Message

From: Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov [Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov]
Sent: 9/16/2021 5:51:08 AM
To: NAVFAC Duchnak [laura.duchnak@navy.mil]; Duchnak, DC [laura.s.duchnak.civ@us.navy.mil]
CC: Herrera, Angeles [Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov]; Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]; Lawrence NAVFAC HQ [lawrence.lansdale@navy.mil]; Praskins, Wayne [Praskins.Wayne@epa.gov]; Kimberly DC Ostrowski [kimberly.a.ostrowski.civ@us.navy.mil]; Grant@DTSC Cope [grant.cope@dtsc.ca.gov]; Sanchez, Yolanda [Sanchez.Yolanda@epa.gov]
BCC: Yogi, David [Yogi.David@epa.gov]
Subject: postpone Monday's DRC level meeting?

Hello Laura,

Thank you for your September 1, 2021 email explaining that Paul Stoick's August 20 email to Wayne Praskins represents the Navy proposal you described in our August call. We had not realized the Navy considered Paul's email to be a proposal, as it did not propose any changes to the radiological retesting workplan.

I understand the Navy believes the Minimum Detectable Concentrations (MDCs) for the planned radiological building measurements are adequate to demonstrate that any residual risk in the HPNS buildings is within the EPA cancer risk range. Our calculations indicate otherwise and that the Navy needs to lower the MDCs.

In your email, you suggest EPA develop MDCs. We are working with our technical experts to do that. The MDCs will take some time to develop, and we will need to discuss with DTSC/CDPH and EPA Headquarters. We will do our best to share a set of MDCs, along with a rationale, with the Navy by October 1.

I propose postponing our planned call on Monday until we have shared a proposal with the Navy. I suggest a call on October 5 or 6.

When me meet, I would like to try to also reach agreement on a path forward on the strontium-90 issue. Please ensure that your staff provide any additional documentation that you would like the agencies to consider in advance of our meeting.

Please let me know your thoughts on rescheduling our call.

Thanks,

Enrique