Message

From: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC [Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov]
Sent: 4/16/2018 10:30:01 PM
To: Lane, Jackie [Lane.Jackie@epa.gov]
CC: Fennessy, Christopher [christopher.fennessy@rocket.com]; Pickens, Tammy@DTSC [Tammy.Pickens@dtsc.ca.gov]; Keller, Lynn [Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]; MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards [Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov]; 'jaheple@dcn.org' [jaheple@dcn.org]
Subject: RE: Area 40 RAP Public Meeting & Location - CAG Concerns

How should we check and procure the room for the meeting?

-----Original Message-----
From: Lane, Jackie [mailto:Lane.Jackie@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 3:27 PM
To: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC <Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov>
Cc: Fennessy, Christopher <christopher.fennessy@rocket.com>; Pickens, Tammy@DTSC <Tammy.Pickens@dtsc.ca.gov>; Keller, Lynn <Keller.Lynn@epa.gov>; MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards <Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov>; 'jaheple@dcn.org' <jaheple@dcn.org>
Subject: RE: Area 40 RAP Public Meeting & Location - CAG Concerns

Dear Peter:

Given Janis' recent comment on meeting location, it would seem the RAP public meeting should be held in the City of Folsom. How you decide to notify the public about the meeting is key to getting the word out to Folsom and RCH residents for participation. One location to consider is the Folsom Community Center - Activities Room, 52 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA. It can fit up to 50 people or more. See webpage at: https://na01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.folsom.ca.us%2Fcity_hall%2Fdepts%2Fparks%2Ffacilities%2Frental%2Fdefault.asp&data=02%7C01%7C%7Cc54911ae08524bb95b9c08d5a3e93290%7C3f4ffbf4c7604c2abab8c63ef4bd2439%7C0%7C0%7C636595144339021919&sdata=a9hIMjTTCetkS7Ioc99%2BbmXBw0Dm7QqRyx1t0vbcQ2w%3D&reserved=0

FYI, Jackie

-----Original Message-----
From: Janis Heple [mailto:jaheple@dcn.org]
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 2:08 PM
To: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC <Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov>
Cc: Fennessy, Christopher <christopher.fennessy@rocket.com>; Pickens, Tammy@DTSC <Tammy.Pickens@dtsc.ca.gov>; Keller, Lynn <Keller.Lynn@epa.gov>; MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards <Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov>; Lane, Jackie <Lane.Jackie@epa.gov>
Subject: Area 40 RAP Public Meeting & Location - CAG Concerns

Hello all, and Pete, it was good talking with you this morning.

I would like to put in writing my request for an extended review time, and, after reviewing upcoming commitments, I am going to revise my
request:

I am requesting a 60-day review period for the DTSC Remedial Action Plan.

Thank you Pete, Chris, and all, for agreeing to keep the CAG meeting separate from the RAP public meeting.

I will continue to strongly recommend that the DTSC RAP public outreach meeting be held in Folsom. It will be very easy for all of us to attend a meeting in Folsom, while an expectation of Folsom residents attending a meeting at the Rancho Cordova City Hall is low. Area 40 will be within the City of Folsom, and, if a park is ultimately built on this land, it would be something that residents of the City of Folsom should be aware of now, and should have notification so that they are be appraised of the cleanup efforts in advance and be able to put forward comments during the appropriate time frame. Holding a meeting in a different community is not the answer. Holding a meeting within Folsom's own community is far more appropriate, and this area is
currently scheduled by developers to be a major park in Folsom. (And
keep in mind that Folsom is a proponent of the Folsom Planning Area – they don't necessarily want to have the Area 40 cleanup widely discussed in their community – having the discussion in Rancho Cordova makes it seem like an issue removed from their community.)

In regards to whether there is public interest on this issue: over the seventeen plus years that the AJ-CAG has been meeting there have been few decision points where public comments are weighed, and this is one of those moments. I have gotten the message that people are interested, and if we truly reach out to the people of Folsom, who may not feel particularly close to the main Aerojet site, I think we will find we will have a good response. I will be interested in reviewing what forms of public notification will

be used, as this is something we have discussed at prior CAG meetings. The Sacramento Bee is definitely important, and, with fewer people reading newspapers, social media and "Next Door" types of outreach should be under consideration as well.

It will be good to meet Tammy in the near future, and Tammy, I would like to invite you to our next CAG meeting. Jackie, I'm not sure if you are working with Tammy on the outreach, but that may be helpful to DTSC.

Thank you again Pete, Chris, & all,
Regards,
Janis

On 2018-04-16 11:56, MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC wrote:
> Understood and agreed Chris re: the City of Folsom's input on the
> public meeting location as you presented this to me previously.
> Tammy our PPS previously expressed support for keeping the public
> meeting at the RC City Hall.
>
> DTSC can discuss internally which alternative day would be best served
> for the RAP Public meeting date. And yes we will have to determine
> whether a 45-day public comment period is warranted, I'm not sure this
> can be actually extended until we assess the public interest & any
> need for extension during the actual comment period (I'll let Tammy
> weigh in).
>
> Who else supports keeping the public meeting at the RC City Hall?
>
> -Pete
>
> FROM: Fennessy, Christopher [mailto:christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com]
> SENT: Monday, April 16, 2018 11:45 AM
> TO: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC <Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov>; Pickens,
> Tammy@DTSC <Tammy.Pickens@dtsc.ca.gov>
> CC: Keller, Lynn (Keller.Lynn@epa.gov) <Keller.Lynn@epa.gov>;
> MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards <Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov>; Janis
> Heple <jaheple@dcn.org>; Lane, Jackie <Lane.Jackie@epa.gov>
> SUBJECT: RE: [EXTERNAL] Area 40 RAP Public Meeting & Location - CAG
> Concerns
>
> Thanks Peter! Aerojet Rocketdyne spoke with the City of Folsom last
> week regarding this upcoming public meeting. They stated that they
> understood the public meetings for the Aerojet Superfund Site have
> always been held in Rancho Cordova and felt that, eventhough Area 40
> is within the City of Folsom, the existing meeting location is
> appropriate. They further stated that they would like the public
> notice and fact sheet published on their City webpage to allow City of
> Folsom residents that opportunity to review the information and
> participate in the public meeting in Rancho Cordova.
>
> The last CAG meeting was dedicated to the discussion of the Remedial
> Action Plan. I do not believe an additional meeting on the RAP is
> necessary prior to the public meeting. I respect Janis' desire to
> keep the CAG meeting separate, so we should change the date. We could
> hold the meeting any day the week of May 14 or week of May 21. The
> date of the public meeting should not have an impact on the end of the
> review period. DTSC should decide if an extension of 15 days to June
> 15 is appropriate.
>
> CHRISTOPHER M. FENNESSY, P.E.
> AEROJET ROCKETDYNE, INC.
> Engineering Manager, Site Remediation
> 11260 Pyrites Way, Suite 125
>
> Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
> Ph: 916-355-3341
> Fax: 916-355-6145
> Email: Christopher.Fennessy@Rocket.com
>
> FROM: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC [mailto:Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov]
> SENT: Monday, April 16, 2018 11:35 AM
> TO: Fennessy, Christopher; Pickens, Tammy@DTSC
> CC: Keller, Lynn (Keller.Lynn@epa.gov); MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards;
> Janis Heple; Lane, Jackie
> SUBJECT: [EXTERNAL] Area 40 RAP Public Meeting & Location - CAG
> Concerns
>
> Hi All,

>
> I just spoke with Janis Heple CAG chair re: the Area 40 Proposed Land
> Use Plan and Area 40 RAP public meeting & location.
>
> Janis is concerned that having the Area 40 RAP meeting at RC City Hall
> will not effectively engage or allow residents from the City of Folsom
> to participate in the decisions for Area 40, nor would RC residents be
> interested in City of Folsom land use concerns.
>
> Additionally, Janis is requesting that the CAG meeting take place on a
> separate day from the CAG. Janis is requesting that the CAG meeting
> occur first with an emphasis on the RAP public presentation so members
> will be more informed in advance of the actual RAP Public Meeting and
> will generate better more informed questions or concerns.
>
> As a group we need to decide where we will have the RAP public meeting
> (current outreach docs identify RC City Hall) and which day it will
> take place. Janis is also requesting a 45-day public comment period
> for the RAP. As I mentioned, DTSC's Public Notice, Community Update
> (Fact sheet), and CIP Supplemental Addendum all have RC City Hall
> identified. The final location will need to be updated in these
> documents if modified, once agreement is reached.
>
> Any thoughts on meeting location (Folsom or Rancho Cordova) and
> meeting date? Please advise.
>
> Janis Heple is cc'd on this correspondence.
>
> PETER MACNICHOLL, P.E.
>
> Department of Toxic Substances Control
>
> Project Manager
>
> Cleanup Program - Sacramento Office
>
> 8800 Cal Center Drive
>
> Sacramento, California 95826
>
> Ph: 916-255-3713
>
> Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov