To: Shaw, Hanh[Shaw.Hanh@epa.gov]
From: Dean, Heather
Sent: Mon 5/8/2017 9:14:05 PM
Subject: RE: R10 Major Issues and Near-Term Decisions

The revised language is okay.

From: Shaw, Hanh
Sent: Monday, May 08, 2017 2:12 PM
To: Dean, Heather <Dean.Heather@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: R10 Major Issues and Near-Term Decisions

Heather, please let me know about the suggested changes to the Column 2 language, below. David Allnutt may opt to change it yet again.

From: Dean, Heather
Sent: Monday, May 08, 2017 12:47 PM
To: Shaw, Hanh <Shaw.Hanh@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: R10 Major Issues and Near-Term Decisions

Okay, thanks.

Here's some new language:

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Let me know if you have questions or want to tweak it.

Heather

From: Shaw, Hanh
Sent: Monday, May 08, 2017 11:38 AM
To: Dean, Heather <Dean.Heather@epa.gov>
Cc: Szerlog, Michael <Szerlog.Michael@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: R10 Major Issues and Near-Term Decisions

Hi Heather, the 3rd column is "Rationale for Raising Issue to RA/DRA." Here are all the headings associated with the spreadsheet.

Issue	Brief Description	Rationale for Raising Issue to RA/DRA	Key Contact for this Issue

From: Dean, Heather
Sent: Monday, May 08, 2017 6:48 AM
To: Shaw, Hanh <Shaw.Hanh@epa.gov>
Cc: Szerlog, Michael <Szerlog.Michael@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: R10 Major Issues and Near-Term Decisions

Hi, Hanh.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Thanks,

Heather

From: Shaw, Hanh
Sent: Friday, May 05, 2017 9:59 AM
To: Dean, Heather <Dean.Heather@epa.gov>
Cc: Szerlog, Michael <Szerlog.Michael@epa.gov>
Subject: R10 Major Issues and Near-Term Decisions

Heather – As you may know, Region 10 is maintaining a spreadsheet of Major Issues and Near-Term Decisions to keep the acting RA/DRA informed. Please review the write up below and send me the revised language, including more refined dates (if we know them) or any upcoming decisions to be made by the Region. I would very much appreciate the revision by Monday COB. Thank you!

WASHINGTON--Determination of High Tide Line for Tidally-influenced Waters	A number of Puget Sound tribes and environmental interests in Washington State have petitioned EPA and the Corps of Engineers to modify the Seattle District's interpretation of where the "high tide line" is for purposes of determining CWA jurisdiction. The Seattle District currently uses "mean higher high water" (MHHW) to determine where CWA jurisdiction begins. Tribes, environmental groups, NOAA-Fisheries and others believe that a higher elevation would more accurately reflect the high tide line and would be more protective of endangered species' habitat in Puget Sound and elsewhere. A joint report was developed by EPA, Corps of Engineers - Seattle District, and NOAA,	**Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**	David Allnutt

recomending the use of the "mean annual highest tide" instead of MHHW.

Hanh Shaw | Acting Manager

Aquatic Resources Unit

Office of Environmental Review and Assessment
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10
P: 206-553-0171 | **E:** shaw.hanh@epa.gov