3:27 PM
To: Hanson, Kristen
Cc: Kamke, Sherry
Subject: new task order cost

Hi Kristen,

I should have run my cost estimate first for our next set of work. I know now that there will not be enough money to do everything I put into the draft task order SOW.

I made a call to do a little research on the MIP work and it will cost between $3000-4500 per day, and another "several thousand" to do the post processing on the data to produce the 3D images. I fully expect that will have money to do this when we receive our new allotment of funds, but I can't do it with what we have left from last year.

We should talk about this. I know you want to have BERS ready to observe the REI work if they drill new wells, and we want BERS to install those 2 additional wells and get the samples prior to the USGS work this summer. These things I think we can do. It ties up some money as we wait for REI to do something, but we want to be ready if they do get to the field sometime soon.

Also, in talking to the drilling company I called, the MIP technique is only best suited to total VOC contaminants above 1000 ppb (dissolved), and only works on the lighter compounds. We have that, but it may be less successful for definition around the plume margins. I would like to learn more about the VAS work that was done. Maybe this would also be an option, especially if we could have a field GC running total VOC analysis so we get results quickly. I should have BERS research this as part of the task order and propose the best method to help us plan the well network. There may be other methods out there too that haven't been considered.

Let me know what you think and what your top priorities are for our available funding in the short term. If we do the 2 wells and audit the state work, those items were in your e-mail list of priorities sent to me on 3/30. The other short-term item was for cross sections, etc., and most of the items from your Short-term #2 were delivered with the tech memo this week. Additional data analysis and recommendations could be added to this task order if there is something specific we want.

Sorry to have to pull back on plans for some of the work for the short term. I thought we might be able to fund it all for this nest task order but the drilling is pricier than I had considered.

Bob Egan

Corrective Action Manager

Underground Storage Tanks Section

RCRA Branch

EPA Region 5

(312) 886-6212

(312) 692-2911 (fax)