8. It appears that consultants combined fisheries data from multiple capture/observation methods. Is this standard scientific method? This would not seem to produce comparable data. How did you calibrate different methods such that they were comparable?
9. Data presented was collected only on mainstems. Was tributary data collected?
10. Ms. Keefe discussed observations of pink salmon in Upper Talarik but stated they did not spawn or generally use the river. It was unclear to us how that was determined and we were unable to locate additional information in the EBD.
11. Exactly how was salmon escapement estimated to the study area rivers? It is critical to determine how many fish spawn in these streams prior to development.
12. In the North Fork Koktuli, it appears the majority of the salmon habitat is below the valley proposed for tailings storage, and that there is an upwelling area immediately downstream of the proposed tailings area. How will you prevent contamination leaking from tailings?
13. Regarding the PHABSIM model briefly discussed by Ms. Keefe, we are concerned about the exclusion of headwater and tributary habitat as it is known to be important rearing and spawning habitat for salmon and other species we use for subsistence.
14. Ms. Keefe stated that "only very high concentrations of copper hurt the salmon." Our understanding is that in waters as clean as these, it only takes an increase of a few parts per billion to change how salmon react.

GENERAL QUESTIONS/CONCERNS

1. We are concerned that PLP has not sought input with respect to a mine plan or inclusion of local ecological knowledge.
2. Some residents of Nondalton would like a tour of the mine site.
3. There are general and grave concerns about the methods and effectiveness of post-mining reclamation work, and distrust in the bonding process.
4. How will PLP mitigate or compensate for lost salmon production from the mine?
5. There are general and grave concerns about potential earthquake activity in the region. Mr. Taylor stated that is "not one of [PLP's] biggest concerns." We believe insufficient attention is being paid to this potentially catastrophic matter.
6. Mr. Taylor stated that flotation agents will be used on site. We would like to know both what those are (communicated in an understandable way), and their potential impacts to fish and other aquatic life if they are spilled.
7. Will PLP use cyanide?
8. What effort, if any, will be made to preserve historic trails that Nondalton residents and their ancestors have used? How will access across traditional-use land be changed?
9. What effort, if any, will be used to control dust generated by blasting and earth moving? Are potential impacts of dust being considered in the mine plan?
10. Our elders as well as Nondalton Tribal Council officially oppose Pebble Mine, and have a joint resolution stating so.

Nondalton Tribal Council feels strongly that PLP should return to Nondalton to address the significant environmental concerns of council members as well as residents regarding potential development of Pebble. The short presentation here was insufficient to our understanding of the studies PLP conducted. This is underscored by the fact that presentations of EBD information in Anchorage took 3 days. We are very interested in concise presentations showing how and where groundwater moves relative to Iliamna Lake, Kaskanak, and Chulitna. We are interested in