Message

From: David Fischer [david.fischer@bayer.com]
Sent: 3/6/2014 9:59:20 PM
To: jfrazier@psu.edu
CC: Moriarty, Thomas [Moriarty.Thomas@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Readers Proof of SETAC Pellston - Pesticide Risk Assessment for Pollinators - chapters attached

Jim,

Any chance you, Tom and I could have a teleconference tomorrow (Friday) sometime between 11 am and 1 pm to sort through Chapter 8? I apologize for the narrow window, but my schedule is pretty full.

If this will work, we can use my call in number which is

USA Toll Free: 877-411-9748
Access code 8262440

Let me know if you will be available. Thanks.

Best regard,

Dave

David L. Fischer, Ph.D.
Environmental Toxicology and Risk Assessment

Bayer CropScience

Science For A Better Life

Environmental Safety
Development North America
Bayer CropScience, LP
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
Phone: 919-549-2843
david.fischer@bayer.com

From: jimfrazier99@gmail.com [mailto:jimfrazier99@gmail.com] **On Behalf Of** Jim Frazier
Sent: Tuesday, March 04, 2014 9:00 PM
To: Moriarty, Thomas
Cc: Steeger, Thomas; Connie Hart; Mace Vaughan; jfrazier@psu.edu; jochen.pflugfelder@alp.admin.ch; Pettis, Jeff; aalix@dow.com; chris.leesteere@aeapl.com.au; mike.coulson@syngenta.com; franz.streissl@efsa.europa.eu; joseph.wisk@basj.com; mark.clook@hse.gsi.gov.uk; helen.thompson@syngenta.com; David Fischer; jen.lynch@setac.org
Subject: Re: Readers Proof of SETAC Pellston - Pesticide Risk Assessment for Pollinators - chapters attached

DEAR TOM AND DAVE,

I have attached Chapter 8 for the Pellston Book. While several key references and some pertinent tablular material has been eliminated, I can agree that space limitations may have dictated these changes. The

conclusion section was not written by us and does not adequately reflect the discussion or conclusions of our group. I have appended a short conclusion section that more adequately reflects our group discussion. Given the extensive changes to both the format and content of chapter 8 from the earlier versions that the other members of our group saw, I feel that they should all read and agree to this version bearing their names as authors prior to publication. This is a standard format for publishing intellectual property involving multiple authors, and I do not feel that Jochen and myself can adequately represent the other authors for this final version so far from our workshop inputs.

I has been a very long time since we have seen these versions, and giving 5 days over a weekend to review these chapters is less than courteous.

I am agreeable for you and Dave to work out the editorial checking of author citation notation changes and table number changes, etc. but feel strongly that the conclusion section of this chapter should be changed.

Regards,
Jim

On Thu, Feb 27, 2014 at 2:07 PM, Moriarty, Thomas <Moriarty.Thomas@epa.gov> wrote:

Dear Steering Committee Members,

At long last, the page proofs are here. I have attached **the chapters** to this email, and will send the rest (**appendices**) in a moment. These proofs provide you with an opportunity to review your manuscript, respond to any unanswered queries (i.e., comments in the margins of the proof), and check for accuracy. Make your revisions directly on the page proofs and do not send back a revised manuscript. Answers to any of the copyeditor's queries are also to be made to the proofs directly.

You will need to have Adobe Acrobat Reader software to read page proofs. This is free software and is available for user downloading at:

http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html.

With your review of these proofs:

1. You are being asked to review the chapter for which you were the lead author, including the appendix that may be directly associated with your chapter. All chapters are being sent to you, in the event you want to review, either in whole or in part, other parts of the manuscript. (For those chapters for which the lead author was not part of the Steering Committee, Dave and I will review them. In fact, Dave and I, and SETAC will be reviewing the whole manuscript one final time.)
2. In completing your review for your chapter, you may:

(i) respond to the queries in your chapter and check for corrections directly; or,

(ii) respond that you are comfortable with SETAC and Dave and I performing the task of reviewing the page proofs without your input. The deadline for getting all comments back to Dave and I is **Tuesday, MARCH 4.**

3. Please keep corrections to a minimum. In particular, changes that cause text to move to another page should be avoided if at all possible, as these are difficult to implement and will affect the pagination. Please note that the corrections should be marked/articulated carefully on to the proofs. Illegible or confusing marking or editing may introduce new errors.
4. Check all figures along with the text and legends. Please make sure that permission statements appear as necessary. Mark any needed changes directly on the copy.
5. This is your last opportunity to check your contribution. Updated page proofs will be made available to Dave or I after this point, they will only be made available to the publisher.

The information contained in this e-mail is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s) and may be confidential, proprietary, and/or legally privileged. Inadvertent disclosure of this message does not constitute a waiver of any privilege. If you receive this message in error, please do not directly or indirectly use, print, copy, forward, or disclose any part of this message. Please also delete this e-mail and all copies and notify the sender. Thank you.

For alternate languages please go to http://bayerdisclaimer.bayerweb.com