Cc: Croxton, Dave[Croxton.David@epa.gov]
To: Furtak, Sarah[Furtak.Sarah@epa.gov]
From: Rueda, Helen
Sent: Tue 12/17/2013 4:19:09 PM
Subject: RE: Follow-up from Nov. 6 discussion

Hi Sarah
Sorry it took so long to get back to you. I am still at my Mom's and barely keeping up with work. Dave and I had a call a week ago with Melissa Guildersleeve and Jim Bellatty at Ecology about the purpose for the meeting with Ecology. It looks like that will be scheduled for January some time. I hope to send out a doodle poll today. Hopefully the meeting with the Tribe and Ecology will occur soon after this. Ecology expressed a desire to see some action on the TMDL in the near future and sent a list of permits that were being held up because of our inaction on the TMDL.
If you have any other questions let me know.
Happy Holidays.
Helen

From: Furtak, Sarah
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2013 9:08 AM
To: Rueda, Helen
Subject: FW: Follow-up from Nov. 6 discussion

Hi Helen,
Just checking in on the status of the items below as the holiday advance (quickly!). I should be at my desk after 5 pm today Eastern if you would like to touch base.

Best,
Sarah

From: Furtak, Sarah
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2013 3:48 PM
To: Rueda, Helen
Subject: Follow-up from Nov. 6 discussion

DRAFT-DELIBERATIVE

Hi Helen,
Just wanted to check in following our exchange about the agenda for the next meeting with Ecology. Similar to the Aug. 28 meeting with the tribe, it may be helpful to have a "statement of meeting objectives and background by EPA" in the meeting agenda with Ecology (date TBD).
Also, it would be good to check in on a couple of things during the time of our prep call (date TBD) ahead of the meeting with Ecology:

-The 8-pager the Region was reviewing following our Nov. 6 call and
-The Region's review of the messaging paper (provided to you on Nov. 12)

I'll be out of the office after today, returning Dec. 4. I'll plan to check in with you on once I return. Hope you have a good holiday!

Best,
Sarah
202 566 1167

Pend Oreille River Temperature TMDL Status Meeting
Seattle, WA • August 28, 2013
Starting at 10:30 am PST
EPA, Room 12B or 15U

Draft Agenda:

- Introductions
- Statement of Meeting Objectives and Background by EPA
- Brief statements by Kalispel Tribe, Ecology if desired
- EPA Summary of Technical Issues: CFA, Time-lag, Border condition (reference EPA analyses provided to Ecology and the Tribe on 5/29/13)
- Discussion and feedback on technical issues from Tribe and Ecology
- Statement of Parties' Interests
- Identification of potential solutions and path forward
- Next steps

From: Rueda, Helen
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2013 2:59 PM
To: Furtak, Sarah
Cc: Croxton, Dave; Owens, Kim
Subject: RE: Follow-up from Nov. 6 discussion

Hi Sarah
I am not sure we are ready to set goals for the meeting. I think it would be better to have a meeting similar to the one we had with the Tribe, where each side airs its issues and we get a sense of what opportunities there are and what the big issues are. I hope that is OK.

From: Furtak, Sarah
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2013 10:51 AM
To: Rueda, Helen
Cc: Croxton, Dave
Subject: RE: Follow-up from Nov. 6 discussion

Helen,
Thanks for checking in on this.
I'm checking in with folks, and so far we think the agenda items look good. I have a meeting that may go shortly beyond 3, so I wanted to get back to you preliminarily.
One suggestion we have upfront is that we may want to include an overall goals/what we want to achieve item
Do you think that would be helpful?
Unless you hear otherwise from my shortly after 3:00 pm Eastern, we'll have no further comment.

Best,
Sarah

From: Rueda, Helen
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2013 11:28 AM
To: Furtak, Sarah; Croxton, Dave; Keating, Jim
Subject: RE: Follow-up from Nov. 6 discussion

Thanks Sarah, these look good to me.
Below is a draft of an agenda for the meeting. Please send me any comments on it by 3 pm east coast time today. Sorry for the short turnaround.

Introductions
Overall objective and goals – what we want to achieve
Recap of our last meeting and our meeting with the Kalispel Tribe
Issues and options for moving forward

I. Issues with CFA methodology in TMDL

II. R10 conducted some additional analyses to examine certain CFA issues in relation to the TMDL's allocation numbers.

III. Discuss Specific Issues:

   A. Idaho Border

   B. TMDL allocation for Box Canyon Dam

IV. Paths Forward Discussion