To: Keigwin, Richard[Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov]; Housenger, Jack[Housenger.Jack@epa.gov]
Cc: Vogel, Dana[Vogel.Dana@epa.gov]; Goodis, Michael[Goodis.Michael@epa.gov]; Anderson, Neil[Anderson.Neil@epa.gov]
From: Rowland, Jess
Sent: Fri 8/28/2015 9:34:40 AM
Subject: RE: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

Jack
The Monsanto mgr who submitted the Cheminova studies that I asked for said the same.....that under Prop 65 they don't have an option...they have to follow the rule as per IARC,s call
Sent from my Windows Phone

From: Keigwin, Richard
Sent: 8/27/2015 3:56 PM
To: Housenger, Jack
Cc: Vogel, Dana; Rowland, Jess; Goodis, Michael; Anderson, Neil
Subject: RE: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

My understanding is that they would still be required to list under Prop. 65 because of the IARC conclusion. DPR is expecting litigation to be filed.

From: Housenger, Jack
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2015 3:54 PM
To: Keigwin, Richard
Cc: Vogel, Dana; Rowland, Jess; Goodis, Michael; Anderson, Neil
Subject: RE: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

Do we know how OEHHA would consider our assessment that takes into account the IARC but reached a different conclusion?

From: Housenger, Justin
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2015 3:33 PM
To: Housenger, Jack
Subject: FW: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

For you I think

From: Keigwin, Richard
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2015 3:32 PM
To: Housenger, Justin; Vogel, Dana; Rowland, Jess
Cc: Goodis, Michael; Anderson, Neil
Subject: Heads Up from Cal DPR: Glyphosate

I just got off the phone with George Farnsworth and Marylou Verder-Carlos. Next week, probably on September 4th, California will be proposing to list glyphosate as a carcinogen, under Prop. 65. This is what is called a proposed ministerial listing. Under Prop. 65, as I understand it, if IARC determines that a chemical is a carcinogen, OEHHA is required to list the chemical under Prop. 65.