To: Housenger, Jack[Housenger.Jack@epa.gov]
Cc: Vogel, Dana[Vogel.Dana@epa.gov]; Rowland, Jess[Rowland.Jess@epa.gov]; Goodis, Michael[Goodis.Michael@epa.gov]; Anderson, Neil[Anderson.Neil@epa.gov]
From: Keigwin, Richard
Sent: Thur 8/27/2015 7:56:49 PM
Subject: RE: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

My understanding is that they would still be required to list under Prop. 65 because of the IARC conclusion. DPR is expecting litigation to be filed.

From: Housenger, Jack
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2015 3:54 PM
To: Keigwin, Richard
Cc: Vogel, Dana; Rowland, Jess; Goodis, Michael; Anderson, Neil
Subject: RE: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

Do we know how OEHHA would consider our assessment that takes into account the IARC but reached a different conclusion?

From: Housenger, Justin
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2015 3:33 PM
To: Housenger, Jack
Subject: FW: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

For you I think

From: Keigwin, Richard
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2015 3:32 PM
To: Housenger, Justin; Vogel, Dana; Rowland, Jess
Cc: Goodis, Michael; Anderson, Neil
Subject: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

I just got off the phone with George Farnsworth and Marylou Verder-Carlos. Next week, probably on September 4th, California will be proposing to list glyphosate as a carcinogen, under

Prop. 65. This is what is called a proposed ministerial listing. Under Prop. 65, as I understand it, if IARC determines that a chemical is a carcinogen, OEHHA is required to list the chemical under Prop. 65.