Message

From: Kraft, Andrew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=4A94A4F199B247778ABB02285A51B927-KRAFT, ANDREW]
Sent: 12/2/2021 5:50:33 PM
To: Glenn, Barbara [glenn.barbara@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Formaldehyde Files
Attachments: Formaldehyde_MainText_2021InteragencyReview_sjj.docx

I just noticed Sam had comments in this doc even though she said in the email she didn't have any… to add to our to do list😊

From: Jones, Samantha <Jones.Samantha@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 4:55 PM
To: Soto, Vicki <Soto.Vicki@epa.gov>; Williams, Ashlei <Williams.Ashlei@epa.gov>; Kraft, Andrew <Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov>; Glenn, Barbara <Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov>; Shams, Dahnish <Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Formaldehyde Files

Hi all,

Excellent job today on the IOAA briefing…so impressed with your ability to bring this highly complex assessment into a relatively short slide deck and conveyed it all in less than 45 minutes – a testament to your awesomeness!

Thank you, Vicki for providing the materials since I couldn't access them in STICS. In the interest of time and moving this assessment to the next step, I've read through the front matter and Executive Summary of the Tox Review and provided some comments and edits. I've attached that here and will be including it in STICS (if the system lets me). Based on your presentations and briefings as well as the materials I've looked through and the more thorough review of the Executive Summary, I do not have any major comments or concerns. The document is comprehensive, high quality, well-written and thoroughly rationalized and supported. Kudos!!!!

I will be hitting approve to send the package on to Tim for clearance in prep for the Interagency Step.

I also had a few questions that I'll pose here:

- Are you planning to update and use the Overview document that's approx 180 pages? The one I saw looks to be from 2018.
- Noting that the major studies are 2014 and earlier. I don't think it's an issue, just highlighting that we may receive some comments on this. Assuming studies published since 2014 are more of a review nature or are animal and mechanistic studies.
- A few comments bubbles are included in the attached, I'll point out some potential for follow up for the following:
    - On page xxv, lines 5-6 describe the suspending and unsuspending of the draft assessment. Do we want this in the doc for transparency of the history? I'm not opposed.
    - "appropriate exposure conditions" this phrase was used but threw me a little bit, see comments in attached.
    - Notes to Interagency reviewers are in the tox review, assuming those will stay and the rest of the track changes will be accepted?
    - Underestimating NPC risk and focusing on the mortality studies, I wanted to make sure I understood what you were conveying, see comment bubble in attached.

Congrats on a long road and a job well done! Wish we could go out to celebrate…maybe one day soon!

Samantha

From: Soto, Vicki <Soto.Vicki@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 8:28 AM
To: Williams, Ashlei <Williams.Ashlei@epa.gov>; Kraft, Andrew <Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov>; Glenn, Barbara <Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov>; Shams, Dahnish <Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>
Cc: Jones, Samantha <Jones.Samantha@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Formaldehyde Files

From: Williams, Ashlei <Williams.Ashlei@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 8:23 AM
To: Kraft, Andrew <Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov>; Glenn, Barbara <Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov>; Soto, Vicki <Soto.Vicki@epa.gov>; Shams, Dahnish <Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>
Cc: Jones, Samantha <Jones.Samantha@epa.gov>
Subject: Formaldehyde Files

Hi All,

Can someone send Samantha the formaldehyde files via email please? She is having trouble with STICS and is unable to access them at the moment.

Thanks,
Ashlei