

You are not responding to the latest message in this conversation. Click here to open it.

To... Egan, Robert

Subject: RE: Recommendations for additional well locations at the Tower Standard site

Hi Bob – I've included below in red some of the logic and additional details from our discussion on the proposed monitoring well locations. I believe this information will help to provide some boundary conditions to improve the accuracy of the 3D data visualization and to help provide a reliable basis to quantify the mass distribution of contaminants across different response ranges with MIP equipment. NOTE: I do ask that neither you nor others take my comments as truth, but rather question the locations and the logic to flag any mistakes or disagreement in professional opinion.

Best regards,

Tom

From: Egan, Robert
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 9:08 AM
To: KHanson@ldftribe.com; Christopher A Saari <Christopher.Saari@Wisconsin.gov>; Dave Larsen <dlarsen@reiengineering.com>
Cc: Kamke, Sherry <Kamke.Sherry@epa.gov>; Kady, Thomas <Kady.Thomas@epa.gov>
Subject: Recommendations for additional well locations at the Tower Standard site

All,

Here are some suggestions for locations to fill in gaps in the well network:

NOTE: My comments refer to the attached screenshots (plan view and cross-section) from the MIP-PID 3D visualization performed by S2C2.

1. **A background well nest near Highway 70 with shallow and mid-depth range wells.**

EPA-R5-2017-010506_0002725