Message

From: Nate Bello [bello@wra-ca.com]
Sent: 10/25/2018 10:35:12 PM
To: Wong, Warren@Wildlife [warren.wong@wildlife.ca.gov]; Scianni, Melissa [Scianni.Melissa@epa.gov]
CC: Tracey Brownfield [tracey@landveritas.com]; Pankratz, Shannon L SPL [shannon.l.pankratz@usace.army.mil]; Tiffany Troxel [tiffany.a.troxel@usace.army.mil]; Mahdavi, Sarvy [Mahdavi.Sarvy@epa.gov]; Zimmerman, Jan@Waterboards [jan.zimmerman@waterboards.ca.gov]; Andrew Cawley [cawley@wra-ca.com]; Rambarran, Beatriz (Betty)@Wildlife [Beatriz.Rambarran@wildlife.ca.gov]
Subject: Re: Petersen Ranch MB
Attachments: Attachment 3.B.3 Agreeemnt Exhibit F Spineflower Introduction Plan.pdf

Email 2 of 2.

Spineflower Introduction Plan attached.

Again all documents have been uploaded to RIBITS. Please let me know if you have any questions or would like me to submit documents to you by different means.

Regards,

Nate

Nathan Bello | Conservation Biologist/Planner | d: 415.524.7238 | o: 415.454.8868 x 1800 | c: 916.508.4993 | bello@wra-ca.com

WRA, Inc. | www.wra-ca.com | 2169-G East Francisco Blvd., San Rafael, CA 94901 | San Diego | Fort Bragg | Denver

On Thu, Oct 25, 2018 at 3:29 PM, Nate Bello <bello@wra-ca.com> wrote:

HI all,

Per Warren's request all of the submitted materials for the PRMB Area F Spineflower Amendment have been uploaded to RIBITS (you must be logged in to view). I am also sending as email attachments, due to it's size the Spineflower Introduction Plan will be attached under separate email.

These are the same materials submitted back in December and January, no additions or modifications have been made.

Thanks,

Nate

Nathan Bello | Conservation Biologist/Planner | d: 415.524.7238 | o: 415.454.8868 x 1800 | c: 916.508.4993 | bello@wra-ca.com

WRA, Inc. | www.wra-ca.com | 2169-G East Francisco Blvd., San Rafael, CA 94901 | San Diego | Fort Bragg | Denver

On Wed, Oct 24, 2018 at 1:58 PM, Wong, Warren@Wildlife <Warren.Wong@wildlife.ca.gov> wrote:

Hi Tracey,

I haven't had a chance to read through the documents but want to make sure we have everything needed. From my notes on the 4/19/18 call, there were redline/modified versions of the following:

- Exh. C-1 Appendix A: Development Plan Figures 63-66
- Exhibit E-4.6 Exhibit D: Spineflower Easement Agreement
- Exhibit E-4.6 Exhibit E: Subordination Agreement

Are those embedded in some of the documents sent?

I spoke to Betty Rambarran and we agreed that DFW would not collect an additional fee for this current iteration of the amendment (i.e., removal of bank area for spineflower introduction) as it was covered in the original amendment submittal. The Devil's Gate PRM amendment will need a separate amendment fee (likely complex), when submitted.

I think it would help if all the documents to be reviewed as part of the amendment are assembled and resubmitted so we all have everything and the most-current versions in one place. After that, we could set a call to discuss timeline and steps. I have pressing projects but will try to get to this.

Thank you for your patience,

Warren

Warren Wong

Senior Environmental Scientist - Specialist

South Coast Region Banking Coordinator

California Department of Fish and Wildlife

3883 Ruffin Road

San Diego, CA 92123

(858) 627-3997

Warren.wong@wildlife.ca.gov

From: tracey@tbdevelopment.com <tracey@tbdevelopment.com> **On Behalf Of** Tracey Brownfield
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 3:42 PM
To: Pankratz, Shannon L SPL <shannon.l.pankratz@usace.army.mil>; Wong, Warren@Wildlife <Warren.Wong@wildlife.ca.gov>; Tiffany Troxel <tiffany.a.troxel@usace.army.mil>; Mahdavi, Sarvy <mahdavi.sarvy@epa.gov>; Zimmerman, Jan@Waterboards <jan.zimmerman@waterboards.ca.gov>; Nate Bello <bello@wra-ca.com>; Andrew Cawley <cawley@wra-ca.com>; Rambarran, Beatriz (Betty)@Wildlife <Beatriz.Rambarran@wildlife.ca.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Petersen Ranch MB

Dear IRT,

I want to apologize for any confusion related to the BEI Amendment(s) that we are requesting for the Petersen Ranch Mitigation Bank ("Bank").

We are hereby re-instating our original request dated December 4, 2017 to process an Amendment to the BEI solely for the purpose of introducing the San Fernando Spineflower into Area F of the Bank. We are withdrawing our request to combine the changes required for the Devil's Gate dam Permittee Responsible Project (PRM) in Area D of the Bank with the existing BEI Amendment to accommodate the Spineflower Introduction. The BEI Amendment for the Devil's Gate PRM project will be processed in a separate Amendment to the BEI, along with an updated Bank infrastructure map.

I would like to provide some background on how we got to where we are today. In order to undertake both the Spineflower Introduction program on behalf of Newhall Ranch (by recording a separate easement over the introduction area in Area F of the Bank) and the Devil's Gate PRM project on behalf of LA County Flood Control District, we need the IRT's approval for these tasks:

(1) To remove the underlying credits in the Spineflower Introduction easement area and the PRM project area from the Bank ledger so we don't sell them twice; and

(2) to revise the development and mgmt. plans for the Bank to state that the Spineflower Introduction and PRM project areas will be managed separately.

We had consolidated the BEI Amendments for the Spineflower Introduction and Devil's Gate PRM project into a single Amendment, thinking that this would reduce the IRT's workload and streamline the approval process. We are now separating these into two Amendments and are hopeful that the existing BEI Amendment to accommodate the Spineflower Introduction can be expedited.

Finally, it is our understanding that our BEI Amendment submittal package for the Spineflower Introduction is complete, as we provided a fee for a complex amendment and provided electronic copies of the Spineflower Introduction Plan in January. Can you please confirm?

Please let us know if you have any questions or require additional information. Finally, Newhall Ranch has requested an expected approval timeframe. Can we please schedule a call to discuss next steps?

I am re-sending Nate's March 14th email that has all of the redlined documents related to the Spineflower Introduction for your review.

Thank you again!

Sincerely,

PETERSEN RANCH MITIGATION BANK

Tracey Brownfield

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Nate Bello** <bello@wra-ca.com>
Date: Wed, Mar 14, 2018 at 2:53 PM
Subject: Fwd: Petersen Ranch MB
To: "Pankratz, Shannon L SPL" <Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil>, "Wong, Warren@Wildlife" <Warren.Wong@wildlife.ca.gov>, "Tiffany.A.Troxel@usace.army.mil" <tiffany.a.troxel@usace.army.mil>, Tracey Brownfield <tracey@landveritas.com>, Beatriz.Rambarran@wildlife.ca.gov, Sarvy Mahdavi <Mahdavi.Sarvy@epa.gov>, "Zimmerman, Jan@Waterboards" <jan.zimmerman@waterboards.ca.gov>
Cc: Andrew Cawley <cawley@wra-ca.com>, Tim DeGraff <degraff@wra-ca.com>

Hi all,

Below is the original submittal that included the tracked changes word documents.

Nate

Nathan Bello | Conservation Biologist/Planner | d: 415.524.7238 | o: 415.454.8868 x 1800 | c: 916.508.4993 | bello@wra-ca.com

WRA, Inc. | www.wra-ca.com | 2169-G East Francisco Blvd., San Rafael, CA 94901 | San Diego | Fort Bragg | Denver

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Nate Bello** <bello@wra-ca.com>
Date: Mon, Dec 4, 2017 at 4:27 PM
Subject: Petersen Ranch MB
To: "Pankratz, Shannon L SPL" <Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil>, "Wong, Warren@Wildlife" <Warren.Wong@wildlife.ca.gov>, Sarvy Mahdavi <Mahdavi.Sarvy@epa.gov>, "Zimmerman, Jan@Waterboards" <jan.zimmerman@waterboards.ca.gov>

Dear IRT,

I hope all is well.

I believe the sponsor has informed all of you about the proposal for introduction efforts for San Fernando spineflower a federal and state listed species onto Area F of the Petersen Ranch Mitigation Bank. Jan, this is on the Elizabeth Lake side, so will not effect any of the Lahontan Board Credits but I am including you as part of the IRT.

Attached to this email is a proposed Amendment to the BEI to accommodate the introduction efforts. All areas subject to the amendment have been deducted from crediting. I have also attached track changes documents showing the changes to the reports. A hard copy of this amendment is being sent to you Warren along with a Bank Submittal Form and a check for the Minor Amendment Review Fee. If any of the other IRT members would like a hard copy, please let me know and I will mail one to you.

The agreement for introduction of Spineflower is intended to be recorded upon approval of this amendment by the IRT and has within it a target recordation date of April. I hope this is a reasonable approval period, but please let me know if there are any concerns about that timing.

Please reach out to me with any questions or comments, and to schedule an IRT meeting (if needed).

Thank you,

Nate

Nathan Bello | Conservation Biologist/Planner | d: 415.524.7238 | o: 415.454.8868 x 1800 | c: 916.508.4993 | bello@wra-ca.com

WRA, Inc. | www.wra-ca.com | 2169-G East Francisco Blvd., San Rafael, CA 94901 | San Diego | Fort Bragg | Denver

--

Tracey Brownfield
Land Veritas
1001 Bridgeway #246
Sausalito, CA 94965

(415)729-3734
(415)519-6530 cell
(415)534-0950 fax
tracey@landveritas.com

www.landveritas.com

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Land Veritas is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.