**To:** Schnare, David[schnare.david@epa.gov]
**From:** Peter Robertson
**Sent:** Thur 2/23/2017 6:25:48 PM
**Subject:** Things you asked for.

David,

Thanks again for taking the time yesterday. If you have questions after speaking with Region 10, I would really appreciate the opportunity to respond to them.

Here are some of the things I promised to forward to you. First, I hope you got my email last night with the partial transcript of Lisa Murkowski's statements about both Pebble and your boss. If you didn't let me know and I'll resend.

I promised a link to the House Science Committee letter. Here is the link to their press release,https://science.house.gov/news/press-releases/smith-recommends-administrator-pruitt-rescind-pebble-mine-decision, and here is the link to the letter. https://science.house.gov/sites/republicans.science.house.gov/files/documents/02.22.17%20SS

Here's the link to EPA's web page on Pebble. www.epa.gov/bristolbay It has the links to the Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment and some other docs. Here is the link to the EPA web page with a chronology of all of EPA's 404 vetoes. https://www.epa.gov/cwa-404/chronology-404c-actions Number 7 (Henry Rem et al, in Dade County Florida) is the one that the Agency points to as having done a 404 preemptive veto before. Again, a totally inapt comparison.

Here is the 1992 MOU between the Corps and the EPA.
https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-06/documents/1992_moa_404q.pdf

You also asked for information about tribes (and other local entities) that support due process for Pebble. Here is a link to a page on our website that lists 29 different tribes, municipal organizations and other entities that are on record favoring due process for Pebble. https://corporate.pebblepartnership.com/about Many of these expressions are 7 or 8 years old, but they still represent the views of those entities.

We are going to try to get a letter from the delegation; I will also have later in the day some other documents related to the State's expressions of support for due process.

As always, let me know if you have any questions.

Peter

[redacted]

I wanted to get

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]