To: John Rudd[ruddj@vt.edu]
Cc: Scott Hiipakka[Hiipakkas@michigan.gov]; Durno, Mark[durno.mark@epa.gov]; Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
From: Scott Smith
Sent: Sat 4/8/2017 1:36:35 AM
Subject: FW: Marc Edwards Slander/Defamation Needs to Cease and Desist
Marc Edwards E-mail 05-19-16.pdf
Marc Edwards e-mail 04-07-17.jpg

.....
,,,,,,,,,
John,

As a follow up to the e-mail on December 17, 2016 (below and attached), I have attached as the second attachment another e-mail written by Marc Edwards today that is more defamation/slander.

There are witnesses (copied on this e-mail) that were present at the dinner that Marc Edwards refers to that will testify that the statements Marc Edwards makes about me in this e-mail are false and outright lies.

Given the funding received by VA Tech/Marc Edwards from the EPA and others, I want to make sure that VA Tech, the State of MI, and the EPA have full knowledge of what is going on here.

As Marc Edwards' unprofessional behavior and defamatory statements continue to cause problems, I believe it is in the best interest of all parties for you, me, Mark Durno, and Scott Hiipakka to have a conference call next week to discuss this in a professional and respectful way.

Best Regards,

Scott C. Smith
Cell (508) 345-6520
Twitter: @WaterWarriorOne

From:
Scott Smith <ssmith@opflexinventor.com>
Date: Saturday, December 17, 2016 at 10:54 AM
To: Mark Durno <durno.mark@epa.gov>, John Rudd <ruddj@vt.edu>
Subject: Marc Edwards Slander/Defamation Needs to Cease and Desist

Mark / John,

Unfortunately, Marc Edwards will not stop with his slander and libel against me and possibly others.

I am sending this both to you in good faith and asking the EPA as the funding source (to facilitate this discussion) and VA Tech / John Rudd as the signatory to cease and desist from this behavior.

I have attached one example along with an e-mail below of Marc Edwards behavior which contains false allegations, speaks for itself, and Marc is representing that he is acting for VA Tech and possibly other parties.

Mark Durno as you know I am working with the EPA and various other organizations in a professional and respectful process and there is no reason for the slanderous and libelous attacks

from Marc Edwards and VA Tech. At this point, I hold VA Tech responsible as the receiver of tax payer funds and enabling this behavior from Marc Edwards.

I am working with many other experts and Phd's and there is no acceptable reason for Marc Edwards/VA Tech to behave in this manner. Apparently, Marc Edwards / VA Tech continue to take things out of context and are not speaking with all the facts when it comes to statements related to me.

I am open to sitting down and working through this in a professional and respectful way if that is what it takes.

I strongly urge both of you to have a conference call with me next week so we can stay focused on serving the best interests of the residents of Flint and work together with the other experts and organizations helping Flint.

I prefer to discuss my reaction on the statements below from Marc Edwards and the attached e-mail sent by VA Tech with both of you in order to resolve this situation before it needlessly escalates.

Thanks.

Best Regards,

Scott C. Smith
Cell (508) 345-6520
Twitter: @WaterWarriorOne

From: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Reply-To: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Date: Saturday, December 17, 2016 at 9:12 AM
To: Scott Smith <ssmith@opflexinventor.com>
Subject: FW: Request direct yes or no answer. Water Defense claims about lead and phosphate dangers via inhalation.

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

> On Fri, Dec 16, 2016 at 9:49 PM, Marc Edwards <edwardsm@vt.edu> wrote:
>
> Hi Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy,
>
> Fuck me!
>
> Seriously, thanks for dinner last night. You might not like what I say, but at least I will always give you a direct answer to a direct question.
>
> Unlike the stooge pseudo-scientists behind Water Defense. I asked them a direct yes or no question (see below), and like I told you, they refer it to their lawyer! Every time.

What kind of scientist can't answer a direct question, about something Flint residents and Scott Smith is saying publicly…that this "toxicologist" scientist is supporting?

Do you see why they have zero credibility?

Every time a Flint resident discusses Scott's false science, as if it was credible, you completely lose everyone who actually understands this problem. This is why Scott has so damaged your cause. That is why no one will listen. Not because they do not care, but because anyone who understands it, knows that it makes no sense at all. The same false statements were repeated at the Flint resident press conference last week.

Also, if you keep saying things are getting worse and worse, no matter how hard the state and EPA work, and despite their best efforts, it is going to be hard to keep them listening. You can't keep saying things are getting worse and worse in the water, when they are not. You will chase away good people who want to help. That has happened. It will continue happening. And so when there is almost no one else left to listen, one possible reason is that you scared them all off.

Feel free to call me anytime to discuss. I do not do facebook, but I will always answer your questions if you ask.

Best Regards to you and your wife,

Marc

From: Zelikoff, Judith [mailto:Judith.Zelikoff@nyumc.org]
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2016 8:45 PM
To: Marc Edwards <edwardsm@vt.edu>
Subject: RE: Request direct yes or no answer. Water Defense claims about lead and phosphate dangers via inhalation.

Dear Dr. Edwards-I am forwarding this letter to our attorney at NYU for his opinion on its content.

Dr. Judith Zelikoff

From: Marc Edwards [edwardsm@vt.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2016 8:20 PM
To: Zelikoff, Judith
Subject: Request direct yes or no answer. Water Defense claims about lead and phosphate dangers via inhalation.

Judith,

As you know, Water Defense has expressed concern that Flint consumers are in danger of inhaling lead into their lungs from water, and from breathing or drinking phosphate at a level of 1 mg/L due to likelihood of lowering blood pressure.

The most recent press release states that you have reviewed Water Defenses presentations and found them accurate.

I am specifically asking you to comment on the Water defense claims that there is danger of inhaling lead from water, or from inhaling/ingesting phosphate added for corrosion control.

Do you endorse the health concerns expressed about these issues or not?

Thank you in advance for your direct response. I have been requesting your reports that allegedly support these claims-- Mr. Smith has not provided me with anything.

I would like a response by noon tomorrow, or else I will report that I asked you directly, and you did not respond. A simple yes or no will suffice.

Marc

This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain information that is proprietary, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender by return email and delete the original message. Please note, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. The organization accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.
==========