Pesticide Risk Assessment for Pollinators

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

Other Titles from the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC)

ESCORT3: Linking Non-Target Arthropod Testing and Risk Assessment with Protection Goals
Alix, Bakker, Barrett, Brühl, Coulson, Hoy, Jansen, Jepson, Lewis, Neumann, Süßenbach, van Vliet
2012

Ecotoxicology of Amphibians and Reptiles, 2nd ed.
Sparling, Linder, Bishop, Krest
2010

Ecological Assessment of Selenium in the Aquatic Environment
Chapman, Adams, Brooks, Delos, Luoma, Maher, Ohlendorf, Presser, Shaw
2010

Soil Quality Standards for Trace Elements
Merrington, Schoeters
2010

Semi-Field Methods for the Environmental Risk Assessment of Pesticides in Soil
Schäffer, van den Brink, Heimbach, Hoy, de Jong, Römbke, Roß-Nickoll, Sousa
2010

Mixture Toxicity: Linking Approaches from Ecological and Human Toxicology
van Gestel, Jonker, Kammenga, Laskowski, Svendsen
2010

Application of Uncertainty Analysis to Ecological Risks of Pesticides
Warren-Hicks, Hart
2010

Risk Assessment Tools: Software and User's Guide
Mayer, Ellersieck, Asfaw
2009

Derivation and Use of Environmental Quality and Human Health Standards for Chemical Substances in Water and Soil
Crane, Matthiessen, Maycock, Merrington, Whitehouse
2009

Linking Aquatic Exposure and Effects: Risk Assessment of Pesticides
Brock, Alix, Brown, Capri, Gottesbüren, Heimbach, Lythgo, Schulz, Streloke
2009

Aquatic Macrophyte Risk Assessment for Pesticides
Maltby, Arnold, Arts, Davies, Heimbach, Pickl, Poulsen
2009

Ecological Models for Regulatory Risk Assessments of Pesticides: Developing a Strategy for the Future
Thorbek, Forbes, Heimbach, Hommen, Thulke, van den Brink, Wogram, Grimm
2009

Veterinary Medicines in the Environment
Crane, Boxall, Barrett
2008

Relevance of Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ephemeral and Effluent-dependent Watercourses of the Arid Western United States
Gensemer, Meyerhof, Ramage, Curley
2008

Extrapolation Practice for Ecotoxicological Effect Characterization of Chemicals
Solomon, Brock, De Zwart, Dyer, Posthuma, Richards, Sanderson, Sibley, van den Brink
2008

Environmental Life Cycle Costing
Hunkeler, Lichtenvort, Rebitzer
2008

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

Pesticide Risk Assessment for Pollinators

Edited by

David Fischer
Environmental Safety
Bayer CropScience LP
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA

Thomas Moriarty
Office of Pesticide Programs
US Environmental Protection Agency
Washington DC, USA

from the SETAC Pellston Workshop on Pesticide Risk Assessment for Pollinators

January 15–21, 2011
Pensacola, Florida, USA

Coordinating Editor of SETAC Books
Lawrence Kapustka
LK Consultancy
Calgary, Alberta, Canada

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

WILEY Blackwell

This edition first published 2014 © 2014 by ~~John Wiley & Sons, Inc.~~

Editorial offices: 1606 Golden Aspen Drive, Suites 103 and 104, Ames, Iowa 50010, USA
The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK
9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by Blackwell Publishing, provided that the base fee is paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by CCC, a separate system of payments has been arranged. The fee codes for users of the Transactional Reporting Service are ISBN-13: 978-1-1188-5252-1/2014.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author(s) have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services and neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for damages arising herefrom. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Contributions to this book by Thomas Steeger and Thomas Moriarty were made as part of their duties for the US Environmental Protection Agency. However, the publication of this book has not been formally reviewed by the ~~US~~EPA and does not necessarily reflect the views of the ~~US~~EPA.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

[to come, includes ISBN]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Cover image: [Production Editor to insert]
Cover design by [Production Editor to insert]

Set in 10/12pt Times by Aptara Inc., New Delhi, India

1 2014

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

SETAC Publications

Books published by the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC) provide in-depth reviews and critical appraisals on scientific subjects relevant to understanding the impacts of chemicals and technology on the environment. The books explore topics reviewed and recommended by the Publications Advisory Committee and approved by the SETAC North America, Latin America, Asia/Pacific, or Africa Board of Directors; the SETAC Europe Council; or the SETAC World Council for their importance, timeliness, and contribution to multidisciplinary approaches to solving environmental problems. The diversity and breadth of subjects covered in the series reflect the wide range of disciplines encompassed by environmental toxicology, environmental chemistry, hazard and risk assessment, and life-cycle assessment. SETAC books attempt to present the reader with authoritative coverage of the literature, as well as paradigms, methodologies, and controversies; research needs; and new developments specific to the featured topics. The books are generally peer reviewed for SETAC by acknowledged experts.

SETAC publications, which include Technical Issue Papers (TIPs), workshop summaries, newsletter (SETAC Globe), and journals (Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry and Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management), are useful to environmental scientists in research, research management, chemical manufacturing and regulation, risk assessment, and education, as well as to students considering or preparing for careers in these areas. The publications provide information for keeping abreast of recent developments in familiar subject areas and for rapid introduction to principles and approaches in new subject areas.

SETAC recognizes and thanks the past coordinating editors of SETAC books:

Joseph W. Gorsuch, Copper Development Association, Inc.
Webster, New York, USA

A.S. Green, International Zinc Association
Durham, North Carolina, USA

C.G. Ingersoll, Columbia Environmental Research Center
US Geological Survey, Columbia, Missouri, USA

T.W. La Point, Institute of Applied Sciences
University of North Texas, Denton, Texas, USA

B.T. Walton, US Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA

C.H. Ward, Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering
Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

UNCORRECTED PROOF

This book is dedicated to the memory of Dr. Peter Delorme of Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency. Dr. Delorme served as a member of the Steering Committee for the global SETAC Pellston Workshop on the Pesticide Risk Assessment for Pollinators, and is remembered for his contributions to this effort, and for his long service to protecting the environment.

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

Contents

List of Figures xi
List of Tables xv
Acknowledgments xvii
About the Editors xix
Workshop Participants xxi
Pellston Workship Series xxv

Chapter 1 Introduction 1
1.1 Workshop Balance and Composition 2

Chapter 2 Overview of the Honey Bee 3
J. Pettis
2.1 Overview of Honey Bee Biology 3

Chapter 3 Overview of Non-*Apis* Bees 5
M. Vaughan, B.E. Vaissière, G. Maynard, M. Kasina, R.C.F. Nocelli, C. Scott-Dupree, E. Johansen, C. Brittain, M. Coulson, and A. Dinter
3.1 Introduction 5
3.2 Non-*Apis* Bee Biology and Diversity 7
3.3 Opportunities for Non-*Apis* Bees to Inform Pollinator Risk Assessment 12
3.4 Conclusions 12
References 14

Chapter 4 Overview of Protection Goals for Pollinators 19
T. Moriarty, A. Alix, and M. Miles
4.1 Introduction 19
4.2 Elements and Proposed Protection Goals 20
4.3 Linking Protection Goals with Assessment Endpoints 21
4.4 Protection Goals and Monitoring 22
4.5 Conclusion 22
References 23

Chapter 5 Overview of the Pesticide Risk Assessment and the Regulatory Process 25
C. Lee-Steere and T. Steeger
5.1 Introduction 25
5.2 Current Approach for Assessing Effects of Pesticide Products to Pollinators 26
References 27

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

ED_013166_00000533-00007

Chapter 6 Problem Formulation for an Assessment of Risk to Honey Bees from Applications of Plant Protection Products to Agricultural Crops 29
D. Fischer, A. Alix, M. Coulson, P. Delorme, T. Moriarty, J. Pettis, T. Steeger, ~~M. Vaughan, B.E. Vaissière, G. Maynard, M. Kasina, R.C.F Nocelli, C. Scott-Dupree, E. Johansen, C. Brittain, A. Dinter,~~ and J.D. Wisk

6.1 What Is Problem Formulation? 30
6.2 Case 1: Problem Formulation for a Systemic Chemical Applied to the Soil, or as a Seed-Dressing 35
6.3 Case 2: Problem Formulation for a Contact Chemical Applied as a Foliar Spray 39
References 44

Chapter 7 Assessing Exposure of Pesticides to Bees 45
J.D.Wisk, J. Pistorius, M. Beevers, R. Bireley, Z. Browning, M.P. Chauzat, A. Nikolakis, J. Overmyer, R. Rose, R. Sebastien, B.E. Vaissière, G. Maynard, M. Kasina, R.C.F. Nocelli, C. Scott-Dupree, E. Johansen, C. Brittain, M. Coulson, A. Dinter, and M. Vaughan

7.1 Introduction 46
7.2 Potential Routes of Exposure for Non-*Apis* Bees 49
7.3 Methods and Models for Estimating Exposure of Bees to Pesticides 54
7.4 Physical and Chemical Properties of Pesticide Active Ingredients Which Affect Exposure 56
7.5 Information Needed to Develop Refined Predictive Exposure Models 56
7.6 Predicted Contact Exposure for Foliar-Applied Products 57
7.7 Predicted Dietary Exposure for Foliar-Applied Products 59
7.8 Predicted Exposure for Soil and Seed Treatment Systemic Compounds 61
7.9 Predicted Exposure for Tree-Injected Compounds 62
7.10 Measuring Pesticides in Matrices Relevant for Assessing Exposure to Bees . . . 62
7.11 Higher Tier Studies to Assess Exposure of Pesticides to Bees 63
7.12 Health of Honey Bee Colonies Can Influence Exposure 65
7.13 Higher Tier Studies with Non-*Apis* Bee Species 66
7.14 Summary and Recommendations 68
References 71

Chapter 8 Assessing Effects Through Laboratory Toxicity Testing 75
J. Frazier, J. Pflugfleder, P. Aupinel, A. Decourtye, J. Ellis, C. Scott-Dupree, Z. Huang, V. Grimm, H. Thompson, P. Bachman, A. Dinter, M. Vaughan, B.E. Vaissière, G. Maynard, M. Kasina, E. Johansen, C. Brittain, M. Coulson, and R.C.F. Nocelli

8.1 Introduction 75
8.2 Overview of Laboratory Testing Requirements Among Several Countries 77
8.3 Uncertainties in Current Testing Paradigms 78
8.4 Limitations and Suggested Improvements for Tier 1 Testing 79
8.5 Adult Oral Chronic Toxicity—*Apis Bees* 83
8.6 Honey Bee Brood Tests in the Laboratory 84
8.7 Adult Toxicity Testing with Non-*Apis* Bees 84

ED_013166_00000533-00008

8.8 Sublethal Effects and Test Developments 86
8.9 Conclusions 91
References 92

Chapter 9 Assessing Effects Through Semi-Field and Field Toxicity Testing 95
J. Pettis, I. Tornier, M. Clook, K. Wallner, B. Vaissiere, T. Stadler, W. Hou, G. Maynard, R. Becker, M. Coulson, P. Jourdan, and M. Kasina

9.1 Introduction 96
9.2 Definition of Semi-Field and Field Studies 97
9.3 Design of a Semi-Field Study 97
9.4 Outline of a Semi-Field Study for *Apis* and Non-*Apis* Bees 101
9.5 Design of a Field Study 108
9.6 Outline of a Field Study for *Apis* and Non-*Apis* Species 108
9.7 Role of Monitoring and Incident Reporting 116
9.8 Summary 118
References 118

Chapter 10 Overview of a Proposed Ecological Risk Assessment Process for Honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) and Non-*Apis* Bees 121
A. Alix, T. Steeger, C. Brittain, D. Fischer, R. Johnson, T. Moriarty, E. Johansen, F. Streissel, R. Fischer, M. Miles, C. Lee-Steere, and M. Fry

10.1 Introduction 122
10.2 Protection Goals, Assessment and Measurement Endpoints, Trigger Values for Transitioning to Higher Levels of Refinement, and Risk Assessment Terminology 125
10.3 Risk Assessment Flowcharts 127
10.4 Spray Applications 132
10.5 Soil and Seed Treatment Applications for Systemic Substances 134
10.6 Screening-Level Risk Assessments (Tier 1) 135
10.7 Factors Limiting Certainty in Screening Assessments 135
10.8 Refinement Options for Screening-Level Risk Assessment 136
10.9 Conclusions on the Risks and Recommendations 144
10.10 Recommending Risk Mitigation Measures 145
10.11 Additional Tools in Support of Risk Assessment and to Inform Risk Management 146
References 146

Chapter 11 Ecological Modeling for Pesticide Risk Assessment for Honey Bees and Other Pollinators 149
V. Grimm, M.A. Becher, P. Kennedy, P. Thorbek, and J. Osborne

11.1 Introduction 149
11.2 Example Model: Common Shrew 150
11.3 Rationale and Approaches of Mechanistic Effect Modeling 152
11.4 Modeling Practice for Risk Assessment 154

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

11.5 Existing Models of Pollinators 155
11.6 Discussion 159
References 160

Chapter 12 Data Analysis Issues 163
W. Warren-Hicks

12.1 Study Duration 163
12.2 Replicates and Dosing 163
12.3 Long-Term Tests 164
12.4 Statistical Models 164

Chapter 13 Risk Mitigation and Performance Criteria 165
E. Johansen, M. Fry, and T. Moriarty

13.1 The Role of Risk Management in Pollinator Protection 165
13.2 Regulatory Risk Mitigation Methods 167
13.3 Non-Regulatory Risk Mitigation Methods 169
13.4 Suggested Techniques to Mitigate Risks to Other Species of Bees 170
13.5 Pesticide Application Technologies to Mitigate Exposure to Bees 171
References 172

Chapter 14 Recommendations for Future Research in Pesticide Risk Assessment for Pollinators 173
14.1 Exposure 173
14.2 Effects 175
References 177

Appendix 1 Elements for a Chronic Adult Oral Toxicity Study 179

Appendix 2 Elements of a Larval Study 181

Appendix 3 Elements of Artificial Flower Test 189

Appendix 4 Elements of the Visual Learning Test 191

Appendix 5 Foraging Behavior with Radio Frequency Identification 195

Appendix 6 Detailed Description of the Proposed Overall Risk Assessment Scheme 197

Glossary of Terms 211

Index 000

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

List of Figures

FIGURE 4.1 Relationship between measurement endpoints to generic protection goals, used in assessing ecological risks 21

FIGURE 4.2 Post registration monitoring studies in a risk assessment framework 22

FIGURE 6.1 Scheme depicting problem formulation phase of the ecological risk assessment process. (Taken from USEPA, 1998) 31

FIGURE 6.2 Depiction of stressor source, potential routes of exposure, receptors and attribute changes for a systemic pesticide applied to the soil or as a seed dressing 37

FIGURE 6.3 Depiction of stressor source, potential routes of exposure, receptors and attribute changes for a nonsystemic pesticide applied as a foliar spray 41

FIGURE 7.1 Conceptual Model showing how contaminants may potentially reach various matrices within honey bee colonies. Pollen and nectar are the main sources of in-hive contamination. Arrows show potential major contamination transfer routes. For minor routes, please refer to the text 49

FIGURE 7.2 Leafcutter bee on blanket flower, photo by Mace Vaughan (Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation) 50

FIGURE 7.3 Micropipetting nectar samples, photo by Mike Beevers 50

FIGURE 7.4 Hand collecting pollen by removing flower anthers, photo by Mike Beevers 51

FIGURE 7.5 Honey bee semi-field study with *Phacelia*, photo provided by BASF SE 51

FIGURE 7.6 Mason bee, photo by Mace Vaughan (Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation) . 52

FIGURE 8.1 Comparison of the contact toxicity (LD50) of 21 pesticides to adults of *Apis mellifera*, three species of the social bee Bombus and three species of solitary bees (Osmia, Megachilidae and Nomia). Points below the diagonal line indicate greater sensitivity than *Apis mellifera*, while points above the diagonal line represent lower sensitivity than *Apis mellifera*. (Johansen et al., 1983) 84

FIGURE 8.2 Comparison of the toxicity of pesticides to adults of *Apis mellifera* with the solitary bees *Megachile rotundata* and *Nomia melanderi* based on time for sprayed residues to decline to a concentration causing 25% or less mortality. Points below the diagonal line indicate greater sensitivity than *Apis mellifera*, while points above the diagonal line represent lower sensitivity than *A. mellifera*. (Johansen et al., 1983) 85

FIGURE 8.3 Comparison of the toxicity (LD50) of sprayed residues of clothianidin, imidacloprid, lambda-cyhalothrin and spinosad to adults of *Apis mellifera*, *Megachile rotundata*, and *Osmia lignaria* (Scott-Dupree, personal communication). Points below the diagonal line indicate greater sensitivity than *A. mellifera*, while points above the diagonal line represent lower sensitivity than *A. mellifera*. (Johansen et al., 1983) 85

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

ED_013166_00000533-00011

FIGURE 8.4 Maze paths used before, during and after treatment. Path 1 is used for the conditioning procedure and other paths are used for the retrieval tests. Each path started with the entrance (E), contained three decision boxes, six no decision boxes, and finished with the reward box (R) 90

FIGURE 10.1 Diagram of ecological risk assessment process employed by USEPA 123

FIGURE 10.2 Insect pollinator screening-level risk assessment process for foliarly applied pesticides 128

FIGURE 10.3 Higher-tier (refined) risk assessment process for foliarly applied pesticides 129

FIGURE 10.4 Insect pollinator screening-level risk assessment process for soil and seed treatment of systemic pesticides. Note that this flow chart may apply for trunk injection as well, as modalities of exposure of pollinators are similar as for soil/seed treatments. For trunk injection however, further data are needed to appropriately describe the range of expected residue concentrations in nectar and pollen. As a consequence no default value is currently available for a quantification of the risk (Boxes 3a and 3b). A compilation of available data could be made, with a particular attention to the corresponding injection protocols as it varies with the active substance involved and the tree 130

FIGURE 10.5 Higher-tier (refined) risk assessment process for soil and seed treatment applied systemic pesticides 131

FIGURE 11.1 Output of an individual-based model of the common shrew (Wang and Grimm, 2007) on a certain day of the simulation. Black lines delineate home ranges of males, gray lines of females. Home ranges in cereal fields need to be larger than in grassland or hedges because of lower resource levels. Home ranges are drawn as minimum convex polygons by connecting the outmost cells occupied by their owners (from Wang and Grimm, 2007) 151

FIGURE 11.2 Population dynamics in orchards with and without 20% hedges with a yearly application of 20% additional mortality on April 1 (from Wang and Grimm, 2010) . . 152

FIGURE 11.3 Tasks of the "Modeling Cycle", that is, of the iterative process of formulating, implementing, testing, and analyzing ecological models (after Schmolke et al., 2010b). Full cycles usually include a large number of subcycles, for example, verification leading to further effort for parameterization or reformulation of the model. The elements of this cycle are used to structure a new standard format for documenting model development, testing, analysis, and application for environmental decision making, TRACE (Schmolke et al., 2010b) 153

FIGURE 11.4 Conceptual diagram of the colony model of Martin (2001). Solid lines represent the flow of individuals between developmental stages and dotted lines represent influences (from Martin, 2001) 156

FIGURE 14.1 Guttation water on a strawberry leaf, photo by Mace Vaughan (Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation) 174

FIGURE A2.1 Insect pollinator screening-level risk assessment process for foliarly applied pesticides 183

Uncorrected Proof

FIGURE A4.1 Maze paths used before, during and after treatment. Path 1 is used for the conditioning procedure and other paths are used for the retrieval tests. Each path started with the entrance (E), contained three decision boxes, six no decision boxes, and finished with the reward box (R) 192

FIGURE A6.1 Insect pollinator screening-level risk assessment process for foliarly applied pesticides 201

FIGURE A6.2 Higher tier (refined) risk assessment process for foliarly applied pesticides 202

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

List of Tables

TABLE 3.1 Potential Non-*Apis* Bee Species for Use in Laboratory, Semi-field or Field Tests 13

TABLE 7.1 Predicted Concentrations (in mg/Kg) After Foliar Application of 1 kg/ha 58

TABLE 7.2 Comparison of Hazard Quotient (HQ), Toxicity/Exposure Ratios (TER) and Risk Quotients (RQ) Assuming a Predicted Contact Exposure Dose (PEDc) of 1.79 µg a.i./bee After an Application of 1 kg a.i./ha 58

TABLE 7.3 Day 0 Measured Concentrations of Three Foliar Applied Pesticides in Pollen and Nectar After Application to Flowering Mustard 60

TABLE 7.4 Day 0 Measured Concentrations of Two Foliar Applied Fungicides in Pollen and Nectar Collected from Honey Bees After Application to Flowering Oilseed Rape 60

TABLE 7.5 Day 1 Measured Concentrations of Chlorantraniliprole in Pollen and Nectar Collected from Honey Bees After Application to Flowering *Phacelia* 61

TABLE 8.1 Comparison of Acute Contact Test Guidelines (OECD 214 and EPA OPPTS 850.3020) and Acute Oral Test Guideline (OECD 213) 80

TABLE 8.2 Published Laboratory Tests with Non-*Apis* Bees and Associated Methodologies 87

TABLE 8.3 Larval Test Methods for Non-*Apis* Bee Species 88

TABLE 9.1 Strengths and Weaknesses of Semi-field Tests with *Apis Mellifera* 98

TABLE 9.2 Strengths and Weaknesses of Semi-field Tests with non-*Apis* Bee Species 99

TABLE 9.3 Variability and Uncertainty in Semi-field Studies with *Apis Mellifera* 109

TABLE 9.4 Strengths and Weaknesses of Field Studies for Both *Apis* and Non-*Apis* Bee Species 111

TABLE 9.5 Variability and Uncertainty in Field Studies with *Apis* and Non-*Apis* Bee Species 117

TABLE 10.1 Linkage of Protection Goals, Assessment Endpoints, and Measurement Endpoints for Social Bees (Including *Apis*) and Solitary (Non-*Apis*) Bees. Initials (L) and (F) Designate Endpoints Most Applicable to Laboratory (L) Studies and Field (F) 126

TABLE 10.2 Risk Estimates and Their Components Used by Regulatory Authorities 127

TABLE 10.3 Likelihood of Exposure to *Apis* and Non-*Apis* Bees from Various Routes 137

TABLE 10.4 Testing Methodologies Developed for the Risk Assessment to Non-Target Arthropods Developed in the European Process of Evaluation of Pesticides (Candolfi et al., 2001) 141

TABLE 10.5 Available Laboratory and Field Tests with Representative Groups of Solitary and Social Non-*Apis* Bees 142

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

ED_013166_00000533-00015

TABLE 11.1 Colony Models That Include the Full Life Cycle of Worker Bees and Run Long Enough, that is, Two or More Years, to Assess Status and Survival of a Model Colony. The Third Column Lists Additional Factors Included in the Model That Can Affect Colony Status and Survival 155

TABLE A6.1 Likelihood of Exposure to *Apis* and Non-*Apis* Bees from Various Routes 198

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support for the workshop from the following organizations:

Pollinators Partnership
BASF Corporation
Bayer CropScience
Dow AgroSciences
Monsanto Company
Project Apis m.
Syngenta
US Environmental Protection Agency
Valent USA Co.
Health and Safety Executive
~~ICPER~~
Julius Kuhn Institut
French Ministry of Agriculture
Pennsylvania State University

Au: The company name "Project Apis" has been changed to "Project Apis m." Please check whether this is OK.

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

ED_013166_00000533-00017

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

About the Editors

Au: Please check and confirm the order in which the editors name should appear.

Thomas Moriarty serves as a Risk Manager and Chemical Team Leader in the US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Pesticide Re-evaluation Division, and serves as the Chair of the USEPA Pollinator Protection Team. He has also worked as a Risk Assessor in the Human Health Effects Division of OPP, and served on the technical team that developed the USEPA Risk Assessment Framework for Bees that was presented to a Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Scientific Advisory Panel in September 2013. He co-chairs the Risk Management workgroup of the Pesticide Effects on Insect Pollinators subgroup for the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Working Group on Pesticides, and was a member of the Steering Committee for the SETAC Pellston Workshop on Pollinator Risk Assessment. Tom has an MS in Public Policy from the University of Maryland and a BS in Political Science from Providence College.



David Fischer is Director and Head of the Environmental Toxicology and Risk Assessment group within the Development North America Department of Bayer CropScience LP. Fischer holds a BS degree in Zoology from the University of Massachusetts, an MS degree in Zoology from Western Illinois University, and a PhD in Zoology from Brigham Young University. His MS and PhD research projects were on the ecology of Bald eagles and *Accipiter* hawks. He has been working in the field of ecotoxicology and risk assessment since 1986, the last 26 years with Bayer CropScience and Legacy companies. Fischer has supervised the conduct of hundreds of laboratory and field toxicology studies of crop protection chemicals and animal pharmaceuticals, authored dozens of chemical risk assessments, and published more than 20 peer-reviewed scientific papers. His expertise is in the area of terrestrial ecotoxicology and risk assessment. A member of SETAC since 1988, he helped organize previous Pellston Workshops on *Wildlife Radiotelemetry Applications for Wildlife Toxicology Field Studies* and *Application of Uncertainty Analysis to Ecological Risks of Pesticides*. For the past decade or so, Fischer's research interests have focused on improving the testing and risk assessment process for honey bees and other pollinators.

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

ED_013166_00000533-00019

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

Workshop Participants

Steering Committee
Dave Fischer, Bayer CropScience, USA
Tom Moriarty, US Environmental Protection Agency
Anne Alix, Ministry of Agriculture, Food, Fisheries, Rural Affairs and Spatial Planning, France
Mike Coulson, Syngenta Ltd., UK
Peter Delorme, Health Canada, Pest Management Regulatory Agency, Canada
Jim Frazier, Pennsylvania State University, USA
Christopher Lee-Steere, Australian Environment Agency Pty Ltd., Australia
Jeff Pettis, US Department of Agriculture
Jochen Pflugfelder, Swiss Bee Research Center, Switzerland
Thomas Steeger, US Environmental Protection Agency
Franz Streissl, European Food Safety Authority
Mace Vaughan, Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation, USA
Joseph Wisk, BASF Corporation, Crop Solutions, USA

Au: The spelling of the name "Jochen Pflugfleder" has been changed to "Jochen Pflugfelder" in the "Workshop Participants" list. Please check if the change is correct.

Exposure Workgroup
Jens Pistorius, *co-chair*, Julius Kühn-Institut, Institute for Plant Protection in Field Crops and Grassland, Germany
Joseph Wisk, *co-chair*, BASF Corporation, Crop Solutions, USA
Mike Beevers, California Agricultural Research, Inc., USA
Richard Bireley, California Department of Pesticide Regulation, USA
Zac Browning, Browning's Honey Company, Inc., USA
Marie-Pierre Chauzat, French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health Safety, Sophia Antipolis, France
Alexander Nikolakis, Bayer CropScience AG, Development—Environmental Safety—Ecotoxicology—Bees, Germany
Jay Overmyer, Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC, USA
Robyn Rose, US Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
Robert Sebastien, Health Canada, Pest Management Regulatory Agency, Canada
Bernard Vaissière, French National Institute for Agricultural Research, France
Mace Vaughan, Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation, USA

Au: The spelling of the name "Zak Browning" in the "Workshop Participants" list has been changed to "Zac Browning." Please check if the change is correct.

Hazard, Laboratory Workgroup
Jim Frazier, *co-chair*, Pennsylvania State University, USA
Jochen Pflugfelder, *co-chair*, Swiss Bee Research Center, Switzerland
Pierrick Aupinel, INRA, Centre Poitou-Charentes, UE d'entomologie, France
Pamela Bachman, Monsanto Company, USA
Axel Decourtye, ACTA, UMT PrADE, Germany
Axel Dinter, DuPont de Nemours (Deutschland) GmbH, Germany
Jamie Ellis, Honey Bee Research and Extension Laboratory, University of Florida, USA
Volker Grimm, Helmholtz Center for Environmental Research—UFZ, Leipzig, Germany

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

ED_013166_00000533-00021

Zachary Huang, Michigan State University, USA
Roberta C.F. Nocelli, Center for Agricultural Science—UFSCar—Araras—SP, Brazil
Helen Thompson, Food and Environment Research Agency, UK
William Warren-Hicks, EcoStat, Inc., USA

Hazard, Semi-field and Field Workgroup
Ingo Tornier, *co-chair*, Eurofins Agroscience, Germany
Jeff Pettis, *co-chair*, US Department of Agriculture
Roland Becker, BASF Aktiengesellschaft, Germany
Mark Clook, Chemicals Regulation Directorate, Health and Safety Executive, UK
Mike Coulson, Syngenta Ltd., UK
Wayne Hou, Health Canada, Pest Management Regulatory Agency, Canada
Pascal Jourdan, ITSAP—Institut de l'abeille, France
Muo Kasina, Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, Kenya
Glynn Maynard, Office of the Chief Plant Protection Officer, Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry, Australia
Dick Rogers, Bayer CropScience, USA
Cynthia Scott-Dupree, School of Environmental Sciences, University of Guelph, Canada
Teodoro Stadler, Laboratorio de Toxicologia Ambiental, Instituto de Medicina y Biologia Experimental de Cuyo (IMBECU), Centro Cientifico Teconlogico CONICET, Argentina
Klaus Wallner, University of Hohenheim, Apiculture Institute, Germany
Bernard Vaissière, French National Institute for Agricultural Research, France

Risk Assessment Workgroup
Anne Alix, *co-chair*, Ministry of Agriculture, Food, Fisheries, Rural Affairs and Spatial Planning, France
Thomas Steeger, *co-chair*, US Environmental Protection Agency
Claire Brittain, Leuphana University of Lüneburg, Germany
Dave Fischer, Bayer CropScience, USA
Rolf Fischer, Federal Office of Consumer Protection and Food Safety, Germany
Michael Fry, American Bird Conservancy, USA
Erik Johansen, Washington State Department of Agriculture, USA
Reed Johnson, University of Nebraska—Lincoln, USA
Christopher Lee-Steere, Australian Environment Agency Party Ltd., Australia
Mark Miles, Dow AgroSciences, UK
Tom Moriarty, US Environmental Protection Agency
Franz Streissl, European Food Safety Authority

Non-*Apis* Workgroup
Mike Coulson, *co-chair*, Syngenta Ltd., UK
Mace Vaughan, *co-chair*, Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation, USA
Claire Brittain, Leuphana University of Lüneburg, Germany
Axel Dinter, DuPont de Nemours (Deutschland) GmbH, Germany
Erik Johansen, Washington State Department of Agriculture, USA
Muo Kasina, Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, Kenya

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

BLBS145-fm BLBS145-Fischer Printer: Yet to Come February 25, 2014 19:23 246mm×189mm

Glynn Maynard, Office of the Chief Plant Protection Officer, Department of Agriculture, Fisheries, and Forestry, Australia
Roberta C.F. Nocelli, Center for Agricultural Science—UFSCar—Araras—SP, Brazil
Cynthia Scott-Dupree, School of Environmental Sciences, University of Guelph, Canada
Helen Thompson, Food and Environment Research Agency, UK
Bernard Vaissière, French National Institute for Agricultural Research, France

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

ED_013166_00000533-00023

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

Pellston Workshop Series

The workshop from which this book resulted, Potential Risks of Plant Protection Products to Pollinators, held in Pensacola Beach, Florida, USA, January 16–22, 2011, was part of the successful SETAC Pellston Workshop Series. Since 1977, Pellston Workshops have brought scientists together to evaluate current and prospective environmental issues. Each workshop has focused on a relevant environmental topic, and the proceedings of each have been published as peer-reviewed or informal reports. These documents have been widely distributed and are valued by environmental scientists, engineers, regulators, and managers for their technical basis and their comprehensive, state-of-the-science reviews. The workshops in the Pellston series are as follows:

- Estimating the Hazard of Chemical Substances to Aquatic Life. Pellston, Michigan, June 13–17, 1977. Published by the American Society for Testing and Materials, STP 657, 1978.
- Analyzing the Hazard Evaluation Process. Waterville Valley, New Hampshire, August 14–18, 1978. Published by the American Fisheries Society, 1979.
- Biotransformation and Fate of Chemicals in the Aquatic Environment. Pellston, Michigan, August 14–18, 1979. Published by the American Society of Microbiology, 1980.
- Modeling the Fate of Chemicals in the Aquatic Environment. Pellston, Michigan, August 16–21, 1981. Published by Ann Arbor Science, 1982.
- Environmental Hazard Assessment of Effluents. Cody, Wyoming, August 23–27, 1982. Published as a SETAC Special Publication by Pergamon Press, 1985.
- Fate and Effects of Sediment-Bound in Aquatic Systems. Florissant, Colorado, August 11–18, 1984. Published as a SETAC Special Publication by Pergamon Press, 1987.
- Research Priorities in Environmental Risk Assessment. Breckenridge, Colorado, August 16–21, 1987. Published by SETAC, 1987.
- Biomarkers: Biochemical, Physiological, and Histological Markers of Anthropogenic Stress. Keystone, Colorado, July 23–28, 1989. Published as a SETAC Special Publication by Lewis Publishers, 1992.
- Population Ecology and Wildlife Toxicology of Agricultural Pesticide Use: A Modeling Initiative for Avian Species. Kiawah Island, South Carolina, July 22–27, 1990. Published as a SETAC Special Publication by Lewis Publishers, 1994.
- A Technical Framework for [Product] Life-Cycle Assessments. Smuggler's Notch, Vermont, August 18–23, 1990. Published by SETAC, January 1991; 2nd printing September 1991; 3rd printing March 1994.
- Aquatic Microcosms for Ecological Assessment of Pesticides. Wintergreen, Virginia, October 7–11, 1991. Published by SETAC, 1992.
- A Conceptual Framework for Life-Cycle Assessment Impact Assessment. Sandestin, Florida, February 1–6, 1992. Published by SETAC, 1993.
- A Mechanistic Understanding of Bioavailability: Physical–Chemical Interactions. Pellston, Michigan, August 17–22, 1992. Published as a SETAC Special Publication by Lewis Publishers, 1994.
- Life-Cycle Assessment Data Quality Workshop. Wintergreen, Virginia, October 4–9, 1992. Published by SETAC, 1994.
- Avian Radio Telemetry in Support of Pesticide Field Studies. Pacific Grove, California, January 5–8, 1993. Published by SETAC, 1998.

ED_013166_00000533-00025

- Sustainability-Based Environmental Management. Pellston, Michigan, August 25–31, 1993. Co-sponsored by the Ecological Society of America. Published by SETAC, 1998.
- Ecotoxicological Risk Assessment for Chlorinated Organic Chemicals. Alliston, Ontario, Canada, July 25–29, 1994. Published by SETAC, 1998.
- Application of Life-Cycle Assessment to Public Policy. Wintergreen, Virginia, August 14–19, 1994. Published by SETAC, 1997.
- Ecological Risk Assessment Decision Support System. Pellston, Michigan, August 23–28, 1994. Published by SETAC, 1998.
- Avian Toxicity Testing. Pensacola, Florida, December 4–7, 1994. Co-sponsored and published by Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 1996.
- Chemical Ranking and Scoring (CRS): Guidelines for Developing and Implementing Tools for Relative Chemical Assessments. Sandestin, Florida, February 12–16, 1995. Published by SETAC, 1997.
- Ecological Risk Assessment of Contaminated Sediments. Pacific Grove, California, April 23–28, 1995. Published by SETAC, 1997.
- Ecotoxicology and Risk Assessment for Wetlands. Fairmont, Montana, 30 July–3 August 1995. Published by SETAC, 1999.
- Uncertainty in Ecological Risk Assessment. Pellston, Michigan, August 23–28, 1995. Published by SETAC, 1998.
- Whole-Effluent Toxicity Testing: An Evaluation of Methods and Prediction of Receiving System Impacts. Pellston, Michigan, September 16–21, 1995. Published by SETAC, 1996.
- Reproductive and Developmental Effects of Contaminants in Oviparous Vertebrates. Fairmont, Montana, July 13–18, 1997. Published by SETAC, 1999.
- Multiple Stressors in Ecological Risk Assessment. Pellston, Michigan, September 13–18, 1997. Published by SETAC, 1999.
- Re-evaluation of the State of the Science for Water Quality Criteria Development. Fairmont, Montana, June 25–30, 1998. Published by SETAC, 2003.
- Criteria for Persistence and Long-Range Transport of Chemicals in the Environment. Fairmont Hot Springs, British Columbia, Canada, July 14–19, 1998. Published by SETAC, 2000.
- Assessing Contaminated Soils: From Soil-Contaminant Interactions to Ecosystem Management. Pellston, Michigan, September 23–27, 1998. Published by SETAC, 2003.
- Endocrine Disruption in Invertebrates: Endocrinology, Testing, and Assessment (EDIETA). Amsterdam, The Netherlands, December 12–15, 1998. Published by SETAC, 1999.
- Assessing the Effects of Complex Stressors in Ecosystems. Pellston, Michigan, September 11–16, 1999. Published by SETAC, 2001.
- Environmental–Human Health Interconnections. Snowbird, Utah, June 10–15, 2000. Published by SETAC, 2002.
- Ecological Assessment of Aquatic Resources: Application, Implementation, and Communication. Pellston, Michigan, September 16–21, 2000. Published by SETAC, 2004.
- Toxicity Identification Evaluation/Toxicity Reduction Evaluation: What Works and What Doesn't. Pensacola, Florida, June 23–27, 2001. Proceedings published by SETAC in 2005.
- The Global Decline of Amphibian Populations: An Integrated Analysis of Multiple Stressors Effects. Wingspread, Racine, Wisconsin, August 18–23, 2001. Published by SETAC, 2003.
- Methods of Uncertainty Analysis for Pesticide Risks. Pensacola, Florida, 24 February–1 March 2002.
- The Role of Dietary Exposure in the Evaluation of Risk of Metals to Aquatic Organisms. Fairmont Hot Springs, British Columbia, Canada, 27 July–1 August 2002. Published by SETAC, 2005.

ED_013166_00000533-00026

- Use of Sediment Quality Guidelines (SQGs) and Related Tools for the Assessment of Contaminated Sediments. Fairmont Hot Springs, Montana, August 17–22, 2002. Published by SETAC, 2005.
- Science for Assessment of the Impacts of Human Pharmaceuticals on Aquatic Ecosystem. Snowbird, Utah, June 3–8, 2003. Published by SETAC, 2005.
- Population-Level Ecological Risk Assessment. Roskilde, Denmark, August 23–27, 2003. Published by SETAC and CRC Press, 2007.
- Valuation of Ecological Resources: Integration of Ecological Risk Assessment and Socio-Economics to Support Environmental Decisions. Pensacola, Florida, October 4–9, 2003. Published by SETAC and CRC Press, 2007.
- Emerging Molecular and Computational Approaches for Cross-Species Extrapolations. Portland, Oregon, July 18–22, 2004. Published by SETAC and CRC Press, 2006.
- Veterinary Medicines in the Environment. Pensacola, Florida, February 12–16, 2006. Published by SETAC and CRC Press, 2008.
- Tissue Residue Approach for Toxicity Assessment: Invertebrates and Fish. Leavenworth, Washington, June 7–10, 2007. Published in SETAC Journal *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management* (IEAM), 2011.
- Science-Based Guidance and Framework for the Evaluation and Identification of PBTs and POPs. Pensacola Beach, Florida, 27 January–1 February 2008.
- The Nexus between Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) and Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA). Fairmont, Montana, January 28–31, 2008. Published in SETAC Journal *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management* (IEAM), 2009.
- Ecological Assessment of Selenium in the Aquatic Environment. Pensacola Beach, Florida, February 22–27, 2009. Published by SETAC and CRC Press, 2010.
- A Vision and Strategy for Predictive Ecotoxicology in the 21st Century: Defining Adverse Outcome Pathways Associated with Ecological Risk. Forest Grove, Oregon, April 19–23, 2009. Published in SETAC Journal *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* (ET&C), 2011.
- Problem Formulation for Ecological Risk Assessments. Pensacola Beach, Florida, April 18–24, 2010.
- Potential Risks of Plant Protection Products to Pollinators. Pensacola Beach, Florida, January 16–22, 2011.
- Life Cycle Assessment Database Global Guidance. Kanagawa, Japan, 30 January–4 February 2011. Published by the UNEP/SETAC Life Cycle Initiative, 2011.
- Influence of Global Climate Change on the Scientific Foundation and Application of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. Racine, Wisconsin, July 16–21, 2011. Published in ET&C, 2012.
- Guidance on Bioavailability/Bioaccessibility Measurements Using Passive Sampling Devices and Partitioning-Based Approaches for Management of Contaminated Sediments. Costa Mesa, California, November 7–9, 2012. To be published in ET&C and IEAM, 2013.

Please provide publisher's name and year for the Workshop "Science-Based Guidance and Framework for the Evaluation and Identification of PBTs and POPs. Pensacola Beach, Florida, 27 January–1 February 2008."

Au: Please update the publication details.

UNCORRECTED PROOFS

UNCORRECTED PROOFS