Message

From: Vaughn, Stephanie [Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov]
Sent: 7/6/2022 9:15:46 PM
To: Ketu, Rupika [Ketu.Rupika@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: RK Site

Yes, just send an email acknowledging receipt for now.

Let's discuss how/when to bring the state into this conversation with Angela. I'll set something up.

Thanks for following up!

From: Ketu, Rupika <Ketu.Rupika@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 2:27 PM
To: Vaughn, Stephanie <Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: RK Site

Hi Stephanie,

I didn't respond to NJDEP when they initially submitted those additional comments on the FS/BERA since we're still figuring out how to move forward with the FS. Jill followed up again today (below), so should I just let her know we received them and that's it? I wasn't sure if we want to give any other info…

Thanks,
Rupika

From: McKenzie, Jill [DEP] <Jill.McKenzie@dep.nj.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 2:21 PM
To: Ketu, Rupika <Ketu.Rupika@epa.gov>
Subject: RK Site

Hi Rupika. Just wanted to verify that you received our June 13, 2022 correspondence that included additional NJDEP comments on the March 2021 Draft FS report. As you may recall from our May 2022 conference call, these additional comments centered on DEP requesting that ecological PRGs be developed for the site during the FS phase using the information included in the previously approved BERA.

Also, I'm finishing up our comments on the USFW December 2021 Data Gap Site Characterization Report (DGSC) Report. These should be coming through within the next day or two. Let me know if you would like to chat prior to my sending those to you. - Jill

NOTE: Nothing in this correspondence affects your potential liability and obligations to the State Trustee, the Department, or its Commissioner regarding natural resource injuries, restoration, or damages.