To: Greaves, Holly[greaves.holly@epa.gov]; Nguyen, Khanh[Nguyen.Khanh@epa.gov]
Cc: Terris, Carol[Terris.Carol@epa.gov]; Erwin, Andrew[Erwin.Andrew@epa.gov]; Delmonico, Lisa[delmonico.lisa@epa.gov]
From: Cuscino, Glen
Sent: Thur 5/25/2017 2:11:47 PM
Subject: RE: Fact sheet edits

Thank you!

From: Greaves, Holly
Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 10:11 AM
To: Cuscino, Glen <Cuscino.Glen@epa.gov>; Nguyen, Khanh <Nguyen.Khanh@epa.gov>
Cc: Terris, Carol <Terris.Carol@epa.gov>; Erwin, Andrew <Erwin.Andrew@epa.gov>; Delmonico, Lisa <delmonico.lisa@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Fact sheet edits

Yes, please see below.

Pebble Limited Partnership Litigation and Settlement

(Bristol Bay Watershed CWA 404(c) Process)

1. On July 25, 2014, the agency used a little-known statutory provision to issue a "proposed determination" of the environmental impact of the Pebble Mine project, which circumvented the traditional permit process and effectively prevented the project from moving forward.

2. A federal district court judge in November 2014 ordered the EPA to stop all work connected to the Clean Water Act 404(c) proposed limitation while a challenge to the EPA's actions with regard to the Bristol Bay watershed was litigated.

3. We can leave the paragraph about the OIG final report here.

4. In May 2017, EPA entered into a settlement agreement with Pebble Mine Partnership under which EPA agreed to begin the procedure specified in its regulation to consider whether to withdraw the proposed determination. In exchange, Pebble will withdraw it's claims under Freedom of Information Act and Federal Advisory Committee Act, as well as its request for attorneys fees. Pebble also agreed to file no new FOIA requests while the settlement is in effect. The settlement will be dissolved if Pebble does not file its application with the Army Corps within 30 months of the settlement. The settlement ensures that Pebble will be able to submit its application and have it consider it within the regular course of business. It does not guarantee any particular outcome or determination with respect to Pebble's application.

From: Cuscino, Glen
Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 10:08 AM
To: Greaves, Holly <greaves.holly@epa.gov>; Nguyen, Khanh <Nguyen.Khanh@epa.gov>
Cc: Terris, Carol <Terris.Carol@epa.gov>; Erwin, Andrew <Erwin.Andrew@epa.gov>; Delmonico, Lisa <delmonico.lisa@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Fact sheet edits

Good morning Holly: We are also making the OW edits that you had asked for and note that on Bristol Bay you reference an email to Carol. As she is up on the hill , is it possible that you could please forward that?

From: Greaves, Holly
Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 10:06 AM
To: Nguyen, Khanh <Nguyen.Khanh@epa.gov>

Cc: Terris, Carol <Terris.Carol@epa.gov>; Cuscino, Glen <Cuscino.Glen@epa.gov>; Erwin, Andrew <Erwin.Andrew@epa.gov>; Delmonico, Lisa <delmonico.lisa@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Fact sheet edits

Hi all, thanks for your help. We have to get these done today by 2:00. If you need my assistance elevating this as a priority with Barry or others, please let me know. Thanks so much.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 25, 2017, at 9:58 AM, Nguyen, Khanh <Nguyen.Khanh@epa.gov> wrote:

> Hi Holly – Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy but Lisa did share with me the markups which we're trying to incorporate.
>
> Thanks to Lisa for being proactive to get things done. We have to divide and conquer priorities!
>
> **From:** Delmonico, Lisa
> **Sent:** Thursday, May 25, 2017 9:44 AM
> **To:** Greaves, Holly <greaves.holly@epa.gov>
> **Cc:** Terris, Carol <Terris.Carol@epa.gov>; Nguyen, Khanh <Nguyen.Khanh@epa.gov>; Cuscino, Glen <Cuscino.Glen@epa.gov>; Erwin, Andrew <Erwin.Andrew@epa.gov>
> **Subject:** Fact sheet edits
>
> Holly,
>
> Good morning.
>
> 1. Carol asked me to come down to your office to get new edits for the fact sheets. I think you must have left the office. I came down repeatedly and noticed you had, what looked like from the door, a pile of fact sheets with edits. About 15 minutes ago I was getting anxious, so I borrowed said fact sheets and copied them, distributed the copies to Khanh and Glen so we could incorporate the edits in time to print today and returned the originals to your desk. I apologize if this wasn't the right choice. I was trying to keep the process going and hope it wasn't too forward.

2. Non-responsive - Material unrelated to Bristol Bay / Pebble Mine We will be unable to complete them by 10:30 to incorporate them in our book. We will keep working on them, however, we may not get all the changes in in time. Do you want us to:
A., Make what changes we can, indicate that this is draft and we will be providing updates and include these fact sheets in the Administrator's book?

B., Not include them but add them later when they are more complete?

C., Something else entirely?

I am planning on going with A unless I hear differently from you or Carol.

Thanks a ton and I hope I haven't mismanaged this situation.

Have a great day!

Lisa

Lisa Delmonico, PMP

Program Analyst

OFCO-OB-TFAA

Delmonico.lisa@epa.gov

202-564-7037