Message

From: Wakefield, Benjamin J. [wakefield.benjamin@epa.gov]
Sent: 9/16/2021 7:21:17 PM
To: Pierce, Amanda [pierce.amanda@epa.gov]
CC: Mendelsohn, Mike [Mendelsohn.Mike@epa.gov]; Wozniak, Chris [wozniak.chris@epa.gov]; Sinclair, Geoffrey [Sinclair.Geoffrey@epa.gov]; Reynolds, Alan [Reynolds.Alan@epa.gov]; Weiner, Matthew [weiner.matthew@epa.gov]; Huskey, Angela [Huskey.Angela@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Oxitec eco RA draft
Attachments: ATP Scan In Progress

Hi Amanda.

Thanks again for the opportunity to review this draft RA.

My comments and suggested edits are attached.

Thanks!

- Ben

Benjamin J. Wakefield
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of General Counsel, Pesticides & Toxic Substances Law Office
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Mail Code 2333A
Washington, D.C. 20460
Tel: 202-564-3186
wakefield.benjamin@epa.gov

NOTICE: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or believe you have received this communication in error, please delete the copy you received, and do not print, copy, retransmit, disseminate, or otherwise use the information. Thank you.

From: Pierce, Amanda <pierce.amanda@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 3:40 PM
To: Wakefield, Benjamin J. <wakefield.benjamin@epa.gov>
Cc: Mendelsohn, Mike <Mendelsohn.Mike@epa.gov>; Wozniak, Chris <wozniak.chris@epa.gov>; Sinclair, Geoffrey <Sinclair.Geoffrey@epa.gov>; Reynolds, Alan <Reynolds.Alan@epa.gov>; Weiner, Matthew <weiner.matthew@epa.gov>; Huskey, Angela <Huskey.Angela@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Oxitec eco RA draft

Hi Ben,

Sure, COB tomorrow is ok. Thanks for the heads up. Enjoy sleeping tonight ☺

Amanda

From: Wakefield, Benjamin J. <wakefield.benjamin@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 3:33 PM
To: Pierce, Amanda <pierce.amanda@epa.gov>
Cc: Mendelsohn, Mike <Mendelsohn.Mike@epa.gov>; Wozniak, Chris <wozniak.chris@epa.gov>; Sinclair, Geoffrey

<Sinclair.Geoffrey@epa.gov>; Reynolds, Alan <Reynolds.Alan@epa.gov>; Weiner, Matthew <weiner.matthew@epa.gov>; Huskey, Angela <Huskey.Angela@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Oxitec eco RA draft

Hi Amanda.

It's looking like I might not be able to get comments back to you until COB tomorrow. If you really need it by sunrise tomorrow (Wed.), I can make that happen, but could I have until COB tomorrow?

Thanks.

- Ben

From: Pierce, Amanda <pierce.amanda@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 3:37 PM
To: Wakefield, Benjamin J. <wakefield.benjamin@epa.gov>
Cc: Mendelsohn, Mike <Mendelsohn.Mike@epa.gov>; Wozniak, Chris <wozniak.chris@epa.gov>; Sinclair, Geoffrey <Sinclair.Geoffrey@epa.gov>; Reynolds, Alan <Reynolds.Alan@epa.gov>; Weiner, Matthew <weiner.matthew@epa.gov>; Huskey, Angela <Huskey.Angela@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Oxitec eco RA draft

Hi Ben,

If you could return comments by next Wednesday (9/15) that would be very appreciated.

Thank you!
Amanda

From: Wakefield, Benjamin J. <wakefield.benjamin@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, September 2, 2021 5:12 PM
To: Pierce, Amanda <pierce.amanda@epa.gov>
Cc: Mendelsohn, Mike <Mendelsohn.Mike@epa.gov>; Wozniak, Chris <wozniak.chris@epa.gov>; Sinclair, Geoffrey <Sinclair.Geoffrey@epa.gov>; Reynolds, Alan <Reynolds.Alan@epa.gov>; Weiner, Matthew <weiner.matthew@epa.gov>; Huskey, Angela <Huskey.Angela@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Oxitec eco RA draft

Hi Amanda.

Thanks for the opportunity to review. When would you like my comments back?

- Ben

From: Pierce, Amanda <pierce.amanda@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, September 2, 2021 4:56 PM
To: Wakefield, Benjamin J. <wakefield.benjamin@epa.gov>
Cc: Mendelsohn, Mike <Mendelsohn.Mike@epa.gov>; Wozniak, Chris <wozniak.chris@epa.gov>; Sinclair, Geoffrey <Sinclair.Geoffrey@epa.gov>; Reynolds, Alan <Reynolds.Alan@epa.gov>; Weiner, Matthew <weiner.matthew@epa.gov>
Subject: Oxitec eco RA draft

Hi Ben,

As discussed earlier today, attached is the draft ecological risk assessment for the latest Oxitec EUP amendment/extension for your review.

You'll notice that I've left a note in the document flagging a sentence where I intend to cite to a DER being prepared by the human health team evaluating potential tetracycline sources (no tetracycline=no females). The only impact for the eco RA is that if the evaluation only concluded "negligible exposure" in terms of female mosquitoes for certain counties, any county that did not have that finding would be removed from the EUP. The arguments underlying the eco RA itself would remain unchanged. Just an FYI- let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Amanda