Message

From: Keller, Lynn [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=08038B86D66A47D3AACA8BEE1A63A5A7-LKELLER]
Sent: 3/1/2018 8:03:09 PM
To: Fennessy, Christopher [christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com]; MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC (Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov) (Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov) [Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov]
CC: MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards (Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov) [Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov]
Subject: RE: Area 40 vapor monitoring

EPA agrees with this approach, Chris, but will defer to DTSC if they feel spring 2018 sampling is necessary.

Thanks,
Lynn

Lynn M. Keller, EI, CMP
US EPA Region 9 RPM
75 Hawthorne St, SFD 7-1
San Francisco, CA 94105
415.947.4162

From: Fennessy, Christopher [mailto:christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 1, 2018 8:13 AM
To: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC (Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov) (Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov) <Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov>
Cc: MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards (Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov) <Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov>; Keller, Lynn <Keller.Lynn@epa.gov>
Subject: Area 40 vapor monitoring

Hi Peter – As you know, AR has been conducting quarterly monitoring of existing vapor monitoring wells at Area 40 at the request of DTSC. We collected a dry season in September and a fall/winter season in December. Knowing that we have bounds on the worst cases and we have selected a remedy that addresses the vapor, what information will the Spring data provide? I think the appropriate approach is to hold off on any additional sampling until the remedy is implemented and then begin quarterly sampling again. We will compare post remedy concentrations to the data we already have to evaluate effectiveness. What are your thoughts? Chris

Christopher M. Fennessy, P.E.
Aerojet Rocketdyne, Inc.
Engineering Manager, Site Remediation
11260 Pyrites Way, Suite 125
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
Ph: 916-355-3341
Fax: 916-355-6145
Email: Christopher.Fennessy@Rocket.com