From: Doug Limpinsel <Doug.Limpinsel@noaa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 9:28 AM
To: Parkin.Richard@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Jon Kurland; Eckman.Sheila@epamail.epa.gov; Smith.Judy@epamail.epa.gov; Jeanne Hanson
Subject: Re: B-Bay Watershed Assessment

Rick,

Thank You... As I started to respond to this, I received your most recent communication...

(b) (5)
[redacted]

As Jon stated and I'll reiterate, currently the EPA effort remains the immediate priority. Please let us know what you need.

I look forward to the meeting on the 15th...

On 9/12/2011 4:09 PM, Jon Kurland wrote:
> Rick, thanks for acknowledging Doug's hard work. Once you and the
> team have reviewed his draft, please do let us know what we can do to
> build upon this and meet EPA's needs for the Watershed Assessment.
>
> On 9/12/2011 1:18 PM, Parkin.Richard@epamail.epa.gov wrote:
>> Hi Doug, Thank you for your report. I have been out for two weeks
>> and ignored email so I have just seen it. I trust you have heard back
>> from others on the team. I greatly appreciate your effort and your
>> agency's forbearance in freeing you to work on this. Just from the
>> bibliography it looks like you really hit the literature hard. (b) (5)
>> (b) (5) [redacted]
>>
>>
>> Rick Parkin
>> U.S. EPA, Region 10
>> (206) 553-8574
>>

>>
>>
>> From: Doug Limpinsel<Doug.Limpinsel@noaa.gov>
>> To: Phil North/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Jeff Frithsen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
>> Glenn Suter/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
>> Parkin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
>> Date: 09/07/2011 02:29 PM
>> Subject: B-Bay Watershed Assessment
>>
>>
>>
>> Gentlemen,
>>
>> Attached is the Marine Component of the Bristol Bay Biological
>> Assessment, as we currently have it. Outside of the recent
>> conceptual outline, I have not received much thought or direction on
>> how we should present this to the EPA or incorporate this into the
>> Doc. Phil had mentioned a couple tentative thoughts previously, but
>> at this point I'm uncertain where we are in the process.
>>
(b) (5)
[redacted]
>>
>> So, at this point I'm searching for input as to what direction you
>> would
>>
>> like me to take this. I'm assuming time remains short, so I would
>> like to focus my effort on what the EPA needs from this. What works?
>> What does not work? I have also had some questions regarding the
>> relevance of some information, to be included or not.
>>
>> This is not done, but ready for fresh eyes and some additional focus
>> or resolution. Please Advise. My thought, give it a read and lets
>> set up a time to discuss further...
>>
>> Thoughts?
>>
>> Please forward to anyone I have missed...
>>
>> [attachment "Marine Section - 1st compilation - With Bibliography
>> 9-7-11v.2.doc" deleted by Richard Parkin/R10/USEPA/US]
>>